

MISSISSIPPI STUDENT INFORMATION SYSTEM
2015-2016 SCHOOL AUDITS
COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL REPORT



STACEY E. PICKERING
STATE AUDITOR

A report from the Performance Audit Division

www.osa.ms.gov



This page intentionally left blank



**Office of the State Auditor
Stacey E. Pickering**

***2015-2016 Mississippi Student Information System School Audit
Comprehensive Annual Report***

September 22, 2016

The Office of the State Auditor is tasked with auditing the accuracy and reliability of student and personnel data transmitted to the Mississippi Department of Education for the purpose of determining funding for local school districts.

One task of the Office of the State Auditor (OSA) is to review the accuracy and reliability of student and personnel information submitted by school districts to the MS Department of Education (MDE) through the Mississippi Student Information System (MSIS) in order to determine funding for local school districts. The OSA conducted audits of 40 of the State's 148 school districts (345 of 900+ schools) during the 2015-2016 school year. These audits reviewed the actions in the following categories: student enrollment, student absentee reporting, truancy reporting, graduation, suspensions, textbooks, and property internal controls. Please note that for efficiency purposes, only one headcount was conducted within each school district audited.

While each school district audited for written policy on enrollment requirements and procedures showed 100% compliance, auditors found that a majority (88%) of the districts audited showed a failure to verify residency of the students enrolled, which revealed that districts are not adhering to the enrollment policies that have been put in place. Additionally, the demographic information kept in the student package was not the same as the information kept in the student's cumulative folder, which hinders a school's ability to contact parents in the event that the student package is not available.

During OSA audits, most districts audited for attendance did an exceptional job of having a written policy related to absenteeism, yet most school districts (12 out of 28) were not following those established policies. OSA also found that 93% of the 39 districts audited did not properly report truant students, and 30% of the 27 districts failed to follow policy related to suspensions, which included properly coding suspensions as unexcused absences and reporting suspensions to State Attendance Officers.

Currently, MDE does not review, monitor, or have policies on how fixed assets should be handled within the State's public schools. During the 2015-2016 school audits, OSA interviewed the fixed assets coordinator or the business manager at each selected district to determine what internal controls over property were in place. In reviewing purchase orders from the prior school year and conducting a physical check of those items to



determine whether districts were adhering to the policies they established, only 40% of the 40 districts audited had and were following policy with regard to property inventory controls.

The most alarming areas of non-compliance were graduation requirements. In order for OSA to review the requirements related to graduation, a list of graduates from the previous school year was obtained. Of the 40 districts audited, 16 (40%) graduated students who had not met graduation requirements and 12 of the 40 (30%) districts reviewed had not completed all the appropriate graduation forms. Additionally, OSA audited the use of electronic textbooks. Of the 40 districts audited, only 3 districts acknowledged utilizing electronic textbook downloads. OSA reviewed these 3 districts to determine proper licenses and authorization of uploading electronic textbook resources to student's devices and district's servers. Of the 3 districts reviewed, all were in compliance and authorized by the publisher to upload electronic textbook resources to devices and servers within the district.

In conclusion, the Office of the State Auditor is responsible for auditing certain data elements in all school districts within the State. An audit plan was created to check those elements, and the of the OSA has used this auditing tool to give insight into the adherence of school districts with State laws, MDE policies, and district/school policies. The compliance of school districts with the data elements discussed above will continue to be audited. Additional elements that are a part of the Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) funding formula will also be added in the future. The audit plan, along with this report, will be adjusted to add and/or delete elements as needed.

Introduction

Section 37-37-7(2), Mississippi Code of 1972, Amended, states: *...In addition to the powers and duties of examiners in subsection (1), the State Auditor may establish policies and procedures to ensure the accuracy and reliability of student data used to determine state funding for local school districts, which may include, but are not limited to, the following...* (a) On-site audits; (b) An auditing process that ensures the timeliness and accuracy of reports generated by school districts of this state regarding all student transactions; (c) An auditing process that provides for the timeliness, process and accuracy of the electronic transmission of all student data to the Mississippi Department of Education, including, but not limited to, student enrollment, attendance, transportation, absenteeism, graduation and dropouts and other student data and administrative functions as deemed necessary; (d) An audit of the accuracy and validity of all student transactions using the Mississippi Student Information System...

The Office of the State Auditor's (OSA) conducts the on-site audits referenced above. OSA developed an initial audit plan that assessed all of a school district's responsibilities as it related to student enrollment, attendance, absenteeism, suspensions, property, graduation, and other areas. In creating the audit plan, OSA took into consideration State law, Mississippi Department of Education (MDE) regulations, and school and/or district policies. OSA also evaluated other administrative functions to gain more insight into the school/district's operation. In each successive year, audits are changed to assess other areas of school performance, operations, and data collection and use.

If one school in a district had a finding in any area reviewed, then the entire district would be considered not in compliance.

Each district has a local school board and superintendent, as well as staff, which are tasked with and responsible for the overall operation and quality of the district. Therefore, this report provides aggregate district results of the audits conducted in the 2015-2016 school year. Because districts exist as a single unit for management, funding, and data collection and reporting purposes, information in this report, including charts, graphs, and percentages, are based on an entire district, and not the individual schools audited. If one school in a district had a finding in any area reviewed, then the entire district would be considered not in compliance. In other



words, if three (3) schools were audited in one school district and one of those schools were found not to be in compliance with one of the areas reviewed, the entire school district will be listed as not being in compliance with that particular area of review.

MS Student Information

To comply with the Performance Based Accreditation Model established by the Education Reform Act of 1982, MDE created the Mississippi Student Information System (MSIS). MSIS is a computer based program which keeps all student information as well as individual schools, districts, administrators, and school board members in an electronic format. It makes the recording and reporting of data such as student enrollment, attendance, absenteeism, and graduation much easier and more accurate; it also provides a more efficient method of transferring student records between districts.

Each school is allowed to select a local software system, specific to their district, to maintain all data for their district. These local systems, referred to as student packages, transmit data via MSIS to MDE. Currently, there are a total of five (5) student packages transmitting data into MSIS. The Chart 1 below lists the five (5) student packages and the number of districts that currently use the corresponding student package to submit their data to MDE.

Chart 1

<i>Name of Student Package</i>	<i>Number of Districts Utilizing Package</i>
JPAMS	1
POWER	13
REDIKAR	1
SAMS	120
INOW (STI)	25

Source: MS Department of Education website

MSIS School Audit Process

During the 2015-2016 school year, OSA utilized the audit plan that was created to ensure compliance with all laws and policies as it related to the following categories: student enrollment, student absenteeism, graduation, attendance reporting, suspensions, textbooks, and property internal controls. OSA conducted reviews of 40 of the State's 148 school districts (345 out of 900+ schools) and provided a written report to each district upon the completion of their audit. The results of these audits are discussed in the following sections.




Student Enrollment

Student enrollment plays a significant role in a school district's financial existence and survival. In order to enroll a student in the Mississippi public school system, there are State laws, MDE regulations, and school/district policies that must be followed. Audit work performed analyzed whether the school districts adhered to these rules and regulations.

As a part of the audit, OSA would perform the following tasks based on previous compliance:

- Ensure there was a written policy on enrollment requirements and procedures;
- Ensure the number of students enrolled in school was being reported accurately;
- Verify the school was following policy (laws and regulations from MDE) regarding enrollment; and
- Verify the selected student's information was in the student package (SP) and was the same as the information kept in the student's cumulative folder (CF).

Chart 2



Note: Head Counts were conducted in at least 1 school of each of the 40 districts audited.

SP vs CF was verified in all 40 districts audited.

Source: OSA audit review

OSA conducted a headcount at 54 of the 345 schools (40 out of 40 districts) audited. As Chart 2 shows, 18% of districts were not able to account for all of the students being reported to MSIS as being enrolled and in attendance. This is of grave concern not only to OSA, but also to taxpayers and parents. Not only is this a funding issue, but a safety and quality of care issue as well. Districts obtain funding partially based on the number of students enrolled. If districts are inaccurately reporting the numbers of children enrolled and in attendance, then funding is being inaccurately disbursed. Additionally, parents expect that once their children are sent to school, the school knows where their children are at all times, but Chart 2 shows that this is not the case.



Basing funding on enrollment, when data shows that districts are not able to account for their current enrollment will result in districts receiving funding for students that are not actually enrolled. It will also provide incentive for districts to create “ghost students” as have been found in other states.

Further, with the Legislature considering amending the MAEP funding formula, it is important to note that the inclusion of enrollment instead of attendance is of grave concern. Basing funding on enrollment, when data shows that districts are not able to account for their current enrollment, will result in districts receiving funding for students that are not actually enrolled. It will also provide incentive for districts to create “ghost students” as have been found in other states.

Chart 2 also shows a consistent failure of residency verification for the majority of districts audited. In 2015-2016, 88% of school districts were not compliant with ensuring that enrolled students were actually residents of the district, which is required by law. According to MDE JBCA policy... *all school districts will require students who are seeking to enroll or continue to enroll in a school district... shall verify the residence of each student...* While this policy does not state how often verification of residency should occur, MS Code §37-15-29 states: *...no minor child may enroll in or attend any school except in the school district of his residence...*, **OSA recommends that MDE add to their JBCA policy that this verification be done annually in order to avoid confusion and general failure to check residency.** Failure to verify addresses may result in higher taxes because homeowner taxes would be raised to help provide for the students living outside of the district; it may result in parents not receiving important information regarding their child; and it may make it virtually impossible for attendance officers to contact parents regarding excessive student absences.

Another area in which the school districts had consistent non-compliance was ensuring the student’s demographic information kept in the student package was the same as the information kept in the student’s cumulative folder. In

2015-2016, 85% of school districts were not in compliance. **OSA recommends that each school district review all policies related to student enrollment and ensure that they are following those policies. Further, when student information is added, changed, or deleted in the student package, the same information should be added, changed, or deleted in the student’s cumulative folder in order to comply with the MDE Cumulative Folders and Permanent Records Manual of Directions.**

Student Attendance/Absenteeism

Student attendance and absenteeism, for the purpose of this report, deals with policy related to student attendance and the validation of excused absence. During the 2013 Regular Session, the Legislature passed House Bill 1530. This bill modified MS Code Section 37-13-91(4) to define an unlawful absence as:

...an absence for an entire school day or during part of a school day by a compulsory-school-age child, which absence is not due to a valid excuse for temporary nonattendance. For purposes of reporting absenteeism under subsection (6) of this section, if a compulsory-school-age child has an absence that is more than thirty-seven percent (37%) of the instructional day, as fixed by the school board for the school at which the compulsory-school-age child is enrolled, the child must be considered absent the entire school day...

OSA used this modification in law, along with district policy, as a guide during the 2015 – 2016 school year audits. Further, as a guidance for the review of excused absences, OSA referred to MS Code §37-13-91 titled *Compulsory School Attendance Requirements*, which conveys to the districts what constitutes a valid excused absence.




Because of MDE guidance, or lack thereof, that insisted that districts remove time periods for breaks in between classes, class changes, lunch, etc., most districts found it difficult to determine an accurate number for sixty-three percent of the instructional day.

According to the MS Code §37-13-91(4) an excused absence is:

1. An authorized school activity;
2. An illness or injury that prevents the child from physically being able to attend school;
3. Isolation ordered by the county health officer;
4. Death or serious injury of a member of the immediate family;
5. Attendance at court proceedings;
6. Observance of a religious event;
7. Valid educational travel or vacation; or
8. Superintendent or his designee/Handbook approved.

During OSA audits, it was found that most districts had attempted to implement the changes in law regarding attendance. Because of MDE guidance, that insisted districts remove time periods for breaks in between classes, class changes, lunch, etc., most districts found it difficult to determine an accurate number for sixty-three (63%) percent of the instructional day. In spite of this issue, all of the 40 districts audited did an exceptional job of having written policy related to absenteeism. However, most school districts, as shown in Chart 3, were not following the written policies that had been established. **OSA recommends that MDE allow districts to base their attendance calculation on 63% of each school's or student's instructional day, without deducting out lunch, recess, breaks, etc. Further, OSA recommends that all school districts examine their individual policies regarding student absences and make certain that each school complies with the district's policies.**

Chart 3



Note: Attendance/Absenteeism was reviewed at all 40 districts audited.
Source: OSA audit review

OSA will continue to monitor districts' compliance with the new attendance law and report any discrepancies or violations.



School Attendance Reporting

For the development and enhancement of educational needs of students, it is crucial for parents, teachers, administrators, and the community to encourage school attendance on a regular basis. Non-attendance greatly impacts the student, the school, and the community as a whole. Because of this impact, the State of Mississippi created the Mississippi Compulsory School Attendance Law (MS §37-13-91). Section 6 of this law relates directly to school attendance reporting and states: *...(6) If a compulsory-school-age child has not been enrolled in a school within fifteen (15) calendar days after the first day of the school year of the school which the child is eligible to attend or the child has accumulated five (5) unlawful absences during the school year of the public school in which the child is enrolled, the school district superintendent or his designee shall report, within two (2) school days or within five (5) calendar days, whichever is less, the absences to the school attendance officer...* Because of inconsistency in law and a disregard for school holidays, **OSA recommends that MDE change section six (6) of this law to read: “If a compulsory-school-age child has not been enrolled in a school within five (5) school days after the first day of the school year of the school which the child is eligible to attend or the child has accumulated five (5) unlawful absences during the school year of the public school in which the child is enrolled, the school district superintendent or his designee shall report, within two (2) school days, the absences to the State attendance officer...”**

In addition, this section of the law also states...*The State Department of Education shall prescribe a uniform method for schools to utilize in reporting the unlawful absences to the school attendance officer...* OSA found that MDE had never prescribed a uniform method for schools to report unlawful absences. As of current practices, reporting methods are left up to each school district. This weakens accountability, accuracy, and undermines any potential study of attendance problems. **OSA recommends that MDE abide by the law and prescribe a uniform method for schools to utilize in reporting unlawful absences.**

Due to the confusion in school districts as it relates to the “school” attendance officer versus the “State” attendance officer, OSA further recommends that MDE change section six (6) to read: “The State Department of Education shall prescribe a uniform method for schools to utilize in reporting the unlawful absences to the State attendance officer...” In addition, MDE should provide clarification regarding the difference to all school districts.

School district administrators who are not complying with truancy laws undermine the State’s effort to educate its youth.


During the 2015-2016 school audits, OSA determined that great attention needs to be given to this area. The districts are required by law to report students who have accumulated five (5) unexcused absences to the State attendance officer within five (5) calendar days or two (2) school days, whichever is less. OSA found that 93% of the 40 districts audited were not following policy when it came to reporting unexcused absences to the State attendance officer. The purpose of this law is to reduce the number of dropouts, increase the graduation rate, and hold parents accountable for their children’s truancy. School district administrators who are not complying with this law undermine the State’s effort to educate its youth. **With this in mind, OSA recommends that each school district be required to become familiar with the Mississippi Compulsory School Attendance Law and adhere to each section of the law. In addition, OSA recommends that MDE create a policy that will affect a district’s accreditation and/or funding for failure to report truant students; doing this provides the opportunity for early corrective action.**



This section of the audit also covers whether the school attendance officers (SAO), employed by MDE, were attempting to make contact with the student's parent and/or guardian reported to them by the districts or filing petitions with the Youth Court as required by the Compulsory School Attendance Law and the MDE Compulsory Attendance Division Director. Only 63% of the attendance officers were in compliance with their requirements, it was noted that in some instances, the attendance officers were assigned to an area too large for them to be effective.

Some attendance officers could not do much more than send letters to the parents of the student reported to them. In order for attendance officers to be both efficient and effective, their caseloads should be reduced to an amount that will allow them to work more diligently in securing a truant student's attendance in school.

Chart 4



Source: OSA audit review

Therefore, it is OSA's recommendation that the State Legislature and MDE consider increasing the number of attendance officers to cover the State. OSA believes this increase in attendance officers would improve the overall ability to track and correct truancy problems, as well as further reduce the dropout rate in Mississippi public schools.

Graduation

Before a student can graduate from a Mississippi public school, the student must pass Subject Area Tests (Algebra I, Biology I, US History from 1877, and English II) or demonstrate adequate mastery of the tested areas through a combination of their end-of-course SATP with their overall course grade. Additionally, they must earn a minimum number of Carnegie units. Districts must also complete certain forms, which must be signed by the school principal, verifying that the requirements of each student have been met.



Beginning in the 2011 – 2012 school year, students were given multiple pathways to a standard diploma. The requirements for each of those pathways are listed in Chart 5 below.

Chart 5

Career Pathway Option* 21 Credits***		Traditional Pathway Option* 24 Credits Minimum		District Option*** 21 Credits Minimum	
Graduation Requirements	Required Subjects	Graduation Requirements	Required Subjects	Graduation Requirements	Required Subjects
4 Credits of English 3 Credits of Math 3 Credits of Science 3 Credits of Social Studies	English I, English II, Algebra I, or Integrated Math Biology I 1 U.S. History 0.5 U.S. Government 0.5 Mississippi Studies	4 Credits of English 4 Credits of Math 4 Credits of Science 4 Credits of Social Studies	English I, English II, Algebra I, or Integrated Math Biology I 1 World History 1 U.S. History 0.5 Geography 0.5 U.S. Government 0.5 Economics 0.5 Mississippi Studies	4 Credits of English 4 Credits of Math 3 Credits of Science 3 Credits of Social Studies	English I, English II, Algebra I or Integrated Math; Biology I 1 World History, 1 U.S. History 0.5 U.S. Government 0.5 Mississippi Studies
0.5 Credit of Health/ Physical Education	0.5 Contemporary Health or 0.5 Physical Education	0.5 Credit of Health	0.5 Contemporary Health	0.5 Credit of Health	0.5 Contemporary Health
1 Credit of Business and Technology	Technology Foundations, Information and Communication Technology (ICT) II, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM), or Computer Applications and Keyboarding	0.5 Credit of Physical Education 1 Credit of Business & Technology	1 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) II or 1 Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (STEM) or 1 Technology Foundations or ½ Keyboarding and ½ Computer Applications	1 Credit of Business & Technology 1 Credit of Art	1 Information and Communication Technology (ICT) II or 1 Science, Technology, Engineering & Mathematics (STEM) or 1 Technology Foundations or ½ Keyboarding and ½ Computer Applications
4 Credits of Career and Technical Education Electives	From Student's Program of Study	1 Credit of Art			
2.5 Credits of Electives	Courses selected from the student approved program of study	5 Credits of Electives		4.5 Credits of Electives	
* Career and Traditional Pathway options are State Board required. ** District Pathway Option is a local decision. *** The Career Pathway Option is available for all students beginning in 2011 – 2012.					




Forty percent of districts audited graduated students that did not meet the minimum graduation requirements of this State.

In order for OSA to review the requirements related to graduation, a list of graduates from the previous school year was obtained. Chart 6 shows that students' graduation requirements were met at 23 of the 40 (58%) districts audited; while only 27 of the 40 (68%) districts reviewed had completed all the appropriate graduation forms. Of the districts reviewed, several were unable to locate students graduation files. This is an administrative/record keeping problem that needs to be addressed to avoid any issues with students not meeting graduation requirements.

This section was very alarming due to the fact that it relates to students who have already received their diplomas. However, one must be mindful that the superintendent of each school district is given an opportunity to respond to the audit conducted in their school district. In this response, it is possible that a finding regarding a student not meeting graduation requirements could have been explained.

First, OSA recommends that all students that did not meet the graduation requirements set by the MDE have their diplomas invalidated. Second, in the future, a policy should be established to ensure that all graduation requirements are met and verified by district personnel before providing diplomas to students. Third, all required graduation forms should be completed in their entirety by the appropriate administrators. In addition, OSA requests that MDE, who has been informed of the graduation deficiencies, provide a report to the Legislature and the State Board of Education on actions they are taking to correct these problems.

Chart 6




Note: Graduation requirements and graduation forms were reviewed in all 40 districts audited.
Source: OSA audit review



Suspensions

According to MS §37-13-91 ...days missed from school due to disciplinary suspension shall not be considered an "excused" absence under this section... The code sections further states... However, no absences shall be excused by the school district superintendent, or his designee, when any student suspensions or expulsions circumvent the intent and spirit of the compulsory attendance law. The superintendent, or his designee, also shall report any student suspensions or student expulsions to the school attendance officer when they occur... During the 2015-2016 school audit review, OSA found that 30% (see Chart 7) of the districts reviewed were not following policy when it came to suspensions. **With this in mind, OSA recommends that each school district become familiar with the Mississippi Compulsory School Attendance Law, paying close attention to section six (6).**

Chart 7



Note: Suspensions were reviewed at 27 out of the 40 districts reviewed.
32% of districts were deemed not applicable.
Source: OSA audit review

Textbooks


State law defines a textbook as any medium or manual of instruction that contains a systematic arrangement of the principles of a subject. Therefore, this definition does not limit instructional materials to simply a physical or traditional, bound book. The actual materials used to accomplish established goals and objectives for each subject are determined by each district and differ from district to district, school to school, subject to subject, and sometimes-teacher to teacher.

During the 2014-2015 school year, OSA discovered that some districts were utilizing electronic textbooks as the instructional resource for their district. This discovery led OSA to find at least one district that had copied these electronic resources to their server and to students' devices without consent from the publisher, which violates federal copyright laws. As a result, the textbook portion of the audit switched from determining whether each student was assigned a textbook, which previous audits showed that it was not likely that districts had the financial resources to do, to verifying that all districts that were using electronic textbooks had obtained the proper publisher permissions for the current district's use of these resources.



Of the 40 districts audited, only 3 districts utilized the uploading of electronic textbook resources to student devices and/or district servers. As shown in Chart 8, all 3 districts had acquired the necessary permissions from the publisher and were not violating any federal copyright laws.

Chart 8



Note: Textbooks were reviewed at 3 of the 40 districts reviewed.
93% of districts were deemed not applicable.
Source: OSA audit review

Property Internal Controls

The Property Division (Property) of the Office of the State Auditor is responsible for ensuring that the State public schools are held accountable for fixed assets such as: land, buildings, equipment, furniture, and other personal property owned by the school district. In March 1997, Property developed a manual, *Mississippi Public School Asset Management Manual*, to guide school districts in the management of fixed assets. This manual is updated periodically.

According to this manual, "property" is defined as all furniture, vehicles, equipment, and other personal property having a useful life expectancy of at least one year and with a threshold of \$1,000 or more. In addition, there are certain property items that must be included on a school district inventory regardless of the price paid by the school district to acquire the item or the fair market value of the item. These items include – weapons, cameras and camera equipment (equal to or greater than \$250), two way radio equipment, televisions (equal to or greater than \$250), lawn maintenance equipment, computer and computer equipment (equal to or greater than \$250), chain saws, air compressors, welding machines, generators, motorized vehicles, and cellular phones. "Property" does not include: carpeting, draperies, installed floor-to-ceiling partitions, window shades or blinds, mattresses and box springs, water heaters, installed drinking fountains, museum accessions, library books, films, or archival collections.



Duties of the school district property managers are to:

- Maintain a master fixed assets inventory ledger;
- Maintain property and equipment (general fixed assets) records by posting additions and deletions;
- Periodically audit and verify inventory records and equipment (general fixed assets); and
- Report findings to the School Board.

Currently, MDE does not review, monitor, or have policies on how fixed assets should be handled within the State's public schools. **OSA recommends that MDE develop minimum standards and policies on the management and monitoring of fixed assets in all school districts.** Just like student packages, there are a number of accounting systems used to manage fixed assets within the State. In an attempt at uniformity, **OSA recommends that MDE mandate one software package to track and/or monitor fixed assets.**

Chart 9 below lists the six (6) accounting software systems that are currently being used to track inventory.

Chart 9


Name of Accounting Software	Number of Districts Using the Software
<i>Courage-Integrity</i>	35
<i>Marathon</i>	66
<i>Innovak</i>	25
<i>Harris Solutions</i>	17
<i>Jenzabar</i>	1
<i>MUNIS</i>	2

Source: MDE website – www.mde.k12.ms.us

During the 2015–2016 school audits, OSA interviewed the fixed assets coordinator or the business manager at each selected district to determine what internal controls over property were in place. A review of purchase orders from the prior school year and a physical check of those items were conducted to determine whether districts were adhering to the policies they established. Chart 10 indicates that while 100% of districts audited had internal controls related to property, only 40% of those districts were adhering to their established controls. **Therefore, OSA recommends that each district utilize their established internal controls and the Mississippi Public School Asset Management Manual for all fixed assets purchased by the district in order to curtail the potential waste of taxpayer money. Because such assets can run into the millions of dollars, failure to comply should affect a district's accreditation, if not its funding.**



Chart 10



Source: OSA audit review

Conclusion

The State Auditor's Office is tasked with auditing certain data elements in the school districts within the State. As a result, an audit plan, which entailed most of those elements, was created. The OSA has been able to audit most districts in the State utilizing this audit tool. These audits gave OSA, as well as MDE, a look into the compliance of school districts with State laws, MDE policies, and district/school policies.

The audits revealed to OSA that every school district audited desired to comply with all laws and policies that exist. The frustration over the lack of knowledge and training was apparent and whenever the opportunity existed, OSA assisted as much as possible.

OSA will continue to monitor the compliance of school districts with the data elements discussed above. Additional elements that are a part of the Mississippi Adequate Education Program (MAEP) funding formula will also be added in the future. As audits are performed and results are analyzed, the audit plan, along with this report, will be adjusted to add and/or delete elements as needed.



For more information about this issue, contact

The Office of the State Auditor
Post Office Box 956
Jackson, MS 39205-0956
Phone: 601-576-2800 in the Jackson area or
800-321-1275 Statewide
Fax: 601-576-2687
Website: <http://www.osa.state.ms.us>

The Office of the State Auditor assesses the performance of organizations, programs, activities, and functions of government in order to provide information to improve accountability, effectiveness, and to facilitate decision-making. All reports, documents, and supporting materials obtained and utilized by the Office of the State Auditor will be considered public information, unless otherwise prohibited by law.

The Office of the State Auditor does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, national origin, sex, age, or disability.