

The following document was not prepared by the Office of the State Auditor, but was prepared by and submitted to the Office of the State Auditor by a private CPA firm. The document was placed on this web page as it was submitted. The Office of the State Auditor assumes no responsibility for its content or for any errors located in the document. Any questions of accuracy or authenticity concerning this document should be submitted to the CPA firm that prepared the document. The name and address of the CPA firm appears in the document.

TISHOMINGO COUNTY luka, Mississippi

Audited Financial Statements and Special Reports

For the Year Ended September 30, 2016



Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT	2-5
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	6-13
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	14
 Statement of Net Position Statement of Activities Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities 	15 16 17 18 19 20
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	21
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position Notes to Financial Statements	22 23-46
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	47
 Budgetary Comparison Schedules - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) - General Fund Budgetary Comparison Schedules - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) - Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund Budgetary Comparison Schedules - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) - Hago Automotive Fund Budgetary Comparison Schedules - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) - MS Silicon Fund Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Schedule of County Contributions Notes to the Required Supplementary Information 	48 49 50 51 52 53 54-56
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	57
Reconciliation of Operating Costs of Solid Waste	58
OTHER INFORMATION	59
Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials	60-61
SPECIAL REPORTS	62
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> Independent Accountants' Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System and Purchase Clerk Schedules (Required by Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	63-65
(Required by Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972)) Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report	66-70 71-75
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES	76-87

FINANCIAL SECTION



Member of: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants

www.sparkscpas.com

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Members of the Board of Supervisors Tishomingo County, Mississippi

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, (the County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the basic financial statements of the County's primary government as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Florence, Alabama Phone: (256)764-0991

Booneville, Mississippi Phone: (662)728-6172 Red Bay, Alabama Phone: (256)356-9375

Corinth, Mississippi Phone: (662)286-7082 Muscle Shoals, Alabama Phone: (256)314-5082

luka, Mississippi Phone: (662)423-5057

Basis for Adverse Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units

The financial statements do not include financial data for the County's legally separate component units. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require the financial data for those component units to be reported with the financial data of the County's primary government unless the County also issues financial statements for the financial reporting entity that include the financial data for its component units. The County has not issued such reporting entity financial statements. The amount by which this departure would affect the assets, liabilities, net position, revenues and expenses of the aggregate discretely presented component units has not been determined.

Adverse Opinion

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the "Basis for Adverse Opinion on the Aggregate Discretely Presented Component Units" paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, the financial position of the aggregate discretely presented component units of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2016, or the changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on General Fund

Management did not maintain adequate subsidiary records documenting the completeness, existence and valuation of fines receivable and the aging of fines receivable. Due to the nature of the County records, we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the fair presentation of these fines receivable, net reported in the General Fund at \$430,648, as of September 30, 2016. Also, because of the nature of the fines receivable records, we could not satisfy ourselves as to the fair presentation of the related transactions in the General Fund.

Qualified Opinion

In our opinion, except for the effects of the matter described in the "Basis for Qualified Opinion on General Fund" paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the General Fund of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2016, and the change in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Basis for Qualified Opinion on Governmental Activities

As discussed in Note 11 to the financial statements, the County has not recorded a liability for other postemployment benefits in the governmental activities and, accordingly, has not recorded an expense for the current period change in that liability. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that other postemployment benefits attributable to employee services already rendered and that are not contingent on a specific event that is outside the control of the employer and employee be accrued as liabilities and expenses as employees earn the rights to the benefits, which would increase the liabilities, reduce the net position and change the expenses of the governmental activities. The amount by which this departure would affect the liabilities, net position and expenses of the governmental activities is not reasonably determined.

Qualified Opinion

In our opinion, except for the effects of the matter described in the "Basis for Qualified Opinion on Governmental Activities" paragraph, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the governmental activities of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2016, and the change in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unmodified Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to previously present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position, thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that Management's Discussion and Analysis, the Budgetary Comparison Schedules and corresponding notes, the Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability, and Schedule of the County Contributions be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

Omission of Required Supplementary Information

Tishomingo County, Mississippi, has omitted the Schedule of Funding Progress – Other Postemployment Benefits that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Tishomingo County, Mississippi's basic financial statements. The accompanying Reconciliation of the Operating Costs of Solid Waste is presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Reconciliation of Operating Cost of Solid Waste is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Reconciliation of Operating Costs of Solid Waste is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials has not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 11, 2019, on our consideration of Tishomingo County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Tishomingo County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

The aparts CPA Firm, A.C.

The Sparks CPA Firm, P.C. Certified Public Accountants Red Bay, Alabama June 11, 2019

Tishomingo County Management's Discussion and Analysis FYE September 30, 2016

INTRODUCTION

The discussion and analysis of Tishomingo County's financial performance provides an overall narrative review of the County's financial activities for the year ended September 30, 2016. The intent of this discussion and analysis is to look at the County's performance as a whole; readers should also review the notes to the basic financial statements and the financial statements to enhance their understanding of the County's financial performance.

Tishomingo County is located in northeastern Mississippi. The population, according to the 2010 census, is 19,593. The local economic base is driven mainly by a transition from manufacturing to wholesale distributors and a service oriented economy. The service economy consists primarily of tourism, recreation, health and education. Manufacturing still remains an important part of the local economy.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Tishomingo County is financially stable. The County has committed itself to financial excellence for many years by using sound financial planning, budgeting, strong internal controls, and keeping debt low.

The County's total assets for the year were \$88,067,155 and total liabilities were \$27,535,280. The total deferred outflows of resources were \$1,963,508 and total deferred inflows of resources were \$7,208,602. The total net position for the year was \$55,286,781. This represents a decrease in total net position of \$2,355,561 from the prior fiscal year; which includes a prior period adjustment of (\$612,947).

The County's total revenues for the year were \$11,272,684, which represents an increase of \$1,420,447 from the prior fiscal year. Tax revenues account for \$5,421,431 or 48% of total revenues. Federal, state and local government revenues in the form of reimbursements, shared revenue or grants, account for \$4,867,577 or 43% of total revenues. Charges for services account for \$983,676 or 9% of the County's total revenues.

The County had \$13,015,298 in total expenses, which represents an increase of \$220,233 from the prior fiscal year. General government expenses account for \$3,613,785 or 28% of total expenses. Public safety accounts for \$2,006,481 or 15% and public works account for \$3,638,763 or 28% of total expenses. Economic development expenses account for \$1,953,233 or 15% of total expenses. Health and welfare, culture and recreation, conservation of natural resources, interest on long-term debt, and pension expense account for \$1,803,036 or 14% of the County's total expenses. Expenses in the amount of \$3,700,727 were offset by charges for services and operating or capital grants and contributions. General revenues of \$7,571,957 were not adequate to provide for the remainder of the expenses.

Among major funds, the General Fund had \$5,140,467 in revenues and \$5,826,320 in expenditures. Total other financing sources and uses netted an increase of \$272,651, which resulted in the General Fund's fund balance decreasing \$413,202 from the prior year.

Among major funds, the Mississippi Silicon Fund had \$12,595 in revenues and \$401,311 in expenditures. Total other financing sources and uses netted an increase of \$88,032, which resulted in the Mississippi Silicon Fund's fund balance decreasing \$300,684 from the prior year.

Among major funds, the Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund had \$101,666 in revenues and \$266,207 in expenditures. Total other financing sources and uses netted an increase of \$165,035, which resulted in the Contract Fabricator, Inc. Fund's fund balance increasing \$494 from the prior year.

Among major funds, the Hago Automotive Fund had \$198,016 in revenues and \$337,416 in expenditures. Total other financing sources and uses netted an increase of \$98,860, which resulted in the Hago Automotive Fund's fund balance decreasing \$40,540 from the prior year.

Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, as of September 30, 2016 were \$62,474,185. Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation decreased \$3,955,973 from the prior year, which included a prior period adjustment of (\$30,720).

Long-term debt decreased by \$753,669. Other loans were issued in the amount of \$129,032. Leases and other loans were retired in the amount of \$24,547 and \$578,154, respectively. Bonds payable were retired in the amount of \$280,000.

Net pension liability increased by \$898,297 from the prior year.

OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis is intended to serve as an introduction to the County's basic financial statements. The County's basic financial statements comprise three components: 1) Government-wide financial statements, 2) Fund financial statements and 3) Notes to the basic financial statements. Required Supplementary Information is included in addition to the basic financial statements.



Figure 1 – Required Components of the County's Annual Report

Figure 1 shows how required parts of this annual report are arranged and relate to one another.

Figure 2 summarizes the major features of the County's financial statements, including the portion of the County's government they cover and the types of information they contain. The remainder of this section of Management's Discussion and Analysis explains the structure and content of each of the statements.

		Fund Financial Statements			
	Government-Wide Financial Statements	Governmental Funds	Fiduciary Funds		
Scope	Entire County government (except fiduciary funds) and component units	All activities of the County that are not business-type or fiduciary in nature	The County is the trustee agent for someone else's resources		
Required financial statements	 Statement of net position Statement of activities 	 Balance Sheet Statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances 	 Statement of fiduciary net position Statement of changes in net position 		
Accounting Basis and measurement Focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus	Modified accrual accounting and current financial resources focus	Accrual accounting and economic resources focus		
Type of asset, deferred outflow, liability, deferred inflow information	All assets and liabilities both financial and capital and short and long term. All deferred inflows/outflows are also included	Only assets expected to be used up and liabilities and deferred inflows that come due during the year or soon thereafter; no capital assets included	All assets and liabilities, both short and long-term		
Type of inflow/ outflow information	All revenues and expenses during year, regardless of when cash is received or paid	Revenues for which cash is received during or soon after the end of the year; expenditures when goods or services are received and payment is due during the year or soon thereafter	All revenues and expenses during year, regardless of when cash is received or paid		

Figure 2 – Major Features of the County's Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

Government-Wide Financial Statements. The government-wide financial statements are designed to provide readers with a broad overview of the County finances, in a manner similar to private-sector businesses.

The **Statement of Net Position** presents information on all County assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net position. Over time, increases or decreases in net position may serve as a useful indicator of whether the financial position of the County is improving or deteriorating.

The **Statement of Activities** presents information showing how net position changed during the most recent fiscal year. All changes in net position are reported as soon as the underlying event giving rise to the change occurs, regardless of the timing of related cash flows. Thus, revenues and expenses are reported in this statement for some items that will result in cash flows in future fiscal periods.

Both of these government-wide financial statements distinguish functions of the County that are principally supported by taxes and intergovernmental revenues (governmental activities) from other functions that are intended to recover all or part of their costs through user fees and charges (business-type activities). The governmental activities of the County include general government; public safety; public works (roads and bridges); health and welfare; culture and recreation; conservation of natural resources; economic development; pension expense and interest on long-term debt. The County has no business- type activities.

The government-wide financial statements can be found on pages 15 and 16 of this report.

Fund Financial Statements. A fund is a grouping of related accounts that is used to maintain control over resources that have been segregated for specific activities or objectives. The County uses fund accounting to ensure and demonstrate finance-related legal compliance. All of the funds of the County can be divided into two categories: governmental funds and fiduciary funds.

Governmental funds are used to account for essentially the same functions reported as governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. However, unlike the government-wide financial statements, governmental funds financial statements focus on near-term inflows and outflows of spendable resources, as well as balances of spendable resources available at the end of the fiscal year. Such information may be useful in evaluating a county's near-term financing requirements. Governmental funds include the general, special revenue, debt service and capital projects funds.

Because the focus of governmental funds is narrower than that of the government-wide financial statements, it is useful to compare the information presented for governmental funds with similar information presented for governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements. By doing so, readers may better understand the long-term impact of the government's near-term financing decisions. Both the "Governmental Funds Balance Sheet" and the "Governmental Funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances" provide a reconciliation to facilitate this comparison between governmental funds and governmental activities. These reconciliations are on pages 18 and 20, respectively.

The County maintains individual governmental funds in accordance with the *Mississippi County Financial Accounting Manual*. Information is presented separately in the governmental funds Balance Sheet and in the governmental funds Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances for all major funds. All other non-major funds are combined together and presented in these reports as other governmental funds.

The basic governmental financial statements can be found on pages 17 and 19 of this report.

Fiduciary funds are used to account for resources held for the benefit of parties outside the County government. Fiduciary funds are not reflected in the government-wide financial statements because the resources of those funds are not available to support the County's own programs.

The accrual basis of accounting is used for fiduciary funds. The County is responsible for ensuring that the assets reported in these funds are used for their intended purposes. The County's fiduciary activities are presented in a separate Statement of Fiduciary Net Position, which can be found on page 21 of the report.

Notes to the financial statements provide additional information that is essential to a full understanding of the data provided in the government-wide and fund financial statements. The notes can be found on pages 23 through 46 of this report.

In addition to the basic financial statements and accompanying notes, this report also presents **Required Supplementary Information** concerning the County's budget process and pension standards.

The County adopts an annual operating budget for all governmental funds. A budgetary comparison statement has been provided for the General Fund and each major special revenue fund. This required supplementary information can be found on pages 48 through 51 of this report.

GOVERNMENT-WIDE FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

Net Position – Net position may serve over time as a useful indicator of a government's financial position. In the case of Tishomingo County, assets plus deferred outflows of resources exceeded liabilities plus deferred inflows of resources by \$55,286,781 as of September 30, 2016.

By far, the largest portion of the County's net position (101.27%) reflects its investment in capital assets (e.g. roads, bridges, land, buildings, mobile equipment, furniture & equipment, leased property under capital lease and construction in progress) less related outstanding debt used to acquire such assets. The county uses these capital assets to provide services to its citizens.

The County's financial position is a product of several financial transactions including the net results of activities, the acquisitions and payment of debt, the acquisition and disposal of capital assets and the depreciation of capital assets.

The following table presents a summary of the County's net position for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016.

Governmental Activities	_	2016	_	2015
Current assets	\$	25,592,970	\$	22,009,361
Capital assets, net		62,474,185		66,430,158
Total assets	_	88,067,155	_	88,439,519
Deferred Outflows of Resources:				
Deferred amount on refunding		499,191		534,847
Deferred outflows related to pensions		1,464,317		1,495,315
Total deferred outflows of resources	_	1,963,508	_	2,030,162
Current liabilities		1,687,315		1,042,064
Long-term debt outstanding		17,205,196		17,958,865
Net pension liability		8,642,769		7,744,472
Total liabilities	_	27,535,280		26,745,401
Deferred Inflows of Resources:				
Property tax for future reporting period		4,643,298		4,184,786
Capital leases for future reporting period		2,368,699		1,897,152
Deferred inflows – pensions		196,605		-
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	7,208,602	_	6,081,938
Net position:				
Net investment in capital assets		55,989,271		58,971,389
Restricted		5,761,260		6,800,748
Unrestricted		(6,463,750)		(8,129,795)
Total net position	\$	55,286,781	\$	57,642,342

The following are significant current year transactions that have had an impact on the Statement of Net Position.

Changes in Net Position – Tishomingo County's total revenues for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2016 was \$11,272,684. The total cost for all services provided was \$13,015,298, resulting in a decrease in net position of \$2,355,561; this includes a prior period adjustment of (\$612,947). The following table presents a summary of the changes in net position for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2016 and 2015.

Governmental Activities				
Revenues:		2016		2015
Program revenues			-	
Charges for services	\$	983,676	\$	1,073,113
Operating grants and contributions		1,357,456		1,421,645
Capital grants and contributions		1,359,595		1,364,145
General revenues				
Property taxes		5,122,898		4,864,350
Road & bridge privilege tax		298,533		274,200
Grants and contributions not restricted to specific programs		1,725,989		2,424,512
Other		424,537		1,271,166
Total Revenues	_	11,272,684	-	12,693,131
Expenses:				
General government	\$	3,613,785	\$	3,871,341
Public safety		2,006,481		2,101,994
Public works		3,638,763		3,298,401
Health and welfare		185,194		190,666
Culture and recreation		85,500		85,500
Conservation of natural resources		90,728		57,000
Economic development and assistance		1,953,233		1,613,362
Interest on long-term debt		415,484		604,850
Pension expense		1,026,130		971,951
Total Expenses	_	13,015,298	-	12,795,065
Net Change		(1,742,614)		(101,934)
Prior Period Adjustments		(612,947)		(5,755,550)
Increase (Decrease) in Net Position	\$	(2,355,561)	\$	(5,857,484)

Governmental Activities – The following table presents the cost of nine major functional activities of the County: General Government, Public Safety, Public Works, Health & Welfare, Culture and Recreation, Conservation of Natural Resources, Economic Development and Assistance, Interest on Long-term Debt, and Pension Expense. The table also shows each function's net cost (total cost less charges for services generated by the activity and intergovernmental aid provided for that activity.) The net cost shows the financial burden that was placed on Tishomingo County's taxpayers by each of these functions.

~ ~ ^ ~

	-		2016	
		Total Costs		Net Costs
General government	\$	3,613,785	\$	(3,030,573)
Public safety		2,006,481		(1,713,775)
Public works		3,638,763		(1,716,935)
Health and welfare		185,194		(185,194)
Culture and recreation		85,500		(85,500)
Conservation of natural resources		90,728		(90,728)
Economic development and assistance		1,953,233		(1,050,252)
Interest on long-term debt		415,484		(415,484)
Pension expense		1,026,130		(1,026,130)

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE COUNTY'S FUNDS

Governmental funds – At the close of the fiscal year, Tishomingo County's governmental funds reported a combined fund balance of \$5,617,770, a decrease of \$1,308,844.

BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS OF MAJOR FUNDS

Over the course of the year, Tishomingo County did not revise its annual operating budget until the end of the fiscal year. At the end of the fiscal year, Tishomingo County amended its budget to reflect actual revenues received and expenditures made. After the final adjustments, actual revenues and disbursements were equal to the final budget amounts.

A schedule showing the original and final budget amounts compared to the County's actual financial activity for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue funds is provided in this report as required supplementary information.

CAPITAL ASSETS AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

Capital Assets – As of September 30, 2016, Tishomingo County's total capital assets was \$119,705,537. This includes land, construction in progress, buildings, improvements other than buildings, mobile equipment, furniture and equipment, roads, bridges, other infrastructure, and leased property under capital lease.

Total accumulated depreciation as of September 30, 2016 was \$57,231,352, including \$1,266,848 of depreciation expense for the year. The balance in total net capital assets was \$62,474,185 at year-end. This amount represents a decrease from the previous year of \$3,955,973.

Additional information on Tishomingo County's capital assets can be found in note 7 on page 32 of this report.

Debt Administration – At September 30, 2016, Tishomingo County had \$17,205,196 in long-term debt outstanding. This includes other loans, obligations under capital lease and bond payable. Of this debt, \$1,146,934 is due within one year.

The State of Mississippi limits the amount of debt a county can issue to generally 15% of total assessed value. The County's outstanding debt is significantly below its current limit of 24 million dollars.

Below is a table showing the activity on long-term debt for this fiscal year. This table breaks down the debt by the type of issue.

Long-Term Debt Analysis

-	Balance 10/1/2015	Issued	Retired	Balance 9/30/2016	Due within One Year
Other loans	\$ 12,812,513	129,032	578,154	12,363,391	863,272
Capital leases	81,352	-	24,547	56,805	18,662
Bonds payable	5,065,000	-	<u>280,000</u>	4,785,000	<u>265,000</u>
Total	17,958,865	129,032	882,701	17,205,196	1,146,934

CONTACTING THE COUNTY'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and investors and creditors with a general overview of the County's finances and to demonstrate the County's accountability for the funds it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, please contact the Tishomingo County Chancery Clerk's Office at 1008 Battleground Drive, Iuka, Mississippi 38852.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

TISHOMINGO COUNTY Statement of Net Position

September 30, 2016

100570	_	Governmental Activities
ASSETS		
Cash	\$	6,617,799
Property tax receivable		4,643,298
Fines receivable (net of allowance for		
uncollectibles of \$213,707)		430,648
Loans receivable		45,468
Capital leases receivable		13,294,788
Intergovernmental receivables		350,916
Other receivables		210,053
Capital assets:		
Land and construction in progress		12,627,453
Other capital assets, net		49,846,732
Total Assets	-	88,067,155
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		400 101
Deferred amount on refunding		499,191
Deferred outflows - pensions	-	1,464,317
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	-	1,963,508
LIABILITIES		
Claims payable		993,437
Accrued liabilities		149,686
Intergovernmental payables		407,471
Accrued interest payable		80,849
Amounts held in custody for others		54,747
Private purpose trust fund payable		1,125
Long-term liabilities		1,120
Net pension liability		8,642,769
		0,042,709
Due within one year:		474 560
Capital debt		474,569
Non-capital debt		672,365
Due in more than one year:		
Capital debt		6,509,536
Non-capital debt	-	9,548,726
Total Liabilities	_	27,535,280
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Property tax for future reporting period		4,643,298
Capital leases for future reporting period		2,368,699
Deferred inflows - pension		
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	-	196,605
Total Deferred Innows of Resources	-	7,208,602
NET POSITION		
Net investment in capital assets		55,989,271
Restricted:		
Expendable:		
General government		46,008
Debt service		711,076
Public safety		478,122
•		
Public works		1,364,506
Health and welfare		10,883
Economic development		3,105,197
Nonexpendable		45,468
Unrestricted		(6,463,750)
Total Net Position	\$	55,286,781
	=	

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

Statement of Activities For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

			Program Revenues		Net (Expense) Revenue and
			Operating	Capital	Changes in Net Position
		Charges for	Grants and	Grants and	Governmental
Functions/Programs	 Expenses	Services	Contributions	Contributions	Activities
Primary government:					
Governmental activities:					
General government	\$ 3,613,785	583,212	-	-	(3,030,573)
Public safety	2,006,481	242,986	49,720	-	(1,713,775)
Public works	3,638,763	157,478	1,307,736	456,614	(1,716,935)
Health and welfare	185,194	-	-	-	(185,194)
Culture and recreation	85,500	-	-	-	(85,500)
Conservation of natural resources	90,728	-	-	-	(90,728)
Economic development and assistance	1,953,233	-	-	902,981	(1,050,252)
Interest on long-term debt	415,484	-	-	-	(415,484)
Pension expense	1,026,130	-	-	-	(1,026,130)
Total Governmental Activities	 13,015,298	983,676	1,357,456	1,359,595	(9,314,571)
Total Primary Government	\$ 13,015,298	983,676	1,357,456	1,359,595	(9,314,571)

\$ 5,122,898
298,533
1,725,989
319,129
920
1,624,384
(209,119)
 (1,310,777)
 7,571,957
 (1,742,614)
57,642,342
(612,947)
57,029,395
\$ 55,286,781

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

TISHOMINGO COUNTY Balance Sheet - Governmental Funds September 30, 2016

	Major Funds						
	_	General Fund	Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund	Hago Automotive Fund	MS Silicon Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS							
Cash	\$	552,962	24,385	33,045	235,947	5,771,460	6,617,799
Property tax receivable		2,646,549	-	-	-	1,996,749	4,643,298
Fines receivable (net of allowance for							
uncollectibles of \$213,707)		430,648	-	-	-	-	430,648
Loans receivable		-	-	-	-	45,468	45,468
Capital leases receivable		-	4,168,100	2,580,250	4,036,342	2,510,096	13,294,788
Intergovernmental receivables		124,010	-	-	-	226,906	350,916
Other receivables		39,116	-	-	-	170,937	210,053
Due from other funds		-	-	-	-	54,674	54,674
Advances to other funds		-	-		-	836,229	836,229
Total Assets	\$	3,793,285	4,192,485	2,613,295	4,272,289	11,612,519	26,483,873
LIABILITIES							
Liabilities:							
Claims payable	\$	141,478	-	-	-	851,959	993,437
Accrued liabilities		103,675	-	-	-	46,011	149,686
Intergovernmental payables		397,232	-	-	-	10,239	407,471
Due to other funds		55,799	-	-	-	-	55,799
Advances from other funds		8,940	-	-	400,000	427,289	836,229
Amounts held in custody of others		54,747	-		-		54,747
Total Liabilities	\$	761,871		<u> </u>	400,000	1,335,498	2,497,369
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES:							
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	\$	2,646,549	-	-	-	1,996,749	4,643,298
Unavailable revenue - fines		430,648	-	-	-	-	430,648
Unavailable revenue - capital lease receivables		-	4,168,100	2,580,250	4,036,342	2,510,096	13,294,788
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	\$	3,077,197	4,168,100	2,580,250	4,036,342	4,506,845	18,368,734
Fund balances:							
Nonspendable:							
Advances		-	-	-	-	836,229	836,229
Loans receivable	\$	-	-	-	-	45,468	45,468
Restricted for:							
General government		8,424	-	-	-		8,424
Public safety		6,079	-	-	-		6,079
Public works		-	-	-	-		-
Health and welfare		-	-	-	-		-
Economic development and assistance		-	-		-		-
Debt service		-	-	-	-	791,925	791,925
Assigned to:							
Capital projects							-
Economic development and assistance			24,385	33,045	-	2,248,719	2,306,149
Public safety		-				483,709	483,709
Public works		-	-	-	-	1,328,759	1,328,759
Unassigned		(60,286)	-		(164,053)	35,367	(188,972)
Total Fund Balances	\$	(45,783)	24,385	33,045	(164,053)	5,770,176	5,617,770
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources and Fund Balances	\$	3,793,285	4,192,485	2,613,295	4,272,289	11,612,519	26,483,873

TISHOMINGO COUNTY Reconciliation of the Balance Sheet of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Net Position September 30, 2016

	 Amount
Total Fund Balance - Governmental Funds	\$ 5,617,770
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:	
Capital assets are used in governmental activities and are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds, net of accumulated depreciation of \$57,231,352.	62,474,185
Fines receivables that have accrued at year end but are not available to liquidate liabilities of the current period are not reported in the funds.	430,648
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(17,205,196)
Net pension obligations are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(8,642,769)
Accrued interest payable is not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, is not reported in the funds.	(80,849)
Capital leases are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.	10,926,089
Deferred amount on refunding	499,191
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions are applicable to future periods and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	1,464,317 (196,605)
Total Net Position - Governmental Activities	\$ 55,286,781

Exhibit 4

TISHOMINGO COUNTY

Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances -Governmental Funds For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

		Major I				
	General Fund	Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund	Hago Automotive Fund	MS Silicon Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
REVENUES						
Property taxes	\$ 2,882,563	-	-	-	2,240,335	5,122,898
Road & bridge privilege taxes	-	-	-	-	298,533	298,533
Licenses, commissions, and other revenue	253,390	-	-	-	-	253,390
Fines and forfeitures	264,693	-	-	-	3,503	268,196
Intergovernmental revenues	1,569,832	-	150,000	12,595	2,710,610	4,443,037
Charges for services	66,144	-	-	-	349,634	415,778
Interest income	91,183	101,666	48,016	-	78,264	319,129
Miscellaneous revenues	12,662	-	<u> </u>	-	1,211,722	1,224,384
Total Revenues	5,140,467	101,666	198,016	12,595	6,892,601	12,345,345
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
General government	3,523,883	-	-	-	12,427	3,536,310
Public safety	1,729,462	-	-	-	378,135	2,107,597
Public works	-	-	-	-	4,078,591	4,078,591
Health and welfare	173,427	-	-	-	19,983	193,410
Culture and recreation	85,500	-	-	-	-	85,500
Conservation of natural resources	90,728	-	-	-	-	90,728
Economic development and assistance	223,320	-	201,876	401,311	1,944,319	2,770,826
Debt service:						
Principal	-	164,623	83,331	-	634,747	882,701
Interest	<u> </u>	101,584	52,209	-	243,469	397,262
Total Expenditures	5,826,320	266,207	337,416	401,311	7,311,671	14,142,925
Excess of Revenues over						
(under) Expenditures	(685,853)	(164,541)	(139,400)	(388,716)	(419,070)	(1,797,580)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Long-term capital debt issued	-	-	-	88,032	41,000	129,032
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	-	-	-	-	12,500	12,500
Insurance proceeds	-	-	-	-	920	920
Transfers in	285,188	-	200,000	-	394,239	879,427
Transfers out	(12,537)	-	(200,000)	-	(666,890)	(879,427)
Lease principal payments	-	165,035	98,860	-	82,389	346,284
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	272,651	165,035	98,860	88,032	(135,842)	488,736
Net Changes in Fund Balances	(413,202)	494	(40,540)	(300,684)	(554,912)	(1,308,844)
Fund Balances - Beginning	367,419	23,891	73,585	136,631	6,325,088	6,926,614
Fund Balances - Ending	\$ (45,783)	24,385	33,045	(164,053)	5,770,176	5,617,770

Exhibit 4-1

TISHOMINGO COUNTY

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities

For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

	 Amount
Net Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	\$ (1,308,844)
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Government Funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However in the Statement of of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount that capital outlays of \$2,073,668 exceeded depreciation of \$1,266,848 in the current period.	806,820
Revenues in the Statement of Activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in the funds:	
Donated Asset	400,000
In the Statement of Activities, only gains and losses from the sale of capital assets are reported, whereas in the Governmental Funds, proceeds from the sale of capital assets increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount of the net loss of (\$1,310,777), the proceeds of capital assets of (\$12,500), and the principal portion of (\$3,808,796) for the new capital lease receivables in the current period.	(5,132,073)
In the Statement of Activities, the pre-existing capital lease with Dennen Steel was cancelled and Hago Automotive signed a capital lease. This is the amount of the new lease issued but no capital assets were removed because they were already sold in a previous year.	(2,130,187)
In the Statement of Activities, capital leases are reported differently from the governmental funds. The revenue from the principal portion of the new capital lease receivable is deferred under the modified accrual basis, but is recognized under the full-accrual basis of accounting.	5,938,983
Fine revenue recognized on the modified accrual basis in the funds during the current year is reduced because prior year recognition would have been required on the Statement of Activities using the full-accrual basis of accounting.	46,316
Debt proceeds provide current financial resources to Governmental Funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the Governmental Funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by a combination of the following items:	
Proceeds of capital debt issued Debt repayments	(129,032) 882,701
Loss on the forgiveness of debt reported in the Statement of Activities.	(209,119)
Amortization of deferred outflows of loss on early retirement of bonds reported on Statement of Activities.	(35,656)
Under the modified accrual basis of accounting used in the Governmental Funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available financial resources. However, in the Statement of Activities, which is presented on the accrual basis, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when financial resources are available. In addition, interest on long-term debt is recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when due, rather than as it accrues. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the following item:	
Accrued interest payable	17,434
In the Statement of Activities, only interest income from payments received on capital leases are reported, whereas in the governmental funds, both principal and interest payments received increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net position differs from change in fund balances by the principal collections on the capital leases.	(346,284)
Some items reported in the Statement of Activities relating to the implementation of GASB 68 are not reported in the governmental funds. These activities include:	
Recording of pension expense for the current period Recording of contributions made for the current fiscal year	(1,026,130) 482,457
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ (1,742,614)

Statement of Fiduciary Net Position September 30, 2016

100570	-	Private-purpose Trust Fund	Agency Funds
ASSETS	•	0 500 000	
Cash	\$	2,500,000	113,112
Due from other funds		1,125	-
Other receivables		-	13
Total Assets	\$	2,501,125	113,125
LIABILITIES			
Amounts held in custody for others	\$	-	16,668
Intergovernmental payables		1,125	96,457
Total Liabilities	\$	1,125	113,125
NET POSITION Held in trust for:			
Individuals, organizations, and other governments	\$	2,500,000	

Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

		Private-purpose Trust Fund
ADDITIONS	-	
Investment income:		
Interest and dividends	\$	1,125
Total Additions	-	1,125
DEDUCTIONS		
Payments in accordance with trust agreements		1,125
Total Deductions	-	1,125
Changes in Net Position		-
Net Position - Beginning		2,500,000
Net Position - Ending	\$	2,500,000

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies.

A. Financial Reporting Entity.

Tishomingo County, Mississippi (the County) is a political subdivision of the State of Mississippi. The County is governed by an elected five-member Board of Supervisors. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require Tishomingo County to present these financial statements on the primary government and its component units, which have significant operational or financial relationships with the County.

Management has chosen to omit from these financial statements the following component units which have significant operational or financial relationships with the County. Accordingly, the financial statements do not include the data of all of the County's component units necessary for reporting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

- Tishomingo County Economic Development Foundation
- Tishomingo County Economic Authority

State law pertaining to county government provides for the independent election of county officials. The following elected and appointed officials are all part of the County legal entity and therefore are reported as part of the primary government financial statements.

- Board of Supervisors
- Chancery Clerk
- Circuit Clerk
- Justice Court Clerk
- Purchase Clerk
- Tax Assessor
- Tax Collector
- Sheriff
- B. Basis of Presentation.

The County's basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements, including a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities, fund financial statements and accompanying note disclosures which provide a detailed level of financial information.

Government-wide Financial Statements:

The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities display information concerning the County as a whole. The statements include all nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are generally financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues and other nonexchange revenues.

The Statement of Net Position presents the financial condition of the governmental activities of the County at year-end. The Government-wide Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function or program of the County's governmental activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program or department and therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipient of the goods or services offered by the program and grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Taxes and other revenues not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues of the County, with certain limited exceptions. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

identifies the extent to which each governmental function is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the County.

Fund Financial Statements:

Fund financial statements of the County are organized into funds, each of which is considered to be separate accounting entities. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that constitute its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues and expenditures. Funds are organized into governmental and fiduciary. Major individual Governmental Funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. Nonmajor funds are aggregated and presented in a single column as Other Governmental Funds.

C. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting.

The Government-wide and Fiduciary Funds (excluding agency funds) financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic asset used, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Shared revenues are recognized when the provider government recognizes the liability to the County. Grants are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Agency funds have no measurement focus, but use the accrual basis of accounting.

Governmental financial statements are presented using a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period when they are both measurable and available to finance operations during the year or to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Available means collected in the current period or within 60 days after year end to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Measurable means knowing or being able to reasonably estimate the amount. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period when the related fund liabilities are incurred. Debt service expenditures and expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recognized only when payment is due. Property taxes, state appropriations and federal awards are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period.

The County reports the following major Governmental Funds:

<u>General Fund</u> - This fund is used to account for and report all financial resources not accounted for and reported in another fund.

<u>Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund</u> - This fund is used to account for capital lease and debt payments used for economic development.

<u>Hago Automotive Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for capital lease and debt payments used for economic development.

<u>MS Silicon Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for monies used in construction to be used for economic development.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Additionally, the County reports the following fund types:

GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

<u>Debt Service Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for principal and interest.

<u>Capital Projects Funds</u> - These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditure for capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets.

FIDUCIARY FUND TYPES

<u>Agency Funds</u> – These funds account for various taxes, deposits and other monies collected or held by the County, acting in the capacity of an agent, for distribution to other governmental units or designated beneficiaries.

<u>Private-purpose Trust Funds</u> – These funds are used to report all trust arrangements, other than those properly reported elsewhere, under which the principal and income benefit individuals, private organizations or other governments.

D. Account Classifications.

The account classifications used in the financial statements conform to the broad classifications recommended in *Governmental Accounting, Auditing and Financial Reporting* as issued in 2012 by the Government Finance Officers Association.

E. Deposits and Investments.

State law authorizes the County to invest in interest bearing time certificates of deposit for periods of fourteen days to one year with depositories and in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, State of Mississippi, or any county, municipality or school district of this state. Further, the County may invest in certain repurchase agreements.

Cash includes cash on hand, demand deposits, all certificates of deposit and cash equivalents, which are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash (generally three months or less). Investments in governmental securities are stated at fair value. However, the County did not invest in any governmental securities during the fiscal year.

F. Receivables.

Receivables are reported net of allowances for uncollectible accounts, where applicable.

G. Interfund Transactions and Balances.

Transactions between funds that are representative of short-term lending/borrowing arrangements and transactions that have not resulted in the actual transfer of cash at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "due to/from other funds." Noncurrent portions of interfund receivables and payables are reported as "advances to/from other funds." Advances between funds, as reported in the fund financial statements, are offset by a nonspendable fund balance account in the General Fund, if applicable, to indicate that they are not available for appropriation and are not expendable available financial resources.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

However, this is not applicable to advances reported in other governmental funds, which are reported, by definition, as restricted, committed, or assigned. Interfund receivables and payables between funds within governmental activities are eliminated in the Statement of Net Position.

H. Capital Assets.

Capital acquisition and construction are reflected as expenditures in Governmental Fund statements and the related assets are reported as capital assets in the (applicable) governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statement. All purchased capital assets are stated at historical cost where records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no records exist. Capital assets include significant amounts of infrastructure which have been valued at estimated historical cost. The estimated historical cost was based on replacement cost multiplied by the consumer price index implicit price deflator for the year of acquisition. The extent to which capital assets cost have been estimated and the methods of estimation are not readily available. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the time of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend their respective lives are not capitalized; however, improvements are capitalized. Interest expenditures are not capitalized on capital assets.

Capitalization thresholds (dollar value above which asset acquisitions are added to the capital asset accounts) and estimated useful lives are used to report capital assets in the government-wide statements. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line basis for all assets, except land. A full year's depreciation expense is taken for all purchases and sales of capital assets during the year. The following schedule details those thresholds and estimated useful lives:

		Capitalization	Estimated
	_	Thresholds	Useful Life
Land	\$	0	N/A
Infrastructure		0	20-50 years
Buildings		50,000	40 years
Improvements other than buildings		25,000	20 years
Mobile equipment		5,000	5-10 years
Furniture and equipment		5,000	3-7 years
Leased property under capital leases		*	*

* Leased property capitalization policy and estimated useful life will correspond with the amounts for the asset classification, as listed above.

I. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then.

<u>Deferred amount on refunding</u> – For current refunding's and advance refunding's resulting in defeasance of debt reported by governmental activities, the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is reported as a deferred outflow of resources or a deferred inflow of resources and recognized as a component of interest expense in a systematic and rational manner over the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

<u>Deferred outflows related to pensions</u> – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred outflows of resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 12 for additional details.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to future periods and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

<u>Property tax for future reporting period/unavailable revenue – property taxes</u> – Deferred inflows of resources should be reported when resources associated with imposed nonexchange revenue transactions are received or reported as a receivable before the period for which property taxes are levied.

<u>Unavailable revenue – fines</u> – When an asset is recorded in the governmental fund financial statements, but the revenue is not available, the government should report a deferred inflow of resources until such a time as the revenue becomes available.

<u>Capital leases for future reporting period/unavailable revenue – capital lease receivable</u> – When a capital lease receivable is recorded in the governmental fund financial statements but the revenue is not available, the government should report a deferred inflow of resources until such time as the revenue becomes available.

<u>Deferred inflows related to pensions</u> – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred inflows of resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 12 for additional details.

J. Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS) and additions to/deductions from PERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

K. Long-term Liabilities.

Long-term liabilities are the unmatured principal of bonds, loans, notes or other forms of noncurrent or long-term general obligation indebtedness. Long-term liabilities are not limited to liabilities from debt issuances, but may also include liabilities on lease-purchase agreements and other commitments.

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities Statement of Net Position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

L. Equity Classifications.

Government-wide Financial Statements:

Equity is classified as Net Position and displayed in three components:

Net investment in capital assets - Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position - Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net position - All other net position not meeting the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

Net Position Flow Assumption:

When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the County's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the County's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

Fund Financial Statements:

Fund balances for governmental funds are reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in those funds can be spent.

Government fund balance is classified as nonspendable, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned. The following are descriptions of fund classifications used by the County.

Nonspendable fund balance includes amounts that cannot be spent. This includes amounts that are either not in a spendable form (inventories, prepaid amounts, long-term portion of loans/notes receivable, or property held for resale unless the proceeds from the collection of those receivables or from the sale of those properties are restricted, committed or assigned) or amounts that are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact, such as a principal balance of a permanent fund.

Restricted fund balance includes amounts that have constraints placed upon the use of the resources either by an external party or imposed by law through a constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

Assigned fund balance includes amounts that are constrained by the County's intent to be used for a specific purpose, but are neither restricted nor committed. For governmental funds, other than the General Fund, this is the residual amount within the fund that is not classified as nonspendable and is neither restricted nor committed. Assignments of fund balance are created by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to authorization established by the policy adopted by the County.

Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

not been restricted, committed or assigned to specific purposes within the General Fund. The General Fund should be the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount. In other governmental funds if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceeded the amounts restricted, committed or assigned to those purposes, it may be necessary to report a negative unassigned fund balance.

Fund Balance Flow Assumption:

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the County's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the County's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

M. Property Tax Revenues.

Numerous statutes exist under which the Board of Supervisors may levy property taxes. The selection of authorities is made based on the objectives and responsibilities of the County. Restrictions associated with property tax levies vary with the statutory authority. The amount of increase in certain property taxes is limited by state law. Generally, this restriction provides that these tax levies shall produce no more than 110% of the amount which resulted from the assessments of the previous year.

The Board of Supervisors, each year at a meeting in September, levies property taxes for the ensuing fiscal year which begins on October 1. Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 of the current year, and personal property taxes become a lien on March 1 of the current year. Taxes on both real and personal property, however, are due on or before February 1 of the next succeeding year. Taxes on motor vehicles and mobile homes become a lien and are due in the month that coincides with the month of original purchase.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require property taxes to be recognized at the levy date if measurable and available. All property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Motor vehicle and mobile home taxes do not meet the measurability and collectability criteria for property tax recognition because the lien and the due date cannot be established until the date of original purchase occurs.

N. Intergovernmental Revenues in Governmental Funds.

Intergovernmental revenues, consisting of grants, entitlements and shared revenues, are usually recorded in Governmental Funds when measurable and available. However, the "available" criterion applies for certain federal grants and shared revenues when the expenditure is made because expenditure is the prime factor for determining eligibility. Similarly, if cost sharing or matching requirements exist, revenue recognition depends on compliance with these requirements.

O. Compensated Absences.

The County has adopted a policy of compensation for accumulated unpaid employee benefits; however, adequate records are not maintained for determining the amount of the liability. Therefore, no liability is recorded in the accompanying financial statements as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We believe the effects of the unrecorded liability on the financial statements are immaterial.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

(2) Prior Period Adjustments.

A summary of the significant fund equity adjustments is as follows:

Exhibit 2 - Statement of Activities.

Explanation	Amount
To add asset purchased in the prior period.	\$ 25,000
To remove assets sold in prior period.	(55,720)
To correct deferred inflows/outflows related to pensions.	(582,227)
Total	\$ (612,947)

(3) Deposits.

The carrying amount of the County's total deposits with financial institutions at September 30, 2016, was \$9,230,911 and the bank balance was \$9,519,717. The collateral for public entities' deposits in financial institutions is held in the name of the State Treasurer under a program established by the Mississippi State Legislature and is governed by Section 27-105-5, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). Under this program, the entity's funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the State Treasurer. Financial institutions holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

Custodial Credit Risk – Deposits. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of a financial institution, the County will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The County does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk. However, the Mississippi State Treasurer manages that risk on behalf of the County. Deposits above FDIC coverage are collateralized by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the name of the Mississippi State Treasurer on behalf of the County.

(4) Interfund Transactions and Balances.

The following is a summary of interfund balances at September 30, 2016:

A. Due From/To Other Funds:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	 Amount
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	\$ 54.674
Private-purpose Trust Fund	General Fund	1,125
Total		\$ 55,799

The receivables represent tax revenue collected in September 2016, but not settled until October 2016. The amount payable to the Private-purpose Trust Fund is interest accrued on the cash balance. The receivables are expected to be repaid within one year from the date of the financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

B. Advances From/To Other Funds:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	 Amount
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	\$ 8,940
Other Governmental Funds	MS Silicon Fund	400,000
Other Governmental Funds	Other Governmental Funds	427,289
Total		\$ 836,229

The advances represent amounts owed for unexpected repairs and operating cash in funds in which money has not been received.

C. Transfers In/Out:

Transfers In	Transfers Out	Amount
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	\$ 285,188
Hago Automotive Fund	Other Governmental Funds	200,000
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	12,537
Other Governmental Funds	Hago Automotive Fund	200,000
Other Governmental Funds	Other Governmental Funds	181,702
Total		\$ 879,427

The principal purpose of the interfund transfers was to provide funds for grant matches or to provide funds to pay for capital outlay. All interfund transfers were routine and consistent with the activities of the fund making the transfer.

(5) Intergovernmental Receivables.

Intergovernmental receivables at September 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Governmental Activities:

Description	Amount
Reimbursement for housing prisoners	\$ 2,850
Legislative tax credit	105,711
State motor vehicle license privilege tax	14,836
Gas tax	40,197
Comfort Revolution	154,959
G&G Steel	2,500
Solid waste disposal fees	7,006
Youth court	988
Timber Severance	205
SAP-71(70)	9,843
LSBP-71(14)	7,757
TRIAD grant	1,400
Harvest Permits	1,284
Other	1,380
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 350,916

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

(6) Loans Receivable.

Loans receivable balance at September 30, 2016, is as follows:

	Date of	Interest	Maturity	Receivable
Description	Loan	Rate	Date	Balance
Town of Tishomingo	12/28/07	4.51%	12/31/17	\$ 45,468
Total				\$ 45,468

The future minimum loan receivables and the present value of the net minimum loan receivables as of September 30, 2016, are as follows:

Year Ending September 30		Principal	Interest
2017	\$	5,370	\$ 430
2018		5,424	376
2019		5,479	322
2020		5,534	267
2021		5,589	211
2022-2025		18,072	294
Total	\$ _	45,468	\$ 1,900

(7) Capital Assets.

The following is a summary of capital assets activity for the year ended September 30, 2016:

	Balance	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Balance 09/30/16
_	10/01/13	Additions	Deletions	Aujustments	03/30/10
\$	12 232 457	-	43 648	(30 720)	12,158,089
Ψ		2 192 047	-		469,364
			43.648		12,627,453
				(0,101,002)	
	60,932,672	-	-	307,931	61,240,603
	33,333,044	-	5,081,232	4,796,047	33,047,859
	3,770,023	31,649	-	350,154	4,151,826
	7,074,645	240,537	74,847	41,826	7,282,161
	1,244,215	9,435	42,733	-	1,210,917
	186,544	-	-	(41,826)	144,718
	106,541,143	281,621	5,198,812	5,454,132	107,078,084
	Balance				Balance
	10/01/15	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	09/30/16
	41,040,728	134,431	-	-	41,175,159
	7,206,299	647,025	11,410	-	7,841,914
	924,486	171,082	-	-	1,095,568
	5,564,483	285,368	56,671	18,825	5,812,005
	1,206,306	15,832	42,306	-	1,179,832
	132,589	13,110		(18,825)	126,874
_	56,074,891	1,266,848	110,387	-	57,231,352
	50,466,252	(985,227)	5,088,425	5,454,132	49,846,732
\$	66.430.158	1.206.820	5.132.073	(30,720)	62,474,185
Ť –		<u> </u>	s,,	(,)	, , . 20
	\$ \$ \$	10/01/15 \$ 12,232,457 3,731,449 15,963,906 60,932,672 33,333,044 3,770,023 7,074,645 1,244,215 186,544 106,541,143 Balance 10/01/15 41,040,728 7,206,299 924,486 5,564,483 1,206,306 132,589 56,074,891 50,466,252 \$ 66,430,158	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 10/01/15 & Additions \\ \hline 10/01/15 & Additions \\ \hline 12,232,457 & - \\ 3,731,449 & 2,192,047 \\ \hline 15,963,906 & 2,192,047 \\ \hline 15,963,906 & 2,192,047 \\ \hline 33,333,044 & - \\ 3,770,023 & 31,649 \\ 7,074,645 & 240,537 \\ 1,244,215 & 9,435 \\ \hline 186,544 & - \\ \hline 106,541,143 & 281,621 \\ \hline \\ $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $
Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Depreciation expense was charged to the following functions:

Governmental Activities	_	Amount
General government	\$	275,283
Public safety		120,720
Public works		323,679
Economic development		547,166
Total Governmental Activities Depreciation Expense	\$	1,266,848

The fixed asset adjustments were to reclassify assets from construction in progress to buildings, improvements other than buildings, and infrastructure for the completed luka and Belmont Airport projects, completed roads and bridges, and a prior period adjustment to remove an asset sold in prior years and a prior period adjustment to add an asset not included in the prior year reports.

Commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects at September 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Description of Commitment	 Remaining Financial Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
AIP #3-28-0036-011-2015	\$ 38,500	July 2017
Comfort Revolution Roof	456,964	September 2017

(8) Claims and Judgments.

Risk Financing.

The County finances its exposure to risk of loss related to workers' compensation for injuries to its employees through the Mississippi Public Entity Workers' Compensation Trust, a public entity risk pool. The County pays premiums to the pool for its workers' compensation insurance coverage, and the participation agreement provides that the pool will be self-sustaining through member premiums. The retention for the pool is \$1,000,000 for each accident and completely covers statutory limits set by the Workers' Compensation Commission. Risk of loss is remote for claims exceeding the pool's retention liability. However, the pool also has catastrophic reinsurance coverage for statutory limits above the pool's retention, provided by Safety National Casualty Corporation, effective from January 1, 2016, to January 1, 2017. The pool may make an overall supplemental assessment or declare a refund depending on the loss experience of all the entities it insures.

(9) Operating Leases.

As Lessor:

On April 1, 2016, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with General Atomics Corporation for the lease of property/premises located at Tri-State Commerce Park owned by the County for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Miltec Corporation. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay approximately \$6,875 per month in lease payments commencing on April 1, 2016 for a term of 5 years. At the end of the lease term, General Atomics Corporation has the option to renew for 2 additional terms of 5 years at a rate of \$6,875 per month.

On March 30, 2012, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Pickwick Flotation for the lease of Building 3001 located at Tri-State Commerce Park owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of manufacturing flotation devices, production and shipping. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay \$300 per month in lease payments commencing on April 1, 2014 for a term of 3 years. There is a 2 year abatement at the beginning of the lease in which Pickwick Flotation does not owe rent, but is responsible for \$250 per month insurance reimbursement. At the end of the lease term, Pickwick Flotation has the option to

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

renew for an additional term of 5 years. In addition, the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per building per year.

On March 6, 2012, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Plant Process Support, Inc. for the lease of the premises located at 28 Industrial Park Drive, Burnsville, MS owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of a powder coat painting operation, production, and shipping. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay approximately \$338.54 per month in lease payments commencing on April 1, 2015 for a term of 2 years. The lease stipulated there is a 3 year abatement at the beginning of the lease in which Plant Process Support, Inc. does not owe rent. Plant Process Support, Inc. agreed to invest \$50,000 into the endeavor and create 7 full time jobs in return for the abatement. At the end of the lease term, Plant Process Support, Inc. has the option to renew for an additional term of 5 years, at a rate of \$677.08 per month and a second additional term of 5 more years at a rate of \$1,015.63 per month. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per building per year.

On November 1, 2005, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable five year operating lease agreement with Waterway, Inc. for the lease of Buildings #1010, 1012 and 1045 located at the Tri-State Commerce Park, MS owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of Waterway, Inc., exclusively. This lease was amended on October 1, 2012 to stipulate that lessee would pay \$7,612 per month for remainder of renewal term. At the end of the lease term, Waterway, Inc. has the option to renew for an additional term of 5 years. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per building per year or provide proof of casualty insurance.

On August 15, 2011, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Ershigs, Inc. for the lease Building #1024, #1026, #1027, #1032, and #3011 located at the Tri-State Commerce Park, MS owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of Ershigs, Inc., exclusively. The operating lease stipulated that building #3011 will be leased for a term of 25 years commencing September 1, 2011, and the remaining buildings will be leased for a term of 20 years commencing on the same date. Lessee will pay \$700 per month in consideration of lease of buildings #1024, #1026, #1027, and #1032. There will be no rent due on building #3011, and the lessee agrees to execute a simple deed to the county for said building. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per building per year

On August 5, 2013, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Ershigs, Inc. for the lease Building #1020, located at the Tri-State Commerce Park, MS owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of Ershigs, Inc., exclusively. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay approximately \$500 per month in lease payments commencing July 1, 2013 for a term of 5 years. At the end of the lease term, Ershigs, Inc has the option to renew for an additional 5 years. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per year.

On July 1, 2008, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Furniture Fabrics & More, LLC for the lease of the premises and/or property located at 338 Highway 72, Burnsville, MS 38833 owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of Furniture Fabrics & More, LLC, exclusively. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay approximately \$2,000 per month in lease payments commencing July 1, 2008 for a term of 5 years. At the end of the lease term, Furniture Fabrics & More, LLC has the option to renew for an additional 5 years. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per year

On June 1, 2009, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with G&G Steel, Inc., for the lease of Building #2042 owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of steel fabricating and shipping and for any other lawful purpose for a seven year period. Beginning on the first day of the thirteenth month, the lessee shall pay \$1,000 per month for remainder of the lease. An amendment to the lease dated June 28, 2013, stipulated that the lease

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

would be extended to 99 years and the lease payment would be free in exchange for the lessee's investment in the expansion and modification to the existing buildings. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per building per year.

On September 1, 1998, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Alliant Techsystems, Inc., for the lease of a portion of Building #1000 known as MIC room, owned by the County for the purpose of manufacturing and directly related activities. The operating lease stipulated the lessee would pay a lump sum of \$10,000 due on April 15, 2011, plus \$1.50 per square foot (28,790 sq. ft. total) to be paid in equal quarterly installments for the five year extension of April 2011 through March 2016. The next option to extend the term of the lease begins on April 15, 2016, and stipulates a lump sum of \$10,000 plus \$2.25 per square foot to be paid in equal quarterly installments.

On March 25, 1999, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Alliant Techsystems, Inc., for the lease of Building #1016 located at The Tri-State Commerce Park in Tishomingo County. The operating lease stipulated the building would be rent free for the first 24 months of the term. Then, the lessee will pay \$1.70 per square foot on 110,000 square foot building on a quarterly basis for the next 5 years. An amendment dated August 3, 1999, stipulated Alliant Techsystems, Inc., will occupy an additional 52,000 square feet of Building #1016, and will reduce the rate to \$1.25 per square foot on a total space of 317,000 square feet through December 2007. Then, the rate will increase to \$1.50 per square foot and remain at that rate for the remainder of the lease.

On April 5, 2010, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancelable operating lease agreement with Alliant Techsystems, Inc., for the lease of rooms #600 and #643 located in building #1000, located at the Tri-State Commerce Park, for the purpose of running a corporate office and security operations. The operating lease stipulated that \$769 (\$1.00 per square foot) is to be paid January 1 of each year starting in 2010 with a renewal option each year through December 31, 2019.

On December 1, 2010, Tishomingo County entered into a ten year non-cancellable operating lease agreement with KX Technologies LLC, for the lease of property/premises located at 36 Wildrose Drive, luka, MS more commonly known as the "Wildrose Building", owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business activities of drinking water products production and shipping, ancillary office use, or any other lawful purpose. The operating lease stipulated that the rent would be abated for the entire term of the lease provided the lessee makes all necessary repairs and preforms all maintenance obligations on the building. The lessee also agrees to hire 45 on site employees by the commencement date of the lease, December 1, 2010. If the lessee does not meet the provisions of the abatement, the lessor has the option to terminate the lease agreement or enforce the rent of \$60,000 per year for the term of the lease, 10 years. In addition the lessee agrees to reimburse the lessor for insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per year.

On March 1, 2013, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Terry Wayne Nix/TW Nix Flying Service, Inc, for the lease of airport facilities located at the Tishomingo County Airport located within the city limits of Belmont. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would provide certain services and pay \$1 per year commencing on March 3, 2013, for a period of 10 years. At the end of the lease term, the lessee has the option to extend the lease for an additional 10 years.

On September 22, 2011, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with John Bostick DBA Sunshine Aviation, LLC, for the lease of airport facilities located at the Tishomingo County Airport located within the city limits of Belmont. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would provide certain services and pay \$1 per year commencing on April 10, 2010. At the end of the lease term, the lessee has the option to extend the lease for an additional 10 years.

On October 22, 1963, Tishomingo County entered into an operating lease agreement with Consolidated Aluminum Corporation also known as "International Converter" for the lease of a building and land located in Tishomingo County as described in the lease agreement. The operating

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

lease stipulated that the lessee would pay rent of \$1,885 per year. After the 20 year primary term, the lessee has the option to renew the lease for 70 consecutive renewal terms of one year and a final renewal term of nine years.

On May 9, 2013, Tishomingo County executed an addendum to the operating lease agreement with Consolidated Aluminum Corporation (International Converter LLC) for the lease of an addition to the existing building to be constructed by Tishomingo County. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay rent of \$12,223 per month commencing January 1, 2013 for a period of 15 years.

On April 2, 2012, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with David Robinson, for the lease of 43 acres at the Tri-State Commerce Park in Tishomingo County. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay rent of \$878 per year commencing January 1, 2012 for a term of four years.

On November 1, 2014, Tishomingo County entered into a non-cancellable operating lease agreement with Silver Dollar Sales for the lease of the premises and/or property known as "The Old Falcon Building" at 338 Highway 72, Burnsville, MS 38833 owned by the County for the purpose of conducting business of Furniture Fabrics & More, LLC, exclusively. The operating lease stipulated that the lessee would pay approximately \$2,000 per month in lease payments commencing July 1, 2008 for a term of 5 years. At the end of the lease term, Furniture Fabrics & More, LLC has the option to renew for an additional 5 years. In addition the lessee agreed to reimburse the lessor for casualty insurance at a rate of \$3,000 per year.

The county receives income from property it leases under noncancellable operating leases. Total income from such leases was \$929,435 for the year ended September 30, 2016. The future minimum lease receivables for these leases are as follows:

Year Ended September 30	Amount
2017	\$ 833,686
2018	826,418
2019	803,918
2020	803,918
2021	730,278
2022-2026	890,603
2027-2031	289,587
Total Minimum Payments Required	\$ 5,178,408

(10) Capital Leases.

As Lessor:

On March 22, 2005, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Skyline Steel Pipe, Inc. for the lease of a steel processing facility. The capital lease stipulated that the lessee would pay principal and interest on the CAP loan, the RAIL loan, and on in-lieu funds, approximately \$5,214 per month for the first 10 years and \$3,821.85 for years 11-15 in lease payments commencing on March 22, 2005 for a term of fifteen years. At the end of the lease term, Skyline Steel Pipe, Inc. has the option to purchase the manufacturing facility for \$100, assuming all 60 rental payments (years 11-15) were made or Skyline Steel Pipe, Inc. pays the unamortized balance of all 3 loans at any time during the term of the lease.

On April 1, 2012, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Contract Fabricators, Inc. for the lease of a facility to conduct the business activities of Contract Fabricators, Inc. The capital lease stipulated that the lessee would pay \$22,225 commencing on April 1, 2012 for a term of twenty years. At the end of the lease term, the facility will be transferred to Contract Fabricators, Inc. upon completion of the underlying debt.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

On December 15, 2012, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Comfort Revolution LLC for the lease of the building also known as the "Schnadig Building' to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Comfort Revolution. The capital lease stipulated that the lessee would be rent free for the first 3 years and then pay approximately \$7,255 commencing January 1, 2016 for a term of twenty years. At the end of the lease term, Comfort Revolution LLC has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

On November 20, 2014, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Vanleigh RV for the lease of the building formerly known as the "New River Homes Building' to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Vanleigh RV. The capital lease stipulated that the lessee would be rent free for the first 5 years and then pay approximately \$3,000 commencing January 1, 2019 for a term of twenty years. At the end of the lease term, Vanleigh RV has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

On October 10, 2014, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Villa International for the lease of the building located on Falcon Drive to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Villa International. The capital lease stipulated that the lessee would be rent free for the first 3 years and then pay approximately \$1,338 commencing December 1, 2017 for a term of twenty years. At the end of the lease term, Villa International has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

On February 1, 2016, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Bear Corrections, LLC for the lease of the old "Falcon Building" located on Falcon Drive to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Bear Corrections, LLC. The capital lease stipulated that they would pay approximately \$755 commencing December 1, 2017 for a term of five years. At the end of the lease term, Bear Corrections, LLC has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

On September 25, 2015, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with Hago Automotive Corp for the lease of the building located at Yellow Creek Port, 11 CR 481, luka, to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of Hago Automotive Corp. The capital lease stipulated they be entitled to a credit against the rent amounts first following due hereunder in the amount of \$20,500. Accordingly, as a result of such credit, no rent shall be due for the month of February, 2016 and only \$8,000 shall be due for the month of March, 2016. Hago Automotive will pay approximately \$14,250 commencing April 1, 2016 for a term of ten years and five months. At the end of the lease term, Hago Automotive Corp has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

On April 15, 2016, Tishomingo County entered into a capital lease agreement with MS Silicon for the lease of the building located at 80 CR 210, Burnsville, MS, to be for the purpose of conducting the business activities of MS Silicon. The capital lease stipulated they will pay approximately \$403,634 commencing April 15, 2017 for a term of ten years. At the end of the lease term, MS has the option to purchase the facility for \$10, assuming the entire indebtedness is paid in full.

The County leases the following property with varying terms and options as of September 30, 2016:

Class of Property	A	mount
Land	\$ 63	31,631
Industrial facilities	18,40	<u>)6,433</u>
	\$ <u>19,03</u>	38,064

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

The future minimum lease receivables and the present value of the net minimum lease receivables as of September 30, 2016, are as follows:

Year Ending September 30		Principal	Interest
2017	\$	688,386	\$ 295,029
2018		718,913	281,428
2019		739,754	261,047
2020		753,013	240,481
2021		771,979	220,109
2022-2026		4,183,739	775,913
2027-2031		2,679,384	283,163
2032-2036	_	390,921	11,529
Total	\$	10,926,089	\$ 2,368,699

As Lessee:

The County is obligated for the following capital assets acquired through capital leases as of September 30, 2016:

Classes of Property	Governmental Activities		
Mobile Equipment Less: Accumulated Depreciation	\$	144,718 (126,874)	
Leased Property Under Capital Leases	\$	17,844	

The following is a schedule of years of the total payments due as of September 30, 2016:

		Governmental Activities		
Year ending September 30	Principal Interes			Interest
2017	\$	18,662	\$	1,780
2018		19,216		1,216
2019		12,416		635
2020		6,511		92
Total	\$	56,805	\$	3,723

(11) Other Postemployment Benefits.

Plan Description

The Tishomingo County Board of Supervisors administers the County's health insurance plan which is authorized by Sections 25-15-101 et seq., Mississippi Code Ann. (1972). The County's health insurance plan may be amended by the Tishomingo County Board of Supervisors. The County purchases health insurance coverage from a commercial insurance company and offers health insurance benefit coverage through the County's health insurance plan (the Plan). Since retirees may obtain health insurance by participating in a group with active employees and consequently receive a health insurance premium rate differential, the County has a postemployment healthcare benefit reportable under GASB Statement 45 as a single employer defined benefit health care plan. However, the County has not recorded a liability for other postemployment benefits nor has the County reported the note disclosures which are required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

(12) Defined Benefit Pension Plan.

General Information about the Pension Plan

<u>Plan Description</u>. Tishomingo County, Mississippi contributes to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by state law and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That information may be obtained by writing to Public Employees' Retirement System, PERS Building, 429 Mississippi Street, Jackson, MS 39201-1005 or by calling 1-800-444-PERS.

Benefits Provided. Membership in PERS is a condition of employment granted upon hiring for qualifying employees and officials of the State of Mississippi, state universities, community and junior colleges, and teachers and employees of the public school districts. For those persons employed by political subdivisions and instrumentalities of the State of Mississippi, membership is contingent upon approval of the entity's participation in PERS by the PERS' Board of Trustees. If approved, membership for the entity's employees is a condition of employment and eligibility is granted to those who qualify upon hiring. Participating members who are vested and retire at or after age 60 or those who retire regardless of age with at least 30 years of creditable service (25 years of creditable service for employees who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011) are entitled, upon application, to an annual retirement allowance payable monthly for life in an amount equal to 2.0 percent of their average compensation for each year of creditable service up to and including 30 years (25 years for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011), plus 2.5 percent for each additional year of creditable service with an actuarial reduction in the benefit for each year of creditable service below 30 years or the number of years in age that the member is below 65, whichever is less. Average compensation is the average of the employee's earnings during the four highest compensated years of creditable service. Benefits vest upon completion of eight years of membership service (four years of membership service for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2007). PERS also provides certain death and disability benefits. A Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) payment is made to eligible retirees and beneficiaries. The COLA is equal to 3.0 percent of the annual retirement allowance for each full fiscal year of retirement up to the year in which the retired member reaches age 60 (55 for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011), with 3.0 percent compounded for each fiscal year thereafter. Plan provisions are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature.

<u>Contributions</u>. At September 30, 2016, PERS members were required to contribute 9% of their annual covered salary, and the County is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The employer's rate at September 30, 2016 was 15.75% of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of PERS members and employers are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. The County's contributions (employer share only) to PERS for the years ending September 30, 2016, 2015, and 2014 were \$482,457, \$500,428 and \$475,638, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2016, the County reported a liability of \$8,642,769 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2016, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net pension plan relative to projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The County's proportionate share used to calculate the September 30, 2016 net pension liability was .048385 percent, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2016. This was a decrease of .001718 percent from its proportionate share used to calculate the September 30, 2015 net pension liability, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2015.

For the year ended September 30, 2016, the County recognized pension expense of \$1,026,130. At September 30, 2016, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	-	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	243,763	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings			
on pension plan investments		595,355	-
Changes of assumptions		421,906	22,966
Changes in the proportion and differences between the			
County's contributions and proportionate share of			
contributions		84,185	173,639
County contributions subsequent to the measurement			
date		119,108	-
Total	\$	1,464,317	196,605

\$119,108 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2017. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year ending September 30:

2017	\$ 412,379
2018	291,909
2019	273,577
2020	 170,739
Total	\$ 1,148,604

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

<u>Actuarial Assumptions</u>. The total pension liability in the June 30, 2016 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods in the measurement:

Inflation	3.00 percent
Salary increases	3.75 – 19.00 percent, including inflation
Investment rate of return	7.75 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Table Projected with Scale BB to 2016, with males rates set forward one year.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2016 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2014. The experience report is dated May 4, 2015.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a lognormal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected nominal returns, net of pension plan investment expense and the assumed rate of inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

The target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class as of June 30, 2016, are summarized in the following table:

		Long-Term
	Target	Expected Real
Asset Class	Allocation	Rate of Return
U.S. Broad	34.00 %	5.20 %
International Equity	19.00	5.00
Emerging Markets Equity	8.00	5.45
Fixed Income	20.00	0.25
Real Assets	10.00	4.00
Private Equity	8.00	6.15
Cash	1.00	(0.50)
Total	100.00 %	

<u>Discount Rate</u>. The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate (9.00%) and that employer contributions will be made at the current employer contribution rate (15.75%). Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Sensitivity to the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the <u>Discount Rate</u>. The following presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.75 percent, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.75 percent) or 1- percentage-point higher (8.75 percent) than the current rate:

		Current	
	1%	Discount	1%
	Decrease (6.75%)	Rate (7.75%)	Increase (8.75%)
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 11,081,968	8,642,769	6,619,025

<u>Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position</u>. Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PERS financial report.

(13) Long-term Debt.

Debt outstanding as of September 30, 2016, consisted of the following:

Description and Purpose	· -	Amount Outstanding	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date
Governmental Activities:				
A. General Obligation Bonds:				
Jail Project Series 2010 G.O.	\$	880,000	4.35%	12-2020
Jail Project Series 2015 Refunding Bond		3,905,000	3.25%	12-2030
Total General Obligation Bonds	\$	4,785,000		
B. Capital Leases:				
John Deere 5083E tractor	\$	14,760	0.00%	10-2017
Fire truck		42,045	4.79%	03-2020
Total Capital Leases	\$	56,805		
C. Other Loans:				
MDA CAP loan – Eastport VFD	\$	49,766	3.00%	03-2020
MDA CAP loan – Carters Branch VFD		25,106	3.00%	06-2023
MDA CAP loan – Snowdown VFD		51,897	3.00%	12-2020
MDA CAP loan – Skyline		119,409	3.00%	03-2022
MDA rail Ioan – Skyline		106,709	2.75%	03-2022
MDA CAP loan – Harmony Central VFD		34,792	3.00%	11-2020
MDA CAP loan – Carter Branch VFD		29,488	3.00%	07-2020
Airport T-Hangar		56,968	4.00%	07-2021
MDA CAP loan – International Converter		1,321,599	3.00%	12-2027
MDA CAP loan – Contract Fabricators		3,304,698	3.00%	03-2032
MDA CAP loan – Harmony Central VFD		61,272	3.00%	12-2022
TVA Ioan – Hago Automotive		576,923	3.00%	07-2023
MDA CAP loan – Comfort Revolution		941,822	3.00%	12-2032
MDA CAP Ioan – MS Silicon		3,500,000	2.68%	04-2027

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Description and Purpose	 Amount Outstanding	Interest Rate	Final Maturity Date
MDA CAP loan – Hago Automotive	\$ 1,671,530	3.00%	12-2030
MDA Cap Loan – Villa International	470,412	3.00%	08-2034
FANB Tractor- 3 rd Dist	41,000	2.54%	09-2021
Total Other Loans	\$ 12,363,391		

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the following debt reported in the Statement of Net Position are as follows:

Governmental Activities:

	Other I	Loans		General Obligation Bonds		
Year Ending September 30:	Principal	Interest	_	Principal	Interest	
2017	\$ 863,272	345,549	\$	265,000	127,142	
2018	889,638	320,516		270,000	119,780	
2019	915,321	294,816		285,000	112,003	
2020	934,968	268,340		290,000	103,811	
2021	927,002	242,226		295,000	96,725	
2022-2026	4,649,001	823,227		1,585,000	377,969	
2027-2031	2,627,138	265,824		1,795,000	143,519	
2032-2036	557,051	25,461		-	-	
Total	\$ 12,363,391	2,585,959	\$	4,785,000	1,080,949	

Legal Debt Margin – The amount of debt, excluding specific exempted debt, that can be incurred by the County is limited by state statute. Total outstanding debt during a year can be no greater than 15% of assessed value of the taxable property within the County, according to the then last completed assessment for taxation. However, the limitation is increased to 20% whenever a county issues bonds to repair or replace washed out or collapsed bridges on the public roads of the County. As of September 30, 2016, the amount of outstanding debt was equal to 3.50% of the latest property assessments.

<u>Advance Refunding</u> - On July 30, 2015, the County issued \$3,980,000 in general obligation refunding bonds with an average interest rate of 1.00-3.25% to advance refund \$3,350,000 of the following outstanding bond issue:

	Average	Outstanding
	Interest	Amount
Issue	Rate	Refunded
Jail Project Series 2010 G.O.	4.35-5.25%	\$ 3,350,000

The Jail Project Series 2010 G.O. had an outstanding balance of \$4,635,000 at the time of refunding, but only \$3,350,000 of the bond was refunded, leaving a remaining principal balance of \$1,285,000, of which \$200,000 was redeemed during fiscal year 2015 and \$205,000 redeemed during fiscal year 2016.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

The net proceeds of \$3,884,847 (after payment of \$95,153 in underwriting fees and other issuance costs) were used to purchase U.S. government securities. Those securities were deposited in an irrevocable trust with an escrow agent to provide for all future debt service payments on the bonds. As a result, those bonds are considered to be defeased, and the liability for those bonds has been removed from the Statement of Net Position.

The County advance refunded the above bonds to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 5 years by almost \$125,000; however, the advance refunding resulted in an economic loss of \$92,269.

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2016:

	_	Balance Oct. 1, 2015	Additions	Reductions	Balance Sept. 30, 2016	Amount due within one year
Governmental Activities:						
General obligation bonds	\$	5,065,000	-	280,000	4,785,000	265,000
Capital leases		81,352	-	24,547	56,805	18,662
Other loans	-	12,812,513	129,032	578,154	12,363,391	863,272
Total	\$_	17,958,865	129,032	882,701	17,205,196	1,146,934

<u>Prior Year Defeasance of Debt</u> - In prior years, the County defeased certain general obligation bonds by placing the proceeds of new bonds in an irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service payments on the old bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not included in the County's financial statements. On September 30, 2016, \$3,350,000 of bonds outstanding were considered defeased.

(14) Deficit Fund Balances of Individual Funds.

The following funds reported deficits in fund balance at September 30, 2016:

Fund	 Deficit Amount	
General Fund	\$ 45,783	
Comfort Revolution Fund	214,123	
District 4 Road Maintenance Fund	466	
MS Silicon Fund	164,053	
Jail Project Fund	2,782	

(15) Contingencies.

<u>Federal Grants</u> – The County has received federal grants for specific purposes that are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Entitlements to these resources are generally conditional upon compliance with the terms and conditions of grant agreements and applicable federal regulations, including the expenditure of resources for allowable purposes. Any disallowance resulting from a grantor audit may become a liability of the County. No provision for any liability that may result has been recognized in the County's financial statements.

<u>Litigation</u> – The County is party to legal proceedings, many of which occur in the normal course of governmental operations. It is not possible at the present time to estimate ultimate outcome or liability, if any, of the County with respect to the various proceedings. However, the County's legal counsel believes that ultimate liability resulting from these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

<u>Maintenance Agreement</u> – The County entered into a long-term maintenance agreement with the City of Burnsville for \$7,500 annually to maintain the boat ramp in Burnsville.

(16) Related Organizations.

The Tishomingo County Board of Supervisors is responsible for appointing a voting majority of the members of the Tishomingo County Water and Sewer District, but the County's accountability for this organization does not extend beyond making the appointments to this organization.

(17) Effect of Deferred Amounts on Net Position

The unrestricted net position amount of (\$6,463,750) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to pensions. A portion of the deferred outflow of resources related to pension in the amount of \$119,108 resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2017. The \$1,345,209 balance of the deferred outflows of resources related to pensions at September 30, 2016, will be recognized in pension expense over the next four years. The \$196,605 balance of the deferred inflows of resources related to pensions at September 30, 2016, will be recognized in pension expense over the next four years.

The unrestricted net position amount of (\$6,463,750) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of expenditures resulting from an advance refunding of County debt. The \$499,191 balance of the deferred outflows of resources related to the deferred amount on refunding at September 30, 2016, will be recognized as an expense and will decrease the unrestricted net position over the remaining fifteen years.

The unrestricted net position amount of (\$6,463,750) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of income resulting from a deferred inflow from capital lease receivables. The \$2,368,699 balance of deferred inflows of resources related to capital leases for future reporting periods at September 30, 2016, will be recognized as income and will increase the unrestricted net position over the next twenty years.

The unrestricted net position amount of (\$6,463,750) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of income resulting from a deferred inflow from property tax receivables. The \$4,643,298 balance of the deferred inflows of resources related to property tax for a future reporting period at September 30, 2016, will be recognized as income in the subsequent fiscal year when the taxes are both due and collectible and available to fund operations.

(18) Joint Ventures.

The County participates in the following joint ventures:

Tishomingo County is a participant in the Northeast Regional Library, a joint venture, authorized by Section 39-3-9, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The library was organized under a contract between the counties of Alcorn, Prentiss, Tippah and Tishomingo. Tishomingo County appoints one of the fourmember board. By contractual agreement, the County's appropriation this year to the joint venture amounted to \$85,500. Complete financial statements for the Northeast Regional Library can be obtained from the Northeast Regional Library, 1023 Fillmore Street, Corinth, MS 38834.

(19) Jointly Governed Organizations.

The County participates in the following jointly governed organizations:

Northeast Mississippi Regional Solid Waste Authority was organized to provide solid waste disposal services to the counties of Benton, Prentiss, Tippah, and Tishomingo, and the cities of Ashland, Booneville and Ripley. Each of the 7 members has one vote with a guarantee that the member of the

Notes to Financial Statements For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Board of Supervisors whose district contains the landfill is a permanent member of the Authority. User Governments will be billed on the volume of solid waste from each government.

The Regional IV Mental Health-Mental Retardation Commission is composed of the counties of Alcorn, Prentiss, Tippah, and Tishomingo. The board of commissioners is comprised of one appointee from each county Board of Supervisors. The County appropriated \$34,200 for fiscal year 2016.

Northeast Mississippi Planning and Development District operates in a district composed of the counties of Alcorn, Benton, Marshall, Prentiss, Tippah, and Tishomingo. The governing body is a 24-member board of directors with four appointed by the Board of Supervisors of each member county. The County appropriated \$21,500 for fiscal year 2016.

Northeast Mississippi Community College operates in a district composed of the counties of Alcorn, Prentiss, Tippah, Tishomingo, and Union. The Tishomingo County Board of Supervisors appoints two of the 15 members of the college board of trustees. The County appropriated \$438,831 for maintenance and support of the college in fiscal year 2016.

Northeast Mississippi Community Action Agency operates in a district composed of the counties of Alcorn, Benton, Marshall, Tishomingo, and Prentiss. The board of directors is comprised of 21 total board members. Tishomingo County appoints 5 board members. The County appropriated \$77,805 for support of the agency in fiscal year 2016.

(20) Subsequent Events.

Events that occur after the Statement of Net Position date but before the financial statements are available to be issued must be evaluated for recognition or disclosure. The effects of subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that existed at the Statement of Net Position date are recognized in the accompanying financial statements. Subsequent events which provide evidence about conditions that existed after the Statement of Net Position date require disclosure in the accompanying notes. Management of Tishomingo County evaluated the activity of the County through June 11, 2019, and determined that the following subsequent events have occurred requiring disclosure in the notes to the financial statements. No adjustments were considered necessary to the financial statements.

Subsequent to September 30, 2016, the County issued the following debt obligations:

lssue	Interest	 Issue	Type of	Source of
Date	Rate	Amount	Financing	Financing
01/04/17	3.07%	\$ 59,500	Other Ioan	Ad valorem taxes
04/25/17	2.95%	37,000	Other Ioan	Ad valorem taxes
06/29/17	2.00%	296,545	Other Ioan	Ad valorem taxes
05/02/18	2.87%	250,000	Other Ioan	Fuel tax
05/02/18	2.87%	250,000	Other Ioan	Fuel tax

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) General Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

	_	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
REVENUES	•	0 550 070	0.000.044	0.000.044	
Property taxes	\$	2,553,076	2,923,214	2,923,214	-
Road & Bridge Privilege Tax		000 040	007 044	007.044	
Licenses, commissions and other revenue		268,046	267,641	267,641	-
Fines and forteitures		230,500	268,250	268,250	-
Intergovernmental revenues		1,872,281	1,699,394	1,699,394	-
Charges for services		-	90,442	90,442	-
Interest income		47,360	91,857	91,857	-
Miscellaneous revenues	_	82,000	91,866	91,866	-
Total Revenues	_	5,053,263	5,432,664	5,432,664	-
EXPENDITURES Current:					
General government		3,353,917	3,603,304	3,603,304	-
Public safety		1,637,391	1,727,773	1,727,773	-
Health and welfare		177,993	164,703	164,703	-
Culture and recreation		85,500	85,500	85,500	-
Conservation of natural resources		59,239	58,509	58,509	-
Economic development and assistance		207,795	207,795	207,795	-
Total Expenditures		5,521,835	5,847,584	5,847,584	-
Excess of Revenues	-				
over (under) Expenditures	_	(468,572)	(414,920)	(414,920)	-
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/USES					
Proceeds of other debt		-	100,684	100,684	-
Transfers in		-	428,877	428,877	-
Transfers out		-	(157,352)	(157,352)	-
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses		-	372,209	372,209	
Net Change in Fund Balance		(468,572)	(42,711)	(42,711)	-
Fund Balance - Beginning	_	367,419	367,419	367,419	-
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	(101,153)	324,708	324,708	

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

REVENUES	_	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Miscellaneous revenue	\$	267,000	266,701	266,701	
Total Revenues	Ψ_	267,000	266,701	266,701	
Total Revenues		207,000	200,701	200,701	
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
Economic development and assistance		_	22,184	22,184	_
Debt service:			22,101	22,101	
Principal		267,000	244,023	244,023	_
Total Expenditures		267,000	266,207	266,207	
		201,000	200,201	200,201	
Excess of Revenues					
over (under) Expenditures		_	494	494	_
			101	101	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/USES					
Transfers in		_	22,184	22,184	-
Transfers out		_	(22,184)	(22,184)	-
Total Other Financing Sources and (Uses)				-	
Net Change in Fund Balance		_	494	494	-
Fund Balance - Beginning		23,891	23,891	23,891	-
	_			_0,001	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	23,891	24,385	24,385	-
	Ť =		_ 1,000	_ 1,000	

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) Hago Automotive Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

REVENUES	_	Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
Use for money and property	\$	_	150,000	150,000	_
Use for money and property	Ψ	44,916	-	-	-
Total Revenues	_	44,916	150,000	150,000	-
EXPENDITURES					
Economic development and assistance Debt service:		-	202,665	202,665	-
Principal Retirement		135,000	134,752	134,752	-
Total Expenditures	_	135,000	337,417	337,417	-
Excess of Revenues					
over (under) Expenditures	_	(90,084)	(187,417)	(187,417)	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES/USES					
Transfers in		-	200,000	200,000	-
Transfers out		-	(200,000)	(200,000)	-
Proceeds from industrial lease	_	114,000	152,667	152,667	
Total Other Financing Sources and (Uses)	_	114,000	152,667	152,667	
Net Change in Fund Balance		23,916	(34,750)	(34,750)	-
Fund Balance - Beginning		73,585	73,585	73,585	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	97,501	38,835	38,835	

Budgetary Comparison Schedule Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) MS Silicon Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

		Original Budget	Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
REVENUES					
Intergovernmental revenues	\$		437,824	437,824	
Total Revenues			437,824	437,824	-
EXPENDITURES Economic development and assistance Total Expenditures	_	200,000 200,000	539,407 539,407	539,407 539,407	
Excess of Revenues					
over (under) Expenditures		(200,000)	(101,583)	(101,583)	-
Net Change in Fund Balance Fund Balance - Beginning	_	(200,000) 136,631	(101,583) 136,631	(101,583) 136,631	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	(63,369)	35,048	35,048	

Tishomingo County Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability Last 10 Fiscal Years* For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

	_	2016	2015	2014
County's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)		0.048385%	0.050103%	0.048590%
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$	8,642,769	7,744,472	5,899,150
County's covered-employee payroll	\$	3,095,302	3,130,159	2,969,092
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		279.22%	247.41%	198.69%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability		57.47%	61.70%	67.21%

* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the measurement date of June 30 of the fiscal year presented. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

Tishomingo County Schedule of County Contributions Last 10 Fiscal Years* For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

	 2016	2015	2014
Contractually required contribution Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	\$ 482,457 482,457	500,428 500,428	475,638 475,638
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$ -	-	
County's covered-employee payroll	\$ 3,063,219	3,177,321	3,019,924
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%

* This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until, a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

TISHOMINGO COUNTY Notes to the Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Budgetary Comparison Schedule

A. Budgetary Information.

Statutory requirements dictate how and when the county's budget is to be prepared. Generally, in the month of August, prior to the ensuing fiscal year beginning each October 1, the Board of Supervisors of the County, using historical and anticipated fiscal data and proposed budgets submitted by the Sheriff, the Tax Assessor, and Tax Collector for his or her respective department, prepares an original budget for each of the Governmental Funds for said fiscal year. The completed budget for the fiscal year includes for each fund every source of revenue, each general item of expenditure, and the unencumbered cash and investment balances. When during the fiscal year it appears to the Board of Supervisors that budgetary estimates will not be met, it may make revisions to the budget.

The County's budget is prepared principally on the cash basis of accounting. All appropriations lapse at year end, and there are no encumbrances to budget because state law does not require that funds be available when goods or services are ordered, only when payment is made.

B. Basis of Presentation.

The Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) presents the original legally adopted budget, the final legally adopted budget, actual amounts on a budgetary (Non-GAAP Basis) and variances between the final budget and the actual amounts. The schedule is presented for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund. The Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) is a part of required supplemental information.

C. Budget/GAAP Reconciliation.

The major differences between the budgetary basis and the GAAP basis are:

- 1. Revenues are recorded when received in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).
- 2. Expenditures are recorded when paid in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).

Notes to the Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

The following schedule reconciles the budgetary basis schedules to the GAAP basis financial statements for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund:

		G	Sovernmental Fund Type	es
	-	General Fund	Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund	Hago Automotive Fund
Budget (Cash Basis)	\$	(42,711)	494	(34,750)
Increase (Decrease)				
Net adjustments for revenue accrual		(292,197)	(165,035)	48,016
Net adjustments for expenditure accruals Net adjustments for other financing		21,264	· <u>-</u>	1
sources(uses) accruals		(99,558)	165,035	(53,807)
GAAP Basis	\$	(413,202)	494	(40,540)

	-	Governmental Fund Types MS Silicon Fund	
Budget (Cash Basis)	\$	(101,583)	
Increase (Decrease) Net adjustments for revenue accrual Net adjustments for expenditure accruals Net adjustments for other financing		(425,229) 138,096	
sources(uses) accruals		88,032	
GAAP Basis	\$	(300,684)	

Pension Schedules

A. Changes of assumptions

<u>2015</u>

The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Table projected to 2016 using Scale BB rather than the RP-2000 Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.

The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Disabled Retiree Table, rather than the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.

Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates and service retirement rates were also adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience.

Assumed rates of salary increase were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience.

The price inflation and investment rate of return assumptions were changed from 3.50% to 3.00% and 8.00% to 7.75% respectively.

Notes to the Required Supplementary Information For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

<u>2016</u>

The assumed rate of interest credited to employee contributions was changed from 3.50% to 2.00%.

B. Changes in benefit provisions

<u>2016</u>

Effective July 1, 2016, the interest rate on employee contributions shall be calculated based on the money market as published by the Wall Street Journal on December 31 of each proceeding year with a minimum rate of one percent and a maximum rate of five percent.

Method and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions.

The actuarially determined contribution rates in the schedule of employer contributions are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported (2016 Employer contributions are developed from 2014 evaluation).

The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine the most recent contribution rate reported in that schedule:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age				
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, open				
Remaining amortization period	29.2 years				
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market				
Price inflation	3.50 percent				
Salary increase	4.25 percent to 19.50 percent, including inflation				
	0				
Investment rate of return	8.00 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation				

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

TISHOMINGO COUNTY Reconciliation of Operating Costs of Solid Waste For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Operating Expenditures, Cash Basis:

Salaries	\$ 341,799
Expendable Commodities: Gasoline & petroleum products	56,236
Repair parts	79,325
Insurance & Fidelity Bonds	350
Utilities	5,760
Engineering Fees	560
Maintenance	1,942
Supplies	84
Hauling	 233,366
Solid Waste Cash Basis Operating Expenditures	719,422
Full Cost Expenses:	
Indirect administrative costs	5,241
Depreciation on equipment	58,839
Other accrued expenses	 54,423
Solid Waste Full Cost Operating Expenses	\$ 837,925

OTHER INFORMATION

Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

Name	Position	Company	Bond
Brandon Grissom	Supervisor District 1	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Nicky McRae	Supervisor District 2	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Michael Busby	Supervisor District 3	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Jeffery Holt	Supervisor District 4	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Greg Collier	Supervisor District 5	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Peyton Cummings	Chancery Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Kelly Prather	Purchase Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$75,000
Lynn West	Assistant Purchase Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Courtney Page	Receiving Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$75,000
Brandon Brown	Assistant Receiving Clerk - District 1	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
David Oaks	Assistant Receiving Clerk - District 2	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Ronnie Woodruff	Assistant Receiving Clerk - District 3	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Marcus Robinson	Assistant Receiving Clerk - District 4	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Timothy Wood	Assistant Receiving Clerk - District 5	Western Surety Co.	\$10,000
Tim Wilson	Assistant Receiving Clerk - Landfill	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Judy Robinson	Assistant Receiving Clerk - Sheriff	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Peyton Cummings	Inventory Control Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$75,000
Gina Underwood	Assistant Inventory Control Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Donald Ray Thomas	Constable	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Jamie Stuart	Constable	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Donna Dill	Circuit Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Cindy Hinton	Deputy Circuit Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Rebecca Oaks	Deputy Circuit Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Susan Long	Deputy Circuit Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Amanda Mathis	Deputy Circuit Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
John Dennis Daugherty	Sheriff	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section		
Lee Hollingsworth	45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Ronny Taylor	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Thomas Mynatt	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
John Dipietro	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Scott Woodruff	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Ryan Glover	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Joseph Marlar	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Darrell Hopkins	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Scott Dalton	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Jamie Reynolds	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000

Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials For the Year Ended September 30, 2016 UNAUDITED

Name	Position	Company	Bond
Jeremy Clark	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Monica Bronson	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
James T. Bradley	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Wesley Wellington	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Greg Mitchell	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Scott Marlin	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Rodney Pannell	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Lane White	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Lamar Bolton	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Shane Wood	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Brandon Slack	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Perry Mask	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Adam Kostenlansky	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Jeffrey Sparks	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Billy McKissick	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section 45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
-	Sheriff's Deputy (hired under Section		
Talmadge Mcanally	45-5-9 Miss. Code Ann. (1972))	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000 \$50,000
Donnie J. Sparks Clayton Cummings	Justice Court Judge Justice Court Judge	Western Surety Co. Western Surety Co.	\$50,000 \$50,000
Mechelle Pounders	Justice Court Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Edna Renee Shull	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Joy Brock Chatman	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Jena McNatt	Tax Collector	Western Surety Co.	\$100,000
Laura Hisaw	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Kathy Helton	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Sandy Kirk	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000
Debbie Curtis	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000 \$50,000
Shailyn Davis Wayne Crum	Deputy Tax Collector Tax Assessor	Western Surety Co. Western Surety Co.	\$50,000 \$50,000
Rebecca Oaks	Deputy Tax Assessor	Western Surety Co.	\$50,000 \$10,000
Shirley Maxwell	Deputy Tax Assessor	Western Surety Co.	\$10,000
Melissa Morris	Deputy Tax Assessor	Western Surety Co.	\$10,000
	1.7	·····, ···	, ,

SPECIAL REPORTS



Certified Public Accountants

Member of: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants

www.sparkscpas.com

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Members of the Board of Supervisors Tishomingo County, Mississippi

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Tishomingo County, Mississippi (the County), as of and for the year ended September 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 11, 2019. Our report includes an adverse opinion on the aggregate discretely presented component units due to the omission of the discretely presented component units which are required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to be reported with the financial data of the County's primary government unless the County also issues financial statements for the financial reporting entity that include the financial data for its component units. The report is qualified on the General Fund due to management not maintaining and preserving adequate subsidiary records documenting the completeness, existence and valuation of fines receivable and the aging of fines receivable. The report is qualified on the governmental activities because the County did not record a liability or current year expense for other postemployment benefits as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Tishomingo County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Tishomingo County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

Florence, Alabama Phone: (256)764-0991

Booneville, Mississippi Phone: (662)728-6172 **Red Bay, Alabama** Phone: (256)356-9375

Corinth, Mississippi Phone: (662)286-7082 Muscle Shoals, Alabama Phone: (256)314-5082

> luka, Mississippi Phone: (662)423-5057

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as 2016-001, 2016-002, 2016-003, 2016-004, 2016-009, 2016-010, 2016-011, 2016-012, 2016-013 and 2016-014 to be material weaknesses.

A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses as 2016-005, 2016-006, 2016-007 and 2016-008 to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Tishomingo County, Mississippi's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

However, we also noted certain matters that we reported to the management of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, in the Independent Accountant's Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System and Purchase Clerk Schedules and the Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report dated June 11, 2019, included within this document.

Tishomingo County's Responses to Findings

Tishomingo County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Responses. Tishomingo County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

The sparts CPA tim, A.C.

The Sparks CPA Firm, P.C. Certified Public Accountants Red Bay, Alabama June 11, 2019



Member of: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants

www.sparkscpas.com

Certified Public Accountants

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON CENTRAL PURCHASING SYSTEM, INVENTORY CONTROL SYSTEM AND PURCHASE CLERK SCHEDULES (REQUIRED BY SECTION 31-7-115, MISS. CODE ANN. (1972))

Members of the Board of Supervisors Tishomingo County, Mississippi

We have examined Tishomingo County, Mississippi's (the County) compliance with establishing and maintaining a central purchasing system and inventory control system in accordance with Sections 31-7-101 through 31-7-127, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) and compliance with the purchasing requirements in accordance with the bid requirements of Section 31-7-13, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) during the year ended September 30, 2016. The Board of Supervisors of Tishomingo County, Mississippi is responsible for the County's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with specified requirements. The Board of Supervisors of Tishomingo County, Mississippi, has established centralized purchasing for all funds of the County and has established an inventory control system. The objective of the central purchasing system is to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that purchases are executed in accordance with state law.

Because of inherent limitations in any central purchasing system and inventory control system, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any current evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

The results of our audit procedures disclosed a certain instance of noncompliance with the aforementioned code sections. This instance of noncompliance was considered in forming our opinion on compliance. Our finding and recommendation and your response is disclosed below:

Inventory Control Clerk.

1. <u>The Inventory Control Clerk should maintain an inventory control system that includes correct</u> values for all assets.

Florence, Alabama Phone: (256)764-0991

Booneville, Mississippi Phone: (662)728-6172 Red Bay, Alabama Phone: (256)356-9375

Corinth, Mississippi Phone: (662)286-7082 Muscle Shoals, Alabama Phone: (256)314-5082

> luka, Mississippi Phone: (662)423-5057

Finding

Section 31-7-107, Miss Code Ann. (1972), requires the Inventory Control Clerk to maintain an inventory control system. This system should include all additions and deletions to capital assets in the system including Construction in Progress. Audit procedures indicated that due to failure to add and delete assets correctly, the following asset classifications were misstated:

- Construction in progress was overstated by \$135,515
- Improvements Other Than Buildings were understated by \$135,515

Failure to record assets at the proper values resulted in a material misstatement in the financial statements. Audit adjustments were proposed to management and made to the financial statements with management's approval.

Recommendation

The Inventory Control Clerk should ensure that all asset additions and deletions are recorded in the County inventory records at the proper values.

Inventory Control Clerk's Response

Inventory Control Clerk will comply with recommendation.

In our opinion, because of the noncompliance referred to in the preceding paragraph, Tishomingo County, Mississippi, did not comply, in all material respects, with state laws governing central purchasing, inventory and bid requirements for the year ended September 30, 2016.

The accompanying schedules of (1) Purchases Not Made from the Lowest Bidder, (2) Emergency Purchases and (3) Purchases Made Noncompetitively from a Sole Source are presented in accordance with Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The information contained on these schedules has been subjected to procedures performed in connection with our aforementioned examination and, in our opinion, is fairly presented in relation to that examination.

Tishomingo County's responses to the findings included in this report were not audited, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended for use in evaluating Tishomingo County, Mississippi's compliance with the aforementioned requirements, and is not intended to be and should not be relied upon for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

The aparta CPA Firm, P.C.

The Sparks CPA Firm, P.C. Certified Public Accountants Red Bay, Alabama June 11, 2019

Schedule of Purchases Not Made From the Lowest Bidder

For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Schedule 1

Date	ltem Purchased	 Bid Accepted	Vendor	_		Lowest Bid	Reason For Accepting Other Than the Lowest Bid
12/29/15	Culverts	\$ 74.16/foot	Fulton Pipe	\$	72.82/foot		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
12/29/15	Culverts	\$ 47.20/foot	Fulton Pipe	\$	46.33/foot		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
12/29/15	Culverts	\$ 61.00/foot	Fulton Pipe	\$	60.23/foot		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
06/07/16	Hot mix in place	\$ 93.50/ton	Bonds Company	\$	90.00/ton		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
07/13/16	Hot mix in place	\$ 93.50/ton	Bonds Company	\$	86.00/ton		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
07/29/16	Hot mix in place	\$ 93.50/ton	Bonds Company	\$	86.00/ton		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
07/29/16	Hot mix in place	\$ 93.50/ton	Bonds Company	\$	90.00/ton		The lowest bidder could not provide so they purchased from the alternate bidder.
Schedule of Emergency Purchases

Schedule 2

Schedule of Energency Fulchases	
For the Year Ended September 30, 2016	

Date	Item Amount Purchased Paid			Reason for Emergency Purchase
02/11/16	Road repair	\$ 19,250	Bonds Construction	CR 982 blown out causing the road slide due to excessive rainfall.

Schedule of Purchases Made Noncompetitively From a Sole Source For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Schedule 3

Our tests did not identify any purchases made noncompetively from a sole source.



Member of: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Alabama Society of Certified Public Accountants Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants

www.sparkscpas.com

LIMITED INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE REVIEW MANAGEMENT REPORT

Members of the Board of Supervisors Tishomingo County, Mississippi

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Tishomingo County, Mississippi for the year ended September 30, 2016, we considered Tishomingo County, Mississippi's internal control to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control.

In addition, for areas not considered material to Tishomingo County, Mississippi's financial reporting, we have performed some additional limited internal control and state legal compliance review procedures as identified in the state legal compliance audit program issued by the Office of the State Auditor. Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the County's compliance with these requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. This report does not affect our report dated June 11, 2019, on the financial statements of Tishomingo County, Mississippi.

Due to the reduced scope, these review procedures and compliance tests cannot and do not provide absolute assurance that all state legal requirements have been complied with. Also, our consideration of internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters within the internal control that might be weaknesses. In accordance with Section 7-7-211, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), the Office of the State Auditor, when deemed necessary, may conduct additional procedures and tests of transactions for this or other fiscal years to ensure compliance with legal requirements.

The results of our review procedures and compliance tests identified certain immaterial instances of noncompliance with state laws and regulations that are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. Our findings, recommendations, and your responses are disclosed below:

Board of Supervisors.

1. <u>The County had unapproved interfund transfers and loans.</u>

Finding

Section 19-3-27, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), requires the board minutes to be a complete and correct record of all proceedings of the Board of Supervisors. Interfund transfers and loans were made without board orders spread upon the minutes. Failure to have board approval for interfund transfers or loans spread upon the board minutes could result in illegal transfers or loans.

Recommendation

The Board of Supervisors should spread on its minutes orders relative to interfund transfers and loans.

Florence, Alabama Phone: (256)764-0991

Booneville, Mississippi Phone: (662)728-6172 **Red Bay, Alabama** Phone: (256)356-9375

Corinth, Mississippi Phone: (662)286-7082 Muscle Shoals, Alabama Phone: (256)314-5082

luka, Mississippi Phone: (662)423-5057

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

2. <u>Controls over repayment of interfund advances should be strengthened.</u>

Finding

As reported in prior years' audit reports, the County has interfund loans outstanding that are over a year old. These interfund loans total \$836,229 as of September 30, 2016. The Mississippi Code is silent regarding the authority of the County to make these loans. Failure to repay these loans constitutes a diversion of legally restricted funds.

Recommendation

The Board of Supervisors should ensure that these loans are repaid as soon as possible.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

3. <u>Warrants were issued without sufficient money to pay the warrants.</u>

Finding

Section 19-13-43, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), prohibits the signing of warrants or the delivery of warrants until there is sufficient money in the fund upon which it is drawn to pay the same. Warrants were issued on a fund which did not have sufficient money to pay the warrants. As reported in the prior year's audit report, at various times during the year, the following funds had negative cash balances:

- (a) General Fund
- (b) Emergency Medical Services Fund
- (c) Iuka Airport Fund
- (d) 3rd District Industrial Fund
- (e) Roll Form Fund
- (f) Block Grant Fund

Failure to have sufficient cash balances in County funds prior to writing checks on these funds results in other funds' cash being used for purposes other than their intended purpose.

Recommendation

The Board of Supervisors should ensure that no warrants are signed or delivered until there is sufficient money in the fund upon which it is drawn to pay the same, as required by law.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

4. The County should replace obsolete computer hardware and software.

Finding

As reported in the prior year's audit report, the County is running operating systems as well as applications on some of its personal computers (PCs) that might not be supported by vendors. Due to the lack of such support, these systems could become vulnerable to hackers and malware such as viruses.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County develop a plan to replace the operating systems, applications, and hardware where necessary that is no longer supported by vendors as soon as possible.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

5. <u>The County should strengthen passwords.</u>

Finding

As reported in the prior year's audit report, the County is using some parameters associated with password strength that do not meet industry standard best practices, thereby creating unnecessary risk for County information assets.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County improve its password strength by changing password parameters to comply with password management best practices and industry standards.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

6. <u>The County should create a rotation of backups offsite.</u>

Finding

As reported in the prior year's audit report, the County is currently using an automated system to perform daily back-ups of the AS400. Upon clarification of the backup processes, it was determined that the tapes should be taken offsite, as no other copy of County data was being stored at an off-site location. Without proper off-site storage of back-up files and applications, material damage could be realized by the County and its processes should a catastrophic even occur involving the County's building and servers. Risk and probabilities of material loss escalates in relationship to the longer an exposure goes unmitigated.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County implement a plan to ensure that all back-up files are taken offsite on a regular basis and stored in a safe and secure location. This process should be documented in the County's Disaster Recovery Plan. With close proximity of a bank it would be convenient and safe to place the backup tapes in the night deposit drop and then place them in a safe deposit box the next day. It is further recommended that tapes be kept at the bank for several days (e.g., two weeks), in case of a disaster situation that required recovery and problems in reading recovery tapes were experienced.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply.

7. The County should establish and test a disaster recovery process.

Finding

As reported in the prior year's audit report, the County has not established a disaster recovery process. As a result, the County cannot fully ensure that the information systems can be restored in a timely manner. Disaster recovery involves defining and documenting plans to help sustain and recover critical information technology resources, information systems, and associated business functions. *Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology* (CobiT, Section DS4), as well as recognized industry best practices, require a written disaster recovery plan be developed and tested regularly to provide orderly recovery of vital functions in the event of a hardware or environmental disaster. Failure to maintain an adequate recovery plan could impede the County's ability to regain computer operations in the event of a disaster.

There are a number of steps that an organization can take to prevent or minimize the damage to automated operations that may occur from unexpected events. One example is routinely backing up data files and programs and periodically restoring these files and programs as part of a formal, documented disaster recovery exercise. Such actions maintain the organization's ability to restore data files, which may be impossible to re-create.

The County is currently creating back-up files, but is not restoring such files as part of a formal, documented disaster recovery exercise. Without proper assurance that backup files can be utilized to adequately restore all critical data in a timely manner in the event of a disaster scenario, material damage could be realized by the County and its processes should a catastrophic event occur involving the County's buildings and servers. Risk and probabilities of material loss escalates in relationship to the longer an exposure goes unmitigated.

Recommendation

We recommend that the County develop, implement, and test a plan to ensure that critical data and applications are recoverable in case of a disaster scenario. In order to do this it will be necessary to gain an understanding from vendors involved in the backup process of the types of backups that should be created, on what frequency these backups should be created, the processes necessary to create the various types of backups and the responsibilities of the various parties involved, including county personnel and vendor personnel. Furthermore, these procedures and responsibilities should be documented and agreed upon by all parties involved.

We also recommend that the County develop and implement a disaster recovery plan documenting procedures to be followed during an emergency. Once the plan is completed, it should be subjected to proper testing, and employees should be made aware of their responsibilities in the event of a disaster. The plan should be stored in a safe, accessible location and updated when needed in order to maintain readiness for a disaster scenario.

Board of Supervisors' Response

We will comply with recommendation.

Tax Assessor.

8. An industry was granted an exemption in excess of the amount allowed.

Finding

The Tax Assessor allowed an industry to receive exemption on an amount in excess of the exemption granted by the Mississippi State Tax Commission. This resulted in an industry paying less in ad valorem tax than they should have. Failure to monitor exemptions could result in a loss of taxpayer funds.

Recommendation

The Tax Assessor should monitor what assessed values are included in exemptions to prevent the County from the possible loss of ad valorem tax.

Tax Assessor's Response

The former Tax Assessor was not available for response.

Tishomingo County's responses to the findings included in this report were not audited, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors, and others within the entity and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

The sparts CPA Firm, P.C.

The Sparks CPA Firm, P.C. Certified Public Accountants Red Bay, Alabama June 11, 2019

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Section 1: Summary of Auditors' Results

Financial Statements:

1. Type of auditors' report issued on the financial statements:

Governmental Activities Aggregate discretely presented component units General Fund Contract Fabricators, Inc. Fund Hago Automotive Fund MS Silicon Fund Aggregate remaining fund information	Qualified Adverse Qualified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified	
Internal control over financial reporting:		
a. Material weakness identified?b. Significant deficiencies identified?	Yes Yes	
Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	No	

Section 2: Financial Statement Findings

Board of Supervisors.

2.

3.

Material Weakness.

2016-001. <u>Criteria:</u>

A critical aspect of effective financial management is the preparation of accurate financial statements.

Condition:

Generally accepted accounting principles require the financial data for the County's component units to be reported with the financial data of the County's primary government unless the County also issues financial statements for the financial reporting entity that include the financial data for its component units

Effect:

The failure to include the County's component units resulted in an adverse opinion on the discretely presented component units.

Cause:

The County chose not to include their component units in their financial statements.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should provide the audited financial data for its discretely presented component units for inclusion in the County's financial statements.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Response:

Our component units do not provide audited statements.

Material Weakness.

2016-002 <u>Criteria:</u>

A critical aspect of effective financial management is the preparation of accurate financial statements.

Condition:

GASB Statement No. 45 Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions requires the County to report on an accrual basis the liability associated with other postemployment benefits. The County has not recorded a liability for other postemployment benefits, nor has the County reported the note disclosures which are required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Effect:

The failure to properly follow generally accepted accounting principles resulted in a qualified opinion on the governmental activities.

Cause:

The County chose not to include a liability for postemployment benefits in their financial statements nor have they chosen to include required note disclosures.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should have an actuarial performed annually so that a liability for other postemployment benefits can be recorded and appropriate note disclosures can be made in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Response:

We will comply.

Material Weakness.

2016-003 <u>Criteria:</u>

A critical aspect of effective financial management is the preparation of accurate financial statements.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Condition:

An effective system of internal control over financial statement preparation should include adequate documentation for all amounts reported in the financial statements, all required disclosures in the notes to the financial statements, proper implementation of GASB standards, proper classification of revenues and expenditures and proper posting of transactions. We noted the following deficiencies in the financial preparation and reporting:

- a. A completed project in the amount of \$135,515 was erroneously left in construction in progress. Therefore, depreciation expense was incorrectly presented.
- b. The County did not properly record the GASB Statement No. 68 journal entries which resulted in Pension Expense not being calculated correctly.
- c. Deferred outflows related to pensions on the Statement of Net Position was overstated by \$426,925.
- d. Deferred inflows related to pensions on the Statement of Net Position was understated by \$196,605.
- e. Net pension liability on the Statement of Net Position was understated by \$352,834.
- f. Required note disclosures for operating leases were omitted.
- g. Required note disclosures for capital leases were incomplete.
- h. Required GASB Statement No. 68 note disclosures were incorrectly presented.
- i. Justice Court and Circuit Court Clerk's cash balances were overstated by \$45,653 and \$10,024, respectively.

Effect:

The failure to properly report assets, liabilities, fund balances, revenues, expenditures, transfers, note disclosures and properly present funds in accordance with GASB Statement No. 34 could result in inaccurate financial statements.

Cause:

The County failed to properly follow generally accepted accounting principles in preparing the financial statements and notes to the financial statements.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should implement a system of internal control over financial statement preparation and reporting in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles that includes proper posting of adjustments to assets, liabilities, revenues, expenditures, and transfers. Funds should be presented in the financial statements in accordance with criteria established by GASB Statement No. 34 and all required note disclosures should be presented.

Response:

We will comply.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Material Weakness.

2016-004 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal control over the accounting of fines receivable should include maintaining a reasonable allowance for uncollectible fines.

Condition:

The allowance for uncollectible fines does not appear reasonable.

Effect:

The failure to present a reasonable allowance for uncollectible fines receivable could result in erroneous amounts being reported in the financial statements.

Cause:

The County's policy is based on the assumption that 100% of all fines less than 48 months past due would be collected.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should approve a reasonable allowance for uncollectible fines.

Response:

We will comply.

Significant Deficiency.

2016-005 <u>Criteria:</u>

The Mississippi Enterprise Security Policy requires network access reviews be performed, at a minimum, every three years or more frequently whenever business situations change that might raise the level of risk for unauthorized access to an entity's data assets.

Condition:

As reported in prior years' audits, a network access review is warranted. The County failed to have a network access reviewed as required by Mississippi Enterprise Security Policy.

Effect:

Hacks into networks, especially internet facing networks, are a common occurrence in today's information technology environment. Data breaches can cost breached entities fines, regulatory oversight, bad public relations, customer distrust and personnel time to correct issues caused by unauthorized access. Consequences can be both short-term (e.g., notification of breached parties) and long-term (e.g., lawsuits).

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Cause:

The potential cost of a network access review has prevented the County from having one performed.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should perform a network access review as soon as possible consisting of risk assessments, vulnerability scans and penetration tests. These tests should be conducted by qualified personnel that specialize in such assessments.

Response:

We will comply.

Significant Deficiency.

2016-006 <u>Criteria:</u>

All passwords should be set to expire in accordance with policy to be determined by the County using best business practices guidelines.

Condition:

As reported in prior years' audits, some users' passwords were set to expire on a more infrequent basis than recommended in best business practices.

Effect:

The County becomes more vulnerable to hackers if passwords are not expired on a regular basis.

Cause:

The County failed to implement a policy ensuring that passwords are expired on a regular basis.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should implement a policy to ensure that passwords are expired on a regular basis. The County should also conduct access reviews for information assets on a periodic basis (at least annually). Documentation of these reviews should be signed by the person conducting them and retained for review by auditors

Response:

We will comply.

Significant Deficiency.

2016-007 <u>Criteria:</u>

A critical aspect of effective cyber security is a policy for information technology.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Condition:

As reported in prior years' audits, the County has not adopted a formal Information Security Policy or Enterprise Security Plan.

Effect:

The lack of a formal Information Security Policy can lease to a breakdown of basic security practices in the areas of application security, LAN/WAN security, management of the security application and internet protocol.

Cause:

The County failed to implement a form Information Security Policy or Enterprise Security Plan.

Recommendation:

The County should create a plan of compliance with industry standards to ensure progress towards a robust documented information security plan. This policy should be reviewed and approved by the Board of Supervisors. In addition, employees that utilize technology should review and accept such policies before access to computer resources is granted to employees. Proof of approval by management and acceptance by employees should be retained for review by auditors.

Response:

We will comply.

Significant Deficiency.

2016-008 <u>Criteria:</u>

The County's server room should have limited access and proper environmental equipment for electronic equipment.

Condition:

As reported in prior years' audits, the County's computer room is not a dedicated room with limited, controlled access and proper environmental equipment for electronic equipment. The room is secure in that there are people working during business hours in and around the computer. However, the computer is in the open and has the potential to be accessed by unauthorized personnel. The room does have air conditioning but it does not have monitoring equipment for other conditions that can have an adverse effect on electronic equipment.

Effect:

Lack of monitoring equipment could result in an environmental condition affecting the County's server. If there is an incident, the County could lose vital records.

Cause:

The County does not have a separate secure room to house their computer server.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Recommendation:

The County should establish an area specifically for computerized equipment that can be locked and provisioned with environmental control and monitoring equipment in relation to heat, humidity, and other conditions that might affect computerized equipment. This equipment should also have the capability to notify the proper personnel when these conditions vary outside of preset ranges. A log should also be maintained of visitors entering and exiting the computer room. In addition, access reviews should be completed on a periodic basis to determine that only authorized personnel have access to the computer room. Documentation of visitors to the computer room as well as periodic access reviews should be maintained for review by audit personnel.

Response:

We will comply.

Chancery Clerk.

Material Weakness.

2016-009 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal control should include adequate preparation, review, and documentation of bank reconciliations.

Condition:

As reported in the prior year's audit, audit procedures indicated a lack of any documentation showing a review of bank reconciliations.

Effect:

Failure to review bank reconciliations could result in a loss of public funds.

Cause:

The Chancery Clerk does not review bank reconciliations after they are prepared.

Recommendation:

The Chancery Clerk should ensure a system of review and documentation over reconciliations of the County's cash balances to bank account balances.

Response:

I have taken steps to correct in the fiscal year 2018.

Material Weakness.

2016-010 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal controls should include an adequate segregation of duties for payroll preparation.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Condition:

As reported in the prior year's audit, the payroll clerk sets up new employees, makes changes to existing employee's master data, runs the payroll, signs the checks and prepares the checks for distribution.

Effect:

Failure to have adequate segregation of duties for payroll preparation could result in the loss or misappropriation of public funds.

Cause:

The Chancery Clerk has a small staff and therefore, the payroll clerk performs all duties of the payroll process.

Recommendation:

The Chancery Clerk should take steps to ensure that there is adequate segregation of duties in the processing of payroll.

Response:

I will comply.

Material Weakness.

2016-011 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal controls over the accounting functions should include an adequate segregation of duties in the collection, deposit preparation, disbursement, general journal, recording and reconciling functions.

Condition:

As reported in the prior year's audit, it was noted that an employee was processing disbursements with the Chancery Clerk's signature pre-printed on the checks, along with initialing her name on the checks as well. The Chancery Clerk did not review the bank statements, bank reconciliations, checks written and related back up data supporting disbursements.

Effect:

The conditions could result in unrecorded transactions, misstated financial reports, undetected errors or misappropriation of funds.

Cause:

The Chancery Clerk allowed employees to use his electronic signature on checks.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Recommendation:

In order to mitigate the possibility of misappropriation of funds, it is necessary to maintain adequate segregation of duties. The Chancery Clerk should not allow the employee who processes disbursements to print checks with his electronic signature on the checks. The Chancery Clerk should review bank statements and reconciliations and document as being reviewed.

Response:

I will comply.

Inventory Control Clerk.

Material Weakness.

2016-012 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal control should include properly recording all additions and deletions to capital assets in the capital asset records including Construction in Progress.

Condition:

Audit procedures indicated that due to failure to add and delete assets correctly, the following asset classifications were misstated:

- Construction in progress was overstated by \$135,515
- Improvements Other Than Buildings were understated by \$135,515

Effect:

Failure to record assets at the proper values resulted in a material misstatement in the financial statements. Audit adjustments were proposed to management and made to the financial statements with management's approval.

Cause:

The Inventory Control Clerk did not correctly add and delete capital assets.

Recommendation:

The Inventory Control Clerk should ensure that all asset additions and deletions are recorded in the county inventory at the proper values.

Response:

I will comply.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Justice Court Clerk.

Material Weakness.

2016-013 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal control over fines receivable records should include maintaining and preserving adequate subsidiary records to substantiate the total fines receivable balance.

Condition:

Management did not maintain and preserve adequate subsidiary records documenting the completeness, existence and valuation of the fines receivable for Justice Court and the aging of fines receivable.

Effect:

The Independent Auditors' Report includes a qualified opinion on the General Fund because we were unable to satisfy ourselves as to the fair presentation of the Justice Court fines receivable.

Cause:

The Justice Court Clerk did not maintain a subsidiary ledger for fines receivable.

Recommendation:

The Justice Court Clerk should establish procedures documenting the completeness, existence and valuation of Justice Court fines receivable records, including the aging schedules for the Justice Court fines receivable. These fines receivables amounts should include fines only.

Response:

I will comply.

Material Weakness.

2016-014 <u>Criteria:</u>

An effective system of internal control should include an adequate separation of duties.

Condition:

The cash collection and disbursement functions in the Justice Court office were not adequately separated for effective internal controls. The Justice Court Clerk has access to collections, prepares and makes bank deposits, prepares daily check-up sheets, reconciles the bank statements, posts the cash journal, makes monthly settlements and writes checks for all disbursements.

Schedule of Findings and Responses For the Year Ended September 30, 2016

Effect:

Failure to have an adequate separation of duties could result in the loss of public funds.

Cause:

The Justice Court Clerk has a small staff.

Recommendation:

The Board of Supervisors should take steps to ensure that there is an adequate separation of duties in the collection and disbursement functions of the Justice Court office or that there is external oversight over the operations of the Justice Court office.

Response:

We will comply.