

The following document was not prepared by the Office of the State Auditor, but was prepared by and submitted to the Office of the State Auditor by a private CPA firm. The document was placed on this web page as it was submitted. The Office of the State Auditor assumes no responsibility for its content or for any errors located in the document. Any questions of accuracy or authenticity concerning this document should be submitted to the CPA firm that prepared the document. The name and address of the CPA firm appears in the document.

## Hancock County, Mississippi

Audited Financial Statements and Special Reports For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

IIINecaise & company

**Certified Public Accounting Firm** 

May 2, 2022

Members of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

Dear Board Members:

We are pleased to submit to you the 2020 financial and compliance audit report for Hancock County. This audit was performed pursuant to Section 7-7-211(e), Mississippi Code Ann. (1972). The audit was performed in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

We appreciate the cooperation and courtesy extended by the officials and employees of Hancock County throughout the audit. If we or this office can be of any further assistance, please contact us at (228) 255-6451.

Respectfully submitted,

Jy Necaise

Ty J Necaise, MBA, CPA

12199 Highway 49, Ste. 400, Gulfport MS 39503 (228) 236-3622 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

FINANCIAL SECTION	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	2
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Net Position	6
Statement of Activities	7
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	8
Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	9
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	10
of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	11
Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Funds	12
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Funds	13
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Funds	14
Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities	15
Notes to Financial Statements	16
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis)	
General Fund	40
Countywide Road Maintenance Fund	40 41
Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	41
Schedule of County Contributions	42
Notes to the Required Supplementary Information	43 44
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	44
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	47
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	47
OTHER INFORMATION	40
	го
Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials	50
SPECIAL REPORTS	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on	
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	58
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance	60
Independent Accountant's Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System	62
Purchase Clerk Schedules (Required by Section 31-7-115, Miss Code Ann. – 1972)	64
Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report	67
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	69
AUDITEE'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN	74
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	77

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



**Certified Public Accounting Firm** 

#### **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

Members of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Hancock County, Mississippi, (the County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Hancock County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2020, and the respective changes in financial position and where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

2 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants and Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Budgetary Comparison Schedules and corresponding notes, the Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability, and schedule of the County's contributions be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### **Omission of Required Supplementary Information**

Hancock County, Mississippi, has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

#### Supplementary and Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Hancock County, Mississippi's basic financial statements. The introductory section, combining and individual non-major fund financial statements, schedule of surety bonds for County officials, and the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards are presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The introductory section and Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on it.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated May 2, 2022, on our consideration of Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Necause & Company PUC

Necaise & Company PLLC Kiln, Mississippi 39556 May 2, 2022

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Net Position September 30, 2020

ASSETS	
Cash	\$ 47,606,665
Investments	4,215,181
Property tax receivable	25,052,960
Fines receivable (net of allowance	1,131,586
for uncollectibles of \$3,721,757)	
Lease receivable	11,319,614
Prepaid expenses	183,448
Intergovernmental receivables	2,346,991
Other assets	76,376
Capital assets:	
Nondepreciable capital assets	15,276,738
Depreciable capital assets, net	190,747,057
Total Assets	297,956,616
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred outflows related to pensions	4,734,717
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	2,159,806
Due to other governmental agencies	2,748,199
Accrued interest payable	291,284
Other payables	631,816
Long-term liabilities:	
Compensated absences	246,797
Net pension liability	35,583,674
Due within one year	
Capital debt	371,757
Noncapital debt	198,000
Due in more than one year	
Capital debt	16,422,271
Noncapital debt	5,932,289
Total Liabilities	64,585,893
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Property tax for future reporting period	25,052,960
Deferred inflows related to lease	11,319,614
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	36,372,574
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	189,229,767
Restricted for:	
General government	354,771
Public safety	5,162,519
Public works	20,476,649
Health and welfare	604,511
Conservation of natural resources	1,776
Culture and recreation	828,605
Economic development	(113,523)
Debt services	4,188,875
Unrestricted	(19,001,084)
Total Net Position	<u>\$ 201,732,866</u>

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Activities For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

			Prog	gram Revenues			Net (Expense) Revenue and hanges in Net Position
Functions/Programs	 Expenses	Charges for Services	•	erating Grants Contributions	 pital Grants and Contributions	(	Governmental Activities
Governmental activities							
General government	\$ 12,885,350	\$ 1,052,228	\$	120,232	\$ -	\$	(11,712,890)
Public safety	14,947,017	1,772,811		589,937	14,438		(12,569,831)
Public works	7,459,959	952 <i>,</i> 895		72,081	2,074,170		(4,360,813)
Health and welfare	1,537,500	3,881		480,875	-		(1,052,744)
Culture and recreation	3,357,147	507,186		-	120,311		(2,729,650)
Conservation of natural resources	191,996	-		-	-		(191,996)
Economic development and assistance	1,370,041	118,463		-	749,372		(502,206)
Interest on long-term debt	 788,620	 		-	 -		(788,620)
Total governmental activities	\$ 42,537,630	\$ 4,407,464	\$	1,263,125	\$ 2,958,291	\$	(33,908,750)

General revenues:	
Property taxes	\$ 25,962,508
Road and bridge privilege taxes	746,678
Unrestricted interest income	2,103,027
Gaming revenue	2,553,752
Capital contributions	1,099,456
Miscellaneous	 2,503,079
Total general revenues	 34,968,500
Change in Net Position	 1,059,750
Net position, October 1, 2019	 200,673,116
Net position, September 30, 2020	\$ 201,732,866

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds September 30, 2020

<i>September 50, 2020</i>		County-wide			
		-		0.1	
		Road		Other	
		Maintenance	GOMESA	Governmental	
	General Fund	Fund	Fund	Funds	Totals
ASSETS					
Cash	\$14,702,406	\$ 5,083,026	\$11,445,636	\$ 15,763,635	\$ 46,994,703
Investments	4,215,181	-	-	-	4,215,181
Receivables:					
Property tax	16,516,960	932,000	-	7,604,000	25,052,960
Fines, net	1,131,586	-	-	-	1,131,586
Lease	18,350,000	-	-	-	18,350,000
Due from other funds	753 <i>,</i> 093	-	-	-	753 <i>,</i> 093
Intergovernmental receivables	556,321	49,616	-	359 <i>,</i> 670	965 <i>,</i> 607
Prepaid expenses	183,448	-	-	-	183,448
Other assets	45,517			30,859	76,376
Total Assets	\$56,454,512	<u>\$ 6,064,642</u>	<u>\$11,445,636</u>	<u>\$ 23,758,164</u>	\$97,722,954
LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable	\$ 849,454	\$ 239,747	\$ 28,761	\$ 1,036,712	\$ 2,154,674
Intergovernmental payables	1,162,128	10,081	1,287,960	288,030	2,748,199
Due to other funds	-	-	-	753,093	753,093
Other payables	466,222	53,419	-	112,175	631,816
Total Liabilities	2,477,804	303,247	1,316,721	2,190,010	6,287,782
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	16,516,960	932,000	-	7,604,000	25,052,960
Unavailable revenue - fines	1,131,586	-	-	-	1,131,586
Unavailable revenue - capital lease	18,350,000	-	-	-	18,350,000
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	35,998,546	932,000		7,604,000	44,534,546
FUND BALANCES				i	i
Nonspendable:					
Prepaid items	183,448	-	-	-	183,448
Restricted:					
General government	-	-	-	437,065	437,065
Public safety	-	-	-	4,150,223	4,150,223
Public works	-	-	-	4,868,278	4,868,278
Conservation of natural resources	-	-	-	1,776	1,776
Culture and recreation	-	-	-	135,823	135,823
Economic development	-	-	-	(109,170)	(109,170)
Debt services	-	-	-	4,480,159	4,480,159
Committed:				, ,	, ,
Public works	-	4,829,395	10,128,915	-	14,958,310
Unassigned	17,794,714			-	17,794,714
Total Fund Balances	17,978,162	4,829,395	10,128,915	13,964,154	46,900,626
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows		,==;==;==;			
of Resources, and Fund Balances		¢ c.oc4.c42	6 1 1 1 1 5 5 5 5	6 22 750 464	¢ 07 722 05 4
or resources, and rund barances	\$56,454,512	\$ 6,064,642	<u>\$11,445,636</u>	\$ 23,758,164	\$97,722,954

Hancock County, Mississippi Reconciliation of Governmental Fund Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position September 30, 2020	Exhibit 3-1
Total Fund Balance - Governmental Funds	\$ 46,900,626
Total net position reported for governmental activities in the statement of net position is different because:	
Capital assets are used in governmental activities and are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds, net of accumulated depreciation of \$ 107,268,561.	206,023,795
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.	
Grant Receivable	1,381,384
Fine Receivable	1,131,586
Net pension obligations are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(35,583,674)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and accordingly are not reported in the funds.	
Compensated absences	(246,797)
Long-term liabilities	(22,924,317)
Accrued interest	(291,284)
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions are applicable to future periods and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	4,734,717
Internal Service Funds are used by management to charge the costs of certain activities, such as supplies, to individual funds. The assets and liabilities of the Internal Service Funds are included in the governmental activities of the Statement of Net Position.	606,830
5	
Total Net Position - Governmental Activities	\$ 201,732,866

#### Hancock County, Mississippi

### Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

		County-wide Road		Other	
		Maintenance	GOMESA	Governmental	
	General Fund	Fund	Fund	Funds	Totals
REVENUES					
Property taxes	\$ 17,763,164	\$ 671,100	\$-	\$ 7,528,244	\$ 25,962,508
Road and bridge privilege taxes	-	746,678	-	-	746,678
Licenses, commissions and other revenue	1,129,091	901 <i>,</i> 580	-	467,904	2,498,575
Fines and forfeitures	649,554	51,315	-	69,654	770,523
Capital contributions	-	-	-	1,099,456	1,099,456
Intergovernmental revenues	2,620,684	740,629	-	3,197,408	6,558,721
Charges for services	1,138,366	-	-	-	1,138,366
Interest	488,375	41,539	124,623	868,985	1,523,522
Other revenues	745,312	3,411	_	1,695,603	2,444,326
Total revenues	24,534,546	3,156,252	124,623	14,927,254	42,742,675
EXPENDITURES					
Current:					
General government	9,954,654	-	-	1,493,059	11,447,713
Public safety	9,819,164	-	-	3,181,651	13,000,815
Public works	227,958	2,697,885	1,661,224	6,293,875	10,880,942
Health and welfare	1,460,678	-	-	1,373	1,462,051
Culture and recreation	2,334,232	-	327,249	402,332	3,063,813
Conservation of natural resources	185,150	-	113,477	-	298,627
Economic development and assistance	376,385	-	-	709,991	1,086,376
Debt service:					
Principal	-	-	-	1,430,943	1,430,943
Interest	-	-	-	1,003,589	1,003,589
Lease	-	-	-	37,138	37,138
Total expenditures	24,358,221	2,697,885	2,101,950	14,553,951	43,712,007
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over					
(under) expenditures	176,325	458,367	(1,977,327)	373,303	(969,332)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	,		<u> </u>		
Transfers in	1,898,000	-	-	1,298,975	3,196,975
Transfers out	(729,762)	(162,080)	-	(2,305,133)	(3,196,975)
Lease proceeds	850,000	(,000)	-	(_)000)_000,	850,000
Sale of assets	69,133	-	-	71,771	140,904
Total other financing sources (uses)	2,087,371	(162,080)		(934,387)	990,904
Net change in fund balances	2,263,696	296,287	(1,977,327)	(561,084)	21,572
-					
Fund balances, October 1, 2019	15,714,466	4,533,108	12,106,242	14,525,238	46,879,054
Fund balances, September 30, 2020	<u>\$ 17,978,162</u>	\$ 4,829,395	\$10,128,915	\$ 13,964,154	\$ 46,900,626

Hancock County, Mississippi Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended September 30, 2020		Exhibit 4-1
Net Changes in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	\$	21,572
The change in net position reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities is different because: Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Capital outlays Depreciation expense		5,315,179 (3,743,418)
In the Statement of Activities, only gain and losses from the sale of capital assets are reported, whereas in the Governmental Funds, proceeds from the sale of capital assets increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount of the loss.		(227,071)
Fine revenue recognized on the modified cash basis in the funds during the current year is decreased because prior year recognition would have been required on the Statement of Activities using the full-accrual basis of accounting.		(211,338)
Grant revenue recognized on the modified accrual basis in the funds during the current year is reduced because prior year recognition would have been required on the Statement of Activities using the full-accrual basis of accounting. Current year accrual Recognized on Statement of Net Position in the prior year		1,381,384 (1,164,937)
Debt proceeds provide current financial resources to Governmental Funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the Governmental Funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount of debt repayments. Principal payments		1,430,943
Lease payments Some items reported in the Statement of Activities relating to the implementation of GASB 68 are		37,138
not reported in the governmental funds. These activities include: Pension expense 4,222,074		
Contributions made during the year 2,183,062		(2,039,012)
An Internal Service Fund is used by management to charge the cost of insurance to individual funds. The net revenue (expense) is reported within governmental activities.		59,335
Under the modified accrual basis of accounting used in the Governmental Funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available financial resources. However, in the Statement of Activities, which is presented on the accrual basis, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when financial resources are available. In addition, interest on long-term debt is recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when due, rather than as it accrues. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by a combination of the following items:		
Compensated absences		(14,994)
Accrued interest		214,969
Changes in Net Position of Governmental Activities	<u>ې</u>	1,059,750

The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Fund September 30, 2020

	Internal Service
ASSETS	Fund
Current assets:	
Cash	<u>\$ 611,962</u>
Total assets	611,962
LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	
Premiums payable	5,132
Total liabilities	5,132
NET POSITION	
Restricted for health insurance	
Total net position	\$ 606,830

# The notes to the financial statements are an integral part of this statement.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position – Proprietary Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

#### Internal Service Fund **OPERATING REVENUES** Premiums \$ 3,225,573 Other income 4,713 Total operating revenues 3,230,286 **OPERATING EXPENSES** Insurance premiums 3,170,951 3,170,951 Total operating expenses Operating income (loss) 59,335 Change in net position 59,335 Total net position, October 1, 2019, 547,495 Total net position, September 30, 2020 \$ 606,830

#### 13

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

	Int	ernal Service
Cash flows from operating activities:		Fund
Cash received for premiums	\$	3,225,573
Other cash receipts		4,713
Payments for insurance premiums		(3,175,370)
Net cash flows provided (used) by operating activities		54,916
Net increase (decrease) in cash		54,916
Cash at beginning of year		557,046
Cash at end of year	<u>\$</u>	611,962
Reconciliation of operating income (loss) to net cash flows		
provided (used) by operating activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$	59 <i>,</i> 335
Adjustments to reconcile net operating income to		
cash provided by operating activities:		
Premiums payable		(4,419)
Net cash flows provided (used) by operating activities	<u>\$</u>	54,916

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Statement of Fiduciary Assets and Liabilities September 30, 2020

Assets	
Current assets:	Agency Funds
Cash	\$ 1,025,543
Other receivables	1,150,000
Total assets	2,175,543
Liabilities	
Current liabilities:	
Amounts held in custody of others	960,118
Due to other governments	1,215,425
Total liabilities	\$ 2,175,543

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

These financial statements of Hancock County, Mississippi (County) were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for governmental entities (GAAP). The following summary of the more significant accounting policies of the County is presented to assist the reader in interpreting these financial statements and should be viewed as an integral part of this report.

#### Reporting Entity

Hancock County is a political subdivision of the State of Mississippi. The County is governed by an elected five-member Board of Supervisors. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require Hancock County to present these financial statements on the primary government and its component units which have significant operation or financial relationships with the County. Currently, there are no outside organizations that should be included as component units of the County's reporting entity.

State law pertaining to county government provides for the independent election of county officials. The following elected and appointed officials are all part of the county legal entity and therefore are reported as part of the primary government financial statements.

- Board of Supervisors
- Chancery Clerk
- Circuit Clerk
- Justice Court Clerk
- Purchase Clerk
- Tax Assessor-Collector
- Sheriff

#### Blended Component Units

Certain component units, although legally separate from the primary government, are nevertheless so intertwined with the primary government that they are in substance, the same as the primary government. Therefore, these component units are reported as if they are part of the primary government. The following component unit's balances and transactions are blended with the balances and transactions of the primary government.

Hancock County Public Improvement Corporation was incorporated as a nonprofit under Section 31-8-3, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), which allows counties to enter into lease agreements with any corporation. The corporation's board of directors is appointed by the Board of Supervisors. The corporation produces a financial benefit through its ability to finance the construction of capital facilities for the primary government and imposes a financial burden on the primary government by obligating funds to repay the debt pursuant to a lease agreement.

#### Basis of Presentation

The County's basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements, including a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities, fund financial statements and accompanying note disclosures, which provide a detailed level of financial information.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements:**

The Statement of Net Position and Statement of Activities display information concerning the County as a whole. The statements include all nonfiduciary activities of the primary government. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are generally financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues and other nonexchange revenues.

The Statement of Net Position presents the financial condition of the governmental activities of the county at year-end. The Government-wide Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function or program of the county's governmental activities. Direct Expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipient of the goods or services offered by the program, grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Taxes and other revenues not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues of the county, with certain limited exceptions. Internal service fund balances have been eliminated against the expenses and program revenue. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues identifies the extent to which each government function is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the County.

#### Fund Financial Statements:

Fund financial statements of the county are organized into funds, each of which is considered to be separate accounting entities. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that constitute its assets, deferred outflows, liabilities, deferred inflows, fund balances, revenues and expenditures/expenses. Funds are organized into governmental, proprietary and fiduciary. Major individual governmental funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. Nonmajor funds are aggregated and presented in a single column as Other Governmental Funds.

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The Government-wide, Proprietary Fund and Fiduciary Fund (excluding agency funds) financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic asset used, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Shared revenues are recognized when the provider government recognizes the liability to the county. Grants are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Agency funds have no measurement focus but use the accrual basis of accounting.

The revenues and expenses of Proprietary Funds are classified as operating or non-operating. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a Proprietary Fund's primary operations. All other revenues and expenses are reported as nonoperating.

Government financial statements are presented using a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period when they are both measurable and available to finance operations during the year or to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Available means collected in the current period or within 60 days after year end to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Measurable means knowing or being able to reasonably estimate the amount. Expenditures are recognized in the account period when the related fund liabilities are incurred. Debt service expenditures and expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recognized only when payment is due. Property taxes, state appropriations and federal awards are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting (continued)

The County reports the following major Governmental Funds:

<u>General Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for all activities of the general government for which a separate fund has not been established.

<u>County-wide Road Maintenance Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for monies from specific revenue sources that are restricted for road maintenance. Although the fund does not meet the definition of a major fund, the County chooses to present the information as such.

<u>Gomesa Fund</u> – This fund is a capital projects fund used for capital expenditures associated with Gomesa grant proceeds.

Additionally, the county reports the following fund types:

#### **GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES**

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue resources that are restricted or committed to expenditure for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

<u>Debt Service Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditures for principal and interest.

<u>Capital Projects Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditures for capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets.

#### PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE

<u>Internal Service Funds</u> – These funds are used to account for those operations that provide services to other departments or agencies of the government, or to other governments, on a cost-reimbursement basis. The County's internal service fund reports on self-insurance programs for employee medical benefits.

#### FIDUCIARY FUND TYPE

<u>Agency Funds</u> – These funds account for various taxes, deposits and other monies collected or held by the County, acting in the capacity of an agent, for distribution to other governmental units or designated beneficiaries.

#### Account Classifications

The account classifications used in the financial statements conform to the broad classifications recommended in *Governmental Accounting, Auditing and Financial Reporting* as issued in 2012 by the Government Finance Officers Association.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Deposits and Investments

State law authorizes the County to invest in interest bearing time certificates of deposit for periods of fourteen days to one year with depositories and in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, State of Mississippi, or any county, municipality or school district of this state. Further, the county may invest in certain repurchase agreements.

Cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, demand deposits, and all certificates of deposit and cash equivalents, which are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash (generally three months or less). Investments in governmental securities are stated at fair value.

#### Receivables

Receivables are reported net of allowances for uncollectible accounts, where applicable. The estimated uncollectible allowance amount is based on the aging of receivables, historical collection experience, and other relevant circumstances.

#### Interfund Transactions and Balances

Transactions between funds that are representative of short-term lending/borrowing arrangements and transactions that have not resulted in the actual transfer of cash at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "due to/from other funds." Interfund receivables and payables between funds within governmental activities are eliminated in the Statement of Net Position.

#### Prepaids

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items, such as prepaid insurance, are not reported for Fiduciary Fund Types since the costs of such items are accounted for as expenditures in the period of acquisition.

#### Capital Assets

Capital acquisition and construction are reflected as expenditures in Governmental Fund statements and the related assets are reported as capital assets in the governmental activities column in the government-wide financial statements. All purchased capital assets are stated at historical cost where records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no records exist. Capital assets include significant amounts of infrastructure which have been valued at estimated historical cost. The estimated historical cost was based on replacement cost multiplied by the consumer price index implicit price deflator for the year of acquisition. The extent to which capital assets, other than infrastructure, costs have been estimated and the methods of estimation are not readily available.

Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the time of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend their respective lives are not capitalized; however, improvements are capitalized. Interest expenditures are not capitalized on capital assets.

Governmental accounting and financial reporting standards require governments meeting certain criteria to retroactively report major general infrastructure assets by September 30, 2007. Current year general infrastructure assets are required to be reported. General infrastructure assets acquired after September 30, 1980, are reported on the government-wide financial statements. General infrastructure assets include all roads and bridges and other infrastructure assets.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Capital Assets (continued)

Capitalization thresholds (dollar value above which asset acquisitions are added to the capital asset accounts) and estimated useful lives are used to report capital assets in the government-wide statements. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line basis for all assets, except land. A full year's depreciation expense is taken for all purchases and sales of capital assets during the year.

The following schedule details those thresholds and estimated useful lives:

	Capitalization Thresholds		Estimated Useful Life (years)
Land	\$	-	N/A
Infrastructure		-	20-50
Buildings		50,000	40
Improvements other than buildings		25,000	20
Mobile equipment		5,000	5-10
Furniture and equipment		5,000	3-7
Leased property		*	*

\* Leased property capitalization policy and estimated useful life will correspond with the amounts for the asset classification, as listed above.

#### Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense) until then.

Deferred outflows related to pensions – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred outflows of resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 16 for additional details.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

Unavailable revenue – property tax (property taxes for future reporting period): Deferred inflows of resources should be reported when resources associated with imposed nonexchange revenue transactions are received or reported as a receivable before the period for which property taxes are levied.

Unavailable revenue – fines: When an asset is recorded in the governmental fund financial statements, but the revenue is not available, the government should report a deferred inflow of resources until such time as the revenue becomes available.

Unavailable revenue – leases / deferred inflows related to lease: When a Lease receivable is recorded in the governmental fund financial statements, but the revenue is not available, the government should report a deferred inflow of resources until such time as the revenue becomes available.

Deferred inflows related to pensions – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred inflows of resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 16 for additional details.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Pensions

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS) and additions to/deductions from PERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

#### Long-term Liabilities

Long-term liabilities are the unmatured principal of bonds, loans, notes or other forms of noncurrent or long-term general obligation indebtedness. Long-term liabilities are not limited to liabilities from debt issuances but may also include liabilities on lease-purchase agreements and other commitments.

In the government-wide financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the governmental activities of the Statement of Net Position.

In the fund financial statements, the face amount of the debt issued is reported as other financing sources.

#### **Equity Classifications**

#### **Government-wide Financial Statements:**

Equity is classified as net position and displayed in three components:

Net Investment in capital assets – Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position – Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net position – All other net position not meeting the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

Net Position Flow Assumption – When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the County's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned, and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the County's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

#### Fund Financial Statements:

Fund Balances for governmental funds are reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in those funds can be spent.

Government fund balance is classified as non-spendable, restricted, committed, assigned, or unassigned. The following are descriptions of fund classifications used by the County:

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Equity Classifications (continued)

*Non-spendable fund balance* includes amounts that cannot be spent. This includes amounts that are either not in a spendable form (inventories, prepaid amounts, long-term portion of loans/notes receivable, or property held for resale unless the proceeds from the collection of those receivables or from the sale of those properties are restricted, committed or assigned) or amounts that are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact, such as a principal balance of a permanent fund.

*Restricted fund balance* includes amounts that have constraints placed upon the use of the resources either by an external party or imposed by law through a constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

*Committed fund balance* includes amounts that can be used only for specific purposes pursuant to constraints imposed by a formal action of the Board of Supervisors, the county's highest level of decision-making authority. This formal action is an order of the Board of Supervisors as approved in the board minutes.

*Unassigned fund balance* is the residual classification for the general fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed or assigned to specific purposes within the general fund. The general fund should be the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount. In other governmental funds, if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceeded the amounts restricted, committed or assigned to those purposes, it may be necessary to report a negative unassigned fund balance.

#### Fund Balance Flow Assumption

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the county's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned and unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the county's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

#### Property Tax Revenues

Numerous statutes exist under which the Board of Supervisors may levy property taxes. The selection of authorities is made based on the objectives and responsibilities of the County. Restrictions associated with property tax levies vary with the statutory authority. The amount of increase in certain property taxes is limited by state law. Generally, this restriction provides that these tax levies shall produce no more than 110% of the amount which resulted from the assessments of the previous year.

The Board of Supervisors, each year at a meeting in September, levies property taxes for the ensuing fiscal year which begins on October 1. Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 of the current year, and personal property taxes become a lien on March 1 of the current year. Taxes on both real and personal property, however, are due on or before February 1 of the next succeeding year. Taxes on motor vehicles and mobile homes become a lien and are due in the month that coincides with the month of original purchase.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require property taxes to be recognized at the levy date if measurable and available. All property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Motor vehicle and mobile home taxes do not meet the measurability and collectability criteria for property tax recognition because the lien and due date cannot be established until the date of original purchase occurs.

#### Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

#### Intergovernmental Revenues in Governmental Funds

Intergovernmental revenues, consisting of grants, entitlements, and shared revenues, are usually recorded in Governmental Funds when measurable and available. However, the "available" criterion applies for certain federal grants and shared revenues when the expenditure is made because expenditure is the prime factor for determining eligibility. Similarly, if cost sharing or matching requirements exist, revenue recognition depends on compliance with these requirements.

#### **Compensated Absences**

The County has adopted a policy of compensation for accumulated unpaid employee personal leave. No payment is authorized for accrued major medical leave. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require accrual of accumulated unpaid employee benefits as long-term liabilities in the government-wide financial statements. In fund financial statements, Governmental Funds report the compensated absence liability payable only if the payable has matured, for example an employee resigns or retires.

#### Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### Note 2: Deposits and Investments

#### Deposits:

#### Primary Government

The carrying amount of the County's total deposits with financial institutions at September 30, 2020, was \$48,632,208, and the bank balance was \$49,413,329. The collateral for public entities' deposits in financial institutions are held in the name of the State Treasurer under a program established by the Mississippi State Legislature and is governed by Section 27-105-5, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). Under this program, the entity's funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the State Treasurer. Financial institutions holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

#### Custodial Credit Risk

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of failure of a financial institution, the County will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The County does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). Deposits above FDIC coverage are collateralized by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the name of the Mississippi State Treasurer on behalf of the County.

Note 2: Deposits and Investments (continued)

Investments:

Investments balances at September 30, 2020, are as follows:

Investment Type	Fair Value Level	 Fair Value
Money Market Funds	Level 1	\$ 50,599
SBA Loans	Level 1	1,072,902
Municipal Obligations	Level 1	1,593,343
US Treasury Bills	Level 1	249,969
Agency Mortgage Pass Through	Level 1	851,728
Collateralized Mortgages	Level 1	 396,640
		\$ 4,215,181

Interest Rate Risk - The County does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. However, Section 19-9-29, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) limits the maturity period of any investment to no more than one year. However, pursuant to State Law, and in accordance with agreements between money managers, the County has provided for investment of funds in accounts or securities, with various maturity dates.

Credit Risk - State law limits investments to those authorized by Sections 19-9-29 and 91-13-8, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The County does not have a formal investment policy that would further limit its investments choices or one that addresses credit risk.

Custodial Credit Risk, Investments - Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The county does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk.

Concentration of Credit Risk - The County places no limit on the amount the County may invest in any one issuer. GAAP requires disclosure when any one issuer is 5% or more of the investment portfolio. The County's concentration of credit risk at September 30, 2020 is as follows:

United States Treasury Bill	6%
Government National Mortgage Association	29%
Mississippi St Taxable Series G	22%
Harrison County Taxable Series B	6%
SBA Small Business Investment	25%

#### Note 3: Interfund Transactions and Balances

The following is a summary of interfund balances at September 30, 2020:

Due From/To Other Funds:

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	 Amount
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	 753,093
		\$ 753,093

All interfund balances are expected to be repaid within one year from the date of the financial statements.

Transfers In/Out

Transfer In	Transfer Out	Amount	
General Fund	General Fund	\$	611,000
	Other Governmental Funds		1,287,000
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund		118,762
	Road Maintenance Fund		162,080
	Other Governmental Funds		1,018,133
		\$	3,196,975

The principal purpose of interfund transfers was to provide funds for grant matches or to provide funds to pay for capital outlay. All interfund transfers were routine and consistent with the activities of the fund making the transfer.

#### Note 4: Intergovernmental Receivables

Intergovernmental receivables at September 30, 2020, consisted of the following:

Description		Amount *
Legislative tag credit	\$	258,666
CARES federal assistance		213,201
Due from state		346,202
Various federal and local sources		147,538
Intergovernmental receivables, Exhibit 3		965,607
Long-term grants receivable		1,381,384
Intergovernmental receivables, Exhibit 1	<u>\$</u>	2,346,991

\* The County has old outstanding grant reimbursements that are not likely to be collected in the next 12 months, therefore long-term receivables were recognized on the government-wide statements.

#### Note 5: Capital Assets

	Balance			Adjustments/	Balance
	10/1/2019	Additions	Reductions	Transfers	9/30/2020
Capital assets, not being depreciated					
Land	\$ 13,307,311	\$ 105,000	\$ (119,100)	\$-	\$ 13,293,211
Construction in progress	9,170,941	3,185,434	-	(10,372,848)	1,983,527
Total capital assets,				,	i
not being depreciated	22,478,252	3,290,434	(119,100)	(10,372,848)	15,276,738
Capital assets, being depreciated					
Infrastructure	145,886,255	10,372,848	-	-	156,259,103
Building and improvements	114,644,325	-	-	-	114,644,325
Improvement other than buildings	12,275,799	1,059,504	-	-	13,335,303
Mobile equipment	7,817,989	447,118	(365,300)	-	7,899,807
Furniture and equipment	5,962,436	518,123	(603,479)		5,877,080
Total capital assets,					
being depreciated	286,586,804	12,397,593	(968,779)		298,015,618
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Infrastructure	77,062,440	508,058	-	-	77,570,498
Building and improvements	16,386,205	2,286,156	-	-	18,672,361
Improvement other than buildings	1,319,741	35,317	-	-	1,355,058
Mobile equipment	5,648,309	632,756	(328,830)	-	5,952,235
Furniture and equipment	3,969,256	281,131	(531,978)		3,718,409
Total accumulated depreciation	104,385,951	3,743,418	(860,808)		107,268,561
Total capital assets,					
being depreciated, net	182,200,853	8,654,175	(107,971)		190,747,057
Capital assets, net	<u>\$ 204,679,105</u>	<u>\$ 11,944,609</u>	<u>\$ (227,071</u> )	<u>\$(10,372,848</u> )	\$ 206,023,795

Depreciation expense was charged to the following functions:

General government	\$ 853,245
Public safety	1,563,961
Public works	562,391
Health and welfare	116,517
Culture and recreation	496,123
Economic Development	 151,181
	\$ 3,743,418

#### Note 5: Capital Assets (continued)

Commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects at September 30, 2020, consisted of the following:

	Remaining Financial	Expected
Description	Commitment	Completion Date
Preacher Powell Bridge	472,337	September 2021
Jourdan River Boat Launch	124,241	April 2021
Crane Creek Bridge	714,061	March 2022
Ceasar Necaise Bridge	62,126	January 2022
Pearlington Boat Launch	13,405	January 2021
Mcleod Park Improvements	2,381,100	September 2022
Kiln Delisle Bridge	1,413,631	June 2024
Bayou La Croix Bridge	481,300	June 2023
Anner Necaise Bridge	481,200	June 2023
	\$ 6,143,401	

#### Note 6: Claims and Judgments

#### **Risk Financing**

The County finances its exposure to risk of loss related to workers' compensation for injuries to its employees through the Mississippi Public Entity Workers' Compensation Trust, a public entity risk pool. The County pays premiums to the pool for its workers' compensation insurance coverage, and the participation agreement provides that the pool will be self-sustaining through member premiums. The retention for the pool is \$1,000,000 for each accident and completely covers statutory limits set by the Workers' Compensation Commission. Risk of loss is remote for claims exceeding the pool's retention liability. However, the pool also has catastrophic reinsurance coverage for statutory limits above the pool's retention, provided by Safety National Casualty Corporation, effective from January 1, 2020 to January 1, 2021. The pool may make an overall supplemental assessment or declare a refund depending on the loss experience of all the entities it insures.

Starting in 2014, the County finances its exposure to risk of loss relating to employee health, dental and accident coverage through a commercial insurance plan.

The County is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The City carries commercial insurance for these risks. Settled claims resulting from these insured risks have not exceeded commercial insurance coverage in any of the past three fiscal years.

Note 7: Leases

As Lessee:

The County is obligated for the following capital assets acquired through leases as of September 30, 2020:

	Governmental
Class of Property	Activities
Furniture and equipment	386,297
Less: accumulated depreciation	(275,926)
Leased property under capital lease	110,371 *

\* See Note 8, Long-term Debt, for details on future maturities.

#### Note 7: Leases (continued)

#### As Lessor:

On November 1, 2018, Hancock County entered into a lease agreement with Ochsner Medical Center – Hancock LLC, for the lease of the Hancock County Medical Center. The lease stipulated that the lessee would pay \$850,000 annually. However, for years one (1) and two (2) only, the lease shall be reduced to \$600,000 and for years six (6) and seven (7) only, the lease shall be increased to \$1,100,000 annually. The term for this lease is 25 years. At the end of the lease term, Ochsner Medical Center – Hancock LLC has the option to purchase Hancock County Medical Center for \$1.

As an essential and material obligation of the lessee during the term with the same force and effect as rent, the lessee shall be additionally obligated to fund and implement capital improvements to the premises for each lease year during the term. The tenant shall deposit \$500,000 each lease year in a capital replacement fund that shall be used exclusively to either purchase capital equipment or capitalized services or technology and/or make capital improvements to the premises, each as defined in GAAP.

The County paid Ochsner Medical Center a working capital settlement of \$1,796,881 at closing to resolve all hospital debts and payables. The County received a reimbursement from the initial settlement in fiscal year 2019 for \$516,738.

The lessor may exercise a Purchase Option at any time during the term of the lease by written notice to the Lessor/Landlord. The terms of the purchase shall be on substantially the same terms as those set forth in the Asset Purchase Agreement. The purchase price for this Purchase Option shall be computed by applying a present value discount of five percent (5%) to the sum of any and all unpaid rent for the remaining portion of the term.

The County leases the following property as of September 30, 2020:

Classes of Property	 Amount
Buildings	\$ 23,175,374
Equipment	2,970,006
Land & Improvements	 1,799,063
Total	\$ 27,944,443

The future minimum lease receivables and the present value of the net minimum lease receivables as of September 30, 2020, are as follows:

				Capital
Year ending September 30	Payment	Principal	Interest Income	Improvements *
2021	850,000	284,019	565,981	500,000
2022	850,000	298,220	551,780	500,000
2023	1,100,000	563,131	536 <i>,</i> 869	500,000
2024	1,100,000	591,288	508,712	500,000
2025	850,000	370,852	479,148	500,000
2026	850,000	389 <i>,</i> 395	460,605	500,000
2027	850,000	408 <i>,</i> 865	441,135	500,000
2028-2041	11,900,000	8,413,844	3,486,156	7,000,000
Total	\$ 18,350,000	\$ 11,319,614	\$ 7,030,386	\$ 10,500,000

\* The County does not receive the capital improvement funds because they are used by the lessee to improve the hospital, therefore no receivable was recorded for the obligation.

#### Note 8: Long-term Debt

Debt outstanding as of September 30, 2020, consisted of the following:

	Original	Balance		Interest
	Amount	9/30/2020	Maturity	Rate
General Obligation Bond:				
MS General Obligation Public Improvement Bond, Series 2017	3,000,000	2,335,000	2027	2.38%
Total General Obligation Bonds	3,000,000	2,335,000		
Limited Obligation Bonds:				
Tax Increment Limited Obligation Bond, Series 2015	8,177,710	6,130,289	2026	5.00%
Total Limited Obligation Bonds	8,177,710	6,130,289		
Special Obligation Bonds:				
Special Obligation Bond, Series 2019 (Green Bonds)	13,000,000	13,000,000	2040	4.55%
Total Limited Obligation Bonds	13,000,000	13,000,000		
Equipment Notes:				
E-911 Motorola Tower Loan, 2015	2,289,768	1,215,956	2025	2.49%
Capital Lease:				<b>•</b> • • • • •
E-911 Phone CAD/GIS System Lease, 2016	386,297	243,072	2026	2.49%
Total Oustanding Debt	\$ 26,853,775	\$ 22,924,317		

#### Note 8: Long-term Debt (continued)

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the following debt reported in the Statement of Net Position are as follows:

	General Oblig	ation Bonds	Limited Obligation Bonds		
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2021	300,000	55,456	*	450,000	
2022	310,000	48,332	*	450,000	
2023	320,000	40,968	*	450,000	
2024	330,000	33,368	*	450,000	
2025	345,000	25,532	*	450,000	
thereafter	730,000	26,125	*	450,000	
Total	\$ 2,335,000	\$ 229,781	\$ 6,130,289	\$ 2,700,000	

	Equipment Note		Capital Lease		
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest	
2021	231,694	29,465	38,063	6,053	
2022	237,308	23,851	39,010	5,105	
2023	243,059	18,100	39,982	4,133	
2024	248,915	12,243	40,977	3,138	
2025	254,980	6,179	41,997	2,118	
thereafter			43,043	1,072	
Total	\$ 1,215,956	\$ 89,838	\$ 243,072	\$ 21,619	

	Special Obligation Bonds		
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	
2021	-	591,500	
2022	-	591 <i>,</i> 500	
2023	475,000	580,694	
2024	500,000	558 <i>,</i> 513	
2025	525,000	535,194	
thereafter	11,500,000	4,366,861	
Total	\$ 13,000,000	\$ 7,224,262	

\* The Tax Increment Limited Obligation Bond is not included in this schedule because the maturity is contingent on the amount of tax collected from the property owner. The County retains approximately \$225,000 from the tax increment, and then remits the balance to the transfer agent. Of the payment remitted, \$450,000 is considered interest and the remaining portion principal. The debt is estimated to mature in 2026.

#### Note 8: Long-term Debt (continued)

#### Legal Debt Margin

The amount of debt, excluding specific exempted debt that can be incurred by the county is limited by state statute. Total outstanding debt during a year can be no greater than 15% of assessed value of the taxable property within the County, according to the last completed assessment for taxation. However, the limitation is increased to 20% whenever a county issues bonds to repair or replace washed out or collapsed bridges on the public roads of the county. As of September 30, 2020, the amount of outstanding debt was equal to 2% of the latest property assessments.

#### Pledge of Future Revenues

The County has pledged future revenues for the Tax Increment Limited Obligation Bond to fund unpaid obligations from loan proceeds used by DAK Americas Mississippi Inc. to construct facilities and equipment at the Port Bienville Industrial Park. The bond is not a general obligation of the County and, therefore, are not secured by the full faith and credit of the County. The bonds are payable solely from income derived from the tax collections of DAK Americas Mississippi Inc. The annual principal is derived from the overall tax collected, less interest of \$450,000 and County revenue of \$225,000 (the remainder is treated as principal).

The following is a summary of change in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2020:

	Balance 10/1/2019	Additions	Payments	Balance 9/30/2020	Due Within One Year
General obligation bonds	\$ 2,828,000	\$-	\$ (493,000)	\$ 2,335,000	\$ 300,000
Limited obligation bonds	6,842,113	-	(711,824)	6,130,289	-
Special obligation bonds	13,000,000	-	-	13,000,000	-
Equipment notes	1,442,075	-	(226,119)	1,215,956	231,694
Capital leases	280,210	-	(37,138)	243,072	38,063
Compensated absences *	231,803	38,616	(23,622)	246,797	
Total	\$ 24,624,201	\$ 38,616	<u>\$ (1,491,703</u> )	\$ 23,171,114	\$ 569,757

\* Compensated absences will be paid from the funds from which the employee's salaries were paid; which are generally the General Fund and Countywide Road Maintenance Fund.

Note 9: Deficit Fund Balances of Individual Funds

The following funds reported deficits in fund balances at September 30, 2020:

Fund	Deficit	
Tidelands Fund	\$	33,069
Tropical Storm Cristobal		175,096
Nate		772,417
Hurricane Sally		38,333
Multipurpose Arena		938
Tidelands Fund		30,221
Nvision Loan		143,634
NIST-LIDAR Point Cloud City		9,802
Stennis Airport Terminal		109,170
DMR Jourdan River Shores		111,598

#### Note 10: Contingencies

#### Federal Grants

The County has received federal grants for specific purposes that are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Entitlements to these resources are generally conditional upon compliance with the terms and conditions of grant agreements and applicable federal regulations, including the expenditure of resources for allowable purposes. Any disallowance resulting from a grantor audit may become a liability of the County. No provision for any liability that may result has been recognized in the County's financial statements due to the fact that such estimates cannot be made.

#### Litigation

The County is party to legal proceedings, many of which occur in the normal course of governmental operations. It is not possible at this time to estimate the ultimate outcome or liability, if any, of the County with respect to the various proceedings. However, the County's legal counsel believes that ultimate liability resulting from these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

#### Note 11: No Commitment Debt (Not Included in Financial Statements)

No commitment debt is repaid only by the entities for whom debt was issued and includes debt that either bears the County's name or for which a moral responsibility may exist that is not an enforceable promise to pay. No commitment debt explicitly states the absence of obligation by the County other than possibly an agreement to assist creditors in exercising their rights in the event of default. As of the date of this report, the County has not identified any such debt.

#### Note 12: Effect of Deferred Amounts on Net Position

The governmental activities' unrestricted net position amount of \$ (19,001,084) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to pensions. A portion of the deferred outflow of resources related to pensions in the amount of \$495,256 resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2021. The \$4,239,459 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to pensions at September 30, 2020, will be recognized in pension expense over the next four (4) years.

The governmental activities' unrestricted net position amount of \$ (19,001,084) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of revenue resulting from lease receivable. The \$ 11,319,614 balance of deferred inflows of resources at September 30, 2020, will be recognized as revenue and will increase the unrestricted net position over the next 23 years.

#### Note 13: Related Organizations

The Hancock County Board of Supervisors is responsible for appointing a voting majority of the members of the board of Pearlington Water and Sewer District, but the County's accountability for this organization does not extend beyond making the appointments.

The Hancock County Board of Supervisors is responsible for appointing a voting majority of the members of the board of the Hancock County Water and Sewer District, but the County's accountability for this organization does not extend beyond making the appointments.

The Hancock County Board of Supervisors is responsible for appointing a voting majority of the members of the board of the Kiln Utility and Fire Protection District, but the County's accountability for this organization does not extend beyond making the appointments.

The Hancock County Board of Supervisors is responsible for appointing a voting majority of the members of the board of the Hancock County Port and Harbor Commission, but the County's accountability for this organization does not extend beyond making the appointments.

#### Note 14: Joint Ventures

The county participates in the following joint ventures:

Hancock County is a participant with the cities of Bay St. Louis, Waveland and Diamondhead in a joint venture, -- authorized by Section 39-3-8, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), to operate the Hancock County Library System. The joint venture was created to provide library service. The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints two of the five members of the board of directors. By contractual agreement, the County's appropriation to the joint venture was \$1,299,000 in fiscal year 2020. Complete financial statements for the Hancock County Library System can be obtained from 312 Highway 90, Bay St. Louis, Mississippi.

Hancock County is a participant with the Cities of Bay St. Louis, Waveland and Diamondhead in a joint venture, authorized by Section 17-17-307, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), to operate the Hancock County Solid Waste Authority. The joint venture was created to collect and dispose of solid waste for the members of the authority. The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints two of the eight members of the board of directors. The County's appropriation to the joint ventures was \$907,550 in fiscal year 2020. Complete financial statements for the Hancock County Solid Waste Authority can be obtained from Compton Engineering, P.A., 3036 Longfellow Drive, Bay St. Louis, MS 39520.

Note 15: Jointly Governed Organizations

The county participates in the following jointly governed organizations:

Mississippi Regional Housing Authority VIII operates in a district composed of the Counties of Covington, Forrest, George, Greene, Hancock, Harrison, Jackson, Jones, Lamar, Marion, Pearl River, Perry, Stone and Wayne. The governing body is a 15member board of commissioners, one appointed by the Board of Supervisors of each of the member counties and one appointed at large. The counties generally provide no financial support to the organization.

Region XIII Commission for Mental Health and Mental Retardation operates in a district composed of the Counties of Hancock, Harrison, Pearl River and Stone. The governing body is a four-member board of commissioners, one appointed by the Board of Supervisors of each of the member counties. The county appropriated \$328,500 for the support of the agency in fiscal year 2020.

Pearl River Community College operates in a district composed of the Counties of Forrest, Hancock, Jefferson Davis, Lamar, Marion, and Pearl River. The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints two of the 16 members of the college board of trustees. The County appropriated \$1,140,721 for maintenance and support of the college in fiscal year 2020.

#### Note 15: Jointly Governed Organizations (continued)

Southern Mississippi Planning and Development District operates in a district composed of the Counties of Covington, Forest, George, Greene, Hancock, Harrison, Jackson, Jefferson Davis, Jones, Lamar, Marion, Pearl River, Perry, Stone and Wayne. The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints one of the 27 members of the board of directors. The County appropriated \$10,982 for support of the district in fiscal year 2020.

Gulf Regional Planning and Development District operates in a district composed of the Counties of Hancock Harrison and Jackson. The governing body is a nine-member board of directors, three appointed by the Board of Supervisors of each member county. The County appropriated \$9,077 for support of the district in fiscal year 2020.

The Hancock County Utility Authority operates the wastewater treatment facilities for the Cities of Bay St. Louis and Waveland, and three county utility districts, as authorized by Section 49-17-171, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints one of the six members of the board of commissioners. Complete financial statements for the Hancock County Utility Authority can be obtained from 401 Gulfside Street, Waveland, Mississippi.

Gulf Coast Community Action Agency operates in the Counties of George, Greene, Hancock and Harrison. The agency's board is composed of 24 members, one each appointed by the Counties of George, Greene, Hancock and Harrison, and the Cities of Bay St. Louis, Biloxi, Gulfport and Pass Christian, with the remaining 16 appointed by the private sector. Most of the entity's funding comes through federal grants and the member governments provide only a modest amount of financial support when the grants require matching funds.

Hancock County Tourism Development Bureau is jointly governed by Hancock County and the Cities of Bay St. Louis and Waveland. The Hancock County Board of Supervisors appoints three of the nine members of the board of directors. The County appropriated \$25,000 for support of the Organization in fiscal year 2020.

#### Note 16: Defined Benefit Pension Plan

*Plan Description*. Hancock County, Mississippi, contributes to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by state law and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That information may be obtained by writing to the Public Employees' Retirement System, PERS Building, 429 Mississippi Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39201-1005 or by calling 1-800-444-PERS.

*Benefits Provided.* Membership in PERS is a condition of employment granted upon hiring for qualifying employees and officials of the State of Mississippi, state universities, community and junior colleges, and teachers and employees of the public school districts. For those persons employed by political subdivisions and instrumentalities of the State of Mississippi, membership is contingent upon approval of the entity's participation in PERS by the PERS' Board of Trustees. If approved, membership for the entity's employees is a condition of employment and eligibility is granted to those who qualify upon hiring. Participating members who are vested and retire at or after age 60 or those who retire regardless of age with at least 30 years of creditable service (25 years of creditable service for employees who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011) are entitled, upon application, to an annual retirement allowance payable monthly for life in an amount equal to 2.0 percent of their average compensation for each year of creditable service up to and including 30 years (25 years for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011), plus 2.5 percent for each additional year of creditable service with an actuarial reduction in the benefit for each year of creditable service below 30 years or the number of years in age that the member is below 65, whichever is less. Average compensation is the average of the employee's earnings during the four highest compensated years of creditable service.

Benefits vest upon completion of eight years of membership service (four years of membership service for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2007). PERS also provides certain death and disability benefits.

#### Note 16: Defined Benefit Pension Plan (continued)

A Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) payment is made to eligible retirees and beneficiaries. The COLA is equal to 3.0 percent of the annual retirement allowance for each full fiscal year of retirement up to the year in which the retired member reaches age 60 (55 for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011), with 3.0 percent compounded for each fiscal year thereafter. Plan provisions are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature.

*Contributions*. At September 30, 2020, PERS members are required to contribute 9% of their annual covered salary, and the county is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The employer's rate at September 30, 2020 was 17.4% of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of PERS members are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. The County's contributions (employer share only) to PERS for the years ending September 30, 2020, 2019, and 2018 were \$2,183,062, \$1,860,509, and \$1,719,865 respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

# Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2020, the County reported a liability of \$35,583,674 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2020, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the County's long-term share of contribution to the pension plan relative to projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The County's proportionate share used to calculate the September 30, 2020 net pension liability was .1838 percent, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2020. This was an increase of .0129 percent from its proportionate share used to calculate the September 30, 2019 net pension liability, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2019.

For the year ended September 30, 2020, the County recognized pension expense of \$2,039,012. At September 30, 2020, the County reported deferred outflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	5	red Outflows Resources	Deferred Inflov of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	308,871	\$	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings				
on pension plan investments		1,461,641		-
Changes of assumptions		199,056		-
Changes in the proportion and differences between the County's				
contributions and proportionate share of contributions		2,269,892		-
County contribututions subsequent to the measurement date		495,257		-
Total	\$	4,734,717	\$	-

\$495,257 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2021. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

 Year ending September 30:
 \$ 1,070,215

 2021
 \$ 1,070,215

 2023
 1,070,215

 2024
 1,028,815

 \$ 4,239,460

#### Note 16: Defined Benefit Pension Plan (continued)

Actuarial Assumptions. The total pension liability as of June 30, 2020 was determined by an actuarial valuation prepared as of June 30, 2019 using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods in the measurement:

Inflation	2.75 percent
Salary increases	3.00 – 18.25 percent, including inflation
Investment rate of return	7.75 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

Mortality rates were based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments: For males, 112% of male rates from ages 18 to 75 scaled down to 105% for ages 80 to 119. For females, 85% of the female rates from ages 18 to 65 scaled up to 102% for ages 75 to 119. Mortality rates are projected generationally using the MP-2018 projection scale to account for future improvements in life expectancy.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2019 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the four-year period from July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2018. The experience report is dated April 2, 2019.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected nominal returns, net of pension plan investment expense and the assumed rate of inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

The most recent target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

			Long-Term	
	Target		Expected Real	
Asset Class	Allocation		Rate of Return	
Domestic Equity	27.00	%	4.90	%
International Equity	22.00		4.75	
Global Equity	12.00		5.00	
Fixed Income	20.00		0.50	
Real Estate	10.00		4.00	
Private Equity	8.00		6.25	
Cash	1.00		0.00	
Total	100.00	%		

*Discount Rate.* The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.75 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate (9.00%) and that employer contributions will be made at the current employer contribution rate (17.40%). Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

#### Note 16: Defined Benefit Pension Plan (continued)

Sensitivity to the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate. The following presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.75 percent, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.75 percent) or 1- percentage-point higher (8.75 percent) than the current rate:

	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase
	6.75%	7.75%	8.75%
County's proportionate share of			
the net pension liability	\$ 46,058,693	\$ 35,583,674	\$ 26,937,574

*Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position.* Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PERS financial report.

#### Note 17: Tax Abatements

As of September 30, 2020, the County provides tax abatements and exemptions on an individual basis and through the Commercial Development Incentive Program.

*Commercial Development Incentive Program.* The tax exemption policy and redevelopment zones were established in the Cities of Bay St. Louis, Diamondhead and Waveland to promote private investment and growth in specific areas throughout Hancock County. If qualified, the exemption will allow for up to 100% abatement of county ad valorem taxes (excluding school taxes, road and bridge taxes and community college taxes) for businesses including new structures in any of the proposed commercial development zones. The following is a description of the program:

- The participant may be granted up to 100% abatement on a graduated scale for county ad valorem taxes on the structure and last up to seven years per state law.
- The business investment of development is targeted for location within one of the adopted commercial development zones.
- The minimum business investment must be \$500,000 in a new commercial construction project.
- The tax exemption is transferable to new owners who covenant to follow the terms of the original exemption.
- The County elected officials retain complete discretion in issuing exemptions and projects outside of the proposed zones can be considered using the adopted incentive scale and criteria.

To date, no abatements have been granted for the above program, however, some abatements have been granted on an individual basis.

The following reflects the amounts of ad valorem taxes abated or offset, pursuant to Section 27-31-101 of the MS code, for the year ended September 30, 2020:

#### Note 17: Tax Abatements (continued)

			An	nount of
Area	Program	Terms	Тах	es Abated
Port Bienville	N/A	10 year exemption on expansion (real and personal)	\$	71,663
Stennis Airport Park	N/A	10 year exemption on expansion (real and personal)		15,290
Diamondhead, MS	N/A	10 year exemption on expansion (real and personal)		18,580
Stennis Space Center	N/A	10 year exemption on expansion (real and personal)		255,788
Port Bienville	N/A	10 year exemption on expansion (real and personal)		118,575
Unincorporated Area	N/A	10 year exemption on real and personal		19,942
Stennis Airport Park	N/A	10 year exemption on real and personal		49,295
Bay St. Louis, MS	N/A	7 year abatement on County taxes		75,033
Unincorporated Area	N/A	10 year exemption on real and personal		4,947
Unincorporated Area	N/A	10 year exemption on real and personal		359
			\$	629,472

#### Note 18: Changes in Accounting Standards

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board issued GASB 95, *Postponement of the Effective Dates of Certain Authoritative Guidance* in May 2020. The objective of this Statement was to provide temporary relief to governments in light of the COVID-19 pandemic by postponing effective dates of certain Statements and Implementation Guides. The effective dates of GASB 83-84, GASB 88-93, and Implementation Guides No. 2017-3, 2018-1, 2019-1, and 2019-2 were postponed one year. The effective dates of GASB 87, *Leases*, and Implementation Guide No. 2019-3, *Leases*, were postponed eighteen months.

#### Note 19: Subsequent Events

Events that occur after the Statement of Net Position date but before the financial statements are available to be issued must be evaluated for recognition or disclosure. The effects of subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that existed at the Statement of Net Position date are recognized in the accompanying financial statements. Subsequent events which provide evidence about conditions that existed after the Statement of Net Position date require disclosure in the accompanying notes.

Management of Hancock County, Mississippi evaluated the activity of the County through May 2, 2022, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and determined that the following subsequent events have occurred requiring disclosure in the notes to the financial statements:

Subsequent to September 30, 2020, the County issued the following debt obligation:

Issue Date	Interest Rate	Issue Amount	Type of Financing	Source of Financing
08/18/2021	*	\$ 7,000,000	General Obligation Bond,	MS Development
			Series 2021	Bank

\* Unknown as of the date of this report.

#### Note 20: Accompanying Reports

The Mississippi Office of the State Auditor (OSA) has elected to perform limited procedures in relation to purchasing and compliance with state laws. This report should be viewed in conjunction with the report from OSA in order to gain a comprehensive understanding of the County's operations. This report and OSA's report will be available on OSA's website at http://www.osa.ms.gov/reports. OSA's report will include a Purchasing Report and Limited Compliance Review Report.

#### **REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020 UNAUDITED

#### <u>General Fund</u>

							Vc	riance with
						Actual	Fi	nal Budget
		Original		Final		(Budgetary		Positive
		- Budget		Budget		Basis)	(	Negative)
Revenues				0		· · · ·		<u> </u>
Property taxes	Ś	15,920,000	\$	15,920,000	\$	16,466,872	\$	546,872
Licenses, commission and other revenue	•	766,000	•	913,166	•	1,034,803	•	121,637
Fines and forfeitures		499,500		499,500		475,416		(24,084)
Intergovernmental revenues		3,758,452		3,807,743		3,702,357		(105,386)
Charges for services		1,080,000		1,080,000		1,130,742		50,742
Interest income		267,000		267,000		390,671		123,671
Miscellaneous		297,150		297,150		574,170		277,020
Total revenues		22,588,102		22,784,559		23,775,031		990,472
Expenses								
Current:								
General government		10,632,239		11,041,375		9,552,801		1,488,574
Public safety		10,204,591		10,323,163		9,908,496		414,667
Public works		1,148,642		931,642		201,073		730,569
Health and welfare		1,263,426		1,546,926		1,466,982		79,944
Culture and recreation		2,435,809		2,652,809		2,153,019		499,790
Conservation of natural resources		183 <i>,</i> 327		183,327		176,225		7,102
Economic development and assistance		436,255		436,255		387,478		48,777
Total expenditures		26,304,289		27,115,497		23,846,074		3,269,423
Excess (deficiency) of revenues								
over (under) expenditures		(3,716,187)		(4,330,938)		(71,043)		4,259,895
Other Financing Sources								
Disposal of assets		1,000		33,490		69,133		35,643
Transfers in		1,770,000		1,770,000		1,898,000		128,000
Transfers out		(173,000)		(173 <i>,</i> 000)		(729,762)		(556,762)
Lease proceeds		600,000		600,000		850,000		250,000
Total other financing sources		2,198,000		2,230,490		2,087,371		(143,119)
Net change in fund balance		(1,518,187)		(2,100,448)		2,016,328		4,116,776
Fund balance, October 1, 2019		17,738,882		17,738,882		17,738,882		-
Fund balance, September 30, 2020	\$	16,220,695	\$	15,638,434	\$	19,755,210	\$	4,116,776

The accompanying notes to the Required Supplementary Information are an integral part of this schedule.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020 UNAUDITED

#### Countywide Road Maintenance Fund (Special Revenue)

	 Original Budget	Final Budget	(4	Actual Budgetary Basis)	Fi	ariance with inal Budget Positive (Negative)
Revenues						
Property taxes	\$ 929,000	\$ 929,000	\$	829,596	\$	(99,404)
Road and bridge privilege	588,000	587,000		647,610		60,610
Licensing and other revenues	-	-		901,580		901,580
Fines and forfeitures	-	-		51,315		51,315
Intergovernmental revenues	1,245,000	1,796,696		1,105,053		(691,643)
Interest income	32,000	32,000		44,949		12,949
Miscellaneous	 2,500	 2,500		3,437		937
Total revenues	 2,796,500	 3,347,196		3,583,540		236,344
Expenses Current: Public works	2 1 6 2 0 6 7	2 080 204		2 052 172		1 0 28 1 2 2
	 3,162,067	 3,980,294		2,952,172		1,028,122
Total expenditures	 3,162,067	 3,980,294		2,952,172		1,028,122
Excess (deficiency) of revenues						
over (under) expenditures	 (365,567)	 (633,098)		631,368		1,264,466
Other Financing Sources						
Sale of assets	-	-		-		-
Transfers out	 (156,000)	 (156,000)		(162,080)		(6,080)
Total other financing sources	 (156,000)	 (156,000)		(162,080)		(6 <i>,</i> 080)
Net change in fund balance	(521,567)	(789,098)		469,288		1,258,386
Fund balance, October 1, 2019	 5,142,091	 5,142,091		5,142,091		
Fund balance, September 30, 2020	\$ 4,620,524	\$ 4,352,993	\$	5,611,379	\$	1,258,386

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Schedule of the County's Proportionate Share of Net Pension Liability Last 10 Fiscal Years \* For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
County's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)	0.1838%	0.1709%	0.1660%	0.1620%	0.1530%	0.1579%	0.1599%
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 35,583,674	\$ 30,066,089 \$	\$ 27,594,834 \$	26,929,898	\$ 27,329,620	\$ 24,408,226	N/A
County's covered payroll	\$ 12,546,846	\$ 11,527,533 \$	\$ 10,919,765 \$	10,254,806	\$ 9,841,232	9,866,463	9,569,752
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	283.61%	260.82%	252.71%	262.61%	277.71%	247.39%	N/A
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	58.97%	61.59%	62.54%	61.49%	57.47%	61.70%	67.21%

\* The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the measurement date of June 30 of the fiscal year presented. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the County has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Schedule of County Contributions Last 10 Fiscal Years \* For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Contractually required contribution	\$ 2,183,062	\$ 1,860,509	\$ 1,719,865	\$ 1,615,132	\$ 1,549,994	\$ 1,553,968
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	2,183,062	1,860,509	1,719,865	1,615,132	1,549,994	1,553,968
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$</u> -	<u>\$ -</u>
County's covered payroll	12,546,846	11,527,533	10,919,765	10,254,806	9,841,232	9,866,463
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	17.40%	16.14%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%

\* This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until a full 10-year trend is compiled, the County has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Notes to the Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

#### Note 1: Budgetary Information

Statutory requirements dictate how and when the county's budget is to be prepared. Generally, in the month of August, prior to the ensuing fiscal year beginning each October 1, the Board of Supervisors of the County, using historical and anticipated fiscal data and proposed budgets submitted by the Sheriff and the Tax Assessor-Collector for his or her respective department, prepares an original budget for each of the Governmental Funds for said fiscal year. The completed budget for the fiscal year includes for each fund every source of revenue, each general item of expenditure, and the unencumbered cash and investment balances. When during the fiscal year it appears to the Board of Supervisors that budgetary estimates will not be met, they may make revisions to the budget.

The County's budget is prepared principally on the cash basis of accounting. All appropriations lapse at year end, and there are no encumbrances to budget because state law does not require that funds be available when goods or services are ordered, only when payment is made.

#### Note 2: Basis of Presentation

The Budgetary Comparison Schedule-Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) presents the original legally adopted budget, the final legally adopted budget, actual amounts on a budgetary (Non-GAAP Basis) and variances between the final budget and the actual amounts. The schedule is presented for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund. The Budgetary Comparison Schedule-Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) is part of required supplementary information.

#### Note 3: Budget/GAAP Reconciliation

The major differences between the budgetary basis and the GAAP basis are:

- Revenues are recorded when received in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).
- Expenditures are recorded when paid in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).

The following schedule reconciles the budgetary basis schedules to the GAAP basis financial statements for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund:

			County	wide Road
	0	General Fund	Mainte	nance Fund
Budget (cash basis)	\$	2,016,328	\$	469,288
Increase (decrease)				
Net adjustments for revenue accruals		759,515		(427 <i>,</i> 288)
Net adjustments for expenditure accruals		(512,147)		254,287
GAAP Basis	\$	2,263,696	\$	296,287

#### Note 4: Pension Schedules

#### Changes of assumptions

#### <u>2019</u>

- The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments:
  - For males, 112% of male rates from ages 18 to 75 scaled down to 105% for ages 80 to 119.
  - For females, 85% of the female rates from ages 18 to 65 scaled up to 102% for ages 75 to 119.
  - Projection scale MP-2018 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.
- The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to PubT.H-2010 Disabled Retiree Table for disabled retirees with the following adjustments:
  - For males, 137% of male rates at all ages.
  - For females, 115% of female rates at all ages.
  - Projection scale MP-2018 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

#### Hancock County, Mississippi Notes to the Required Supplementary Information (Unaudited) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

- The price inflation assumption was reduced from 3.00% to 2.75%.
- The wage inflation assumption was reduced from 3.25% to 3.00%.
- Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, and service retirement rates were also adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience.
- The percentage of active member disabilities assumed to be in the line of duty was increased from 7% to 9%.

#### <u>2017</u>

- The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2022. Small adjustments were also made to the Mortality Table for disabled lives.
- The wage inflation assumption was lowered from 3.75% to 3.25%.
- Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates and service retirement rates were also adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience.
- The percentage of active member disabilities assumed to be in line of duty was increased from 6% to 7%

#### <u>2016</u>

• The assumed rate of interest credited to employee contributions was changed from 3.50% to 2.00%.

#### <u>2015</u>

- The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Table projected to 2016 using Scale BB rather than the RP-2000 Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.
- The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Disabled Retiree Table, rather than the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.
- Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates and service retirement rates were also adjusted to more closely reflect actual experience.
- Assumed rates of salary increase were adjusted to more closely reflect actual and anticipated experience.
- The price inflation and investment rate of return assumptions were changed from 3.50% to 3.00% and 8.00% to 7.75%, respectively.

#### Changes in Benefit Provisions

#### <u>2016</u>

• Effective July 1, 2016, the interest rate on employee contributions shall be calculated based on the money market rate as published by the Wall Street Journal on December 31 of each preceding year with a minimum rate of one percent and a maximum rate of five percent.

#### Method and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions

The actuarially determined contribution rates in the schedule of employer contributions are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported (June 30, 2018 valuation for the June 30, 2020 fiscal year end). The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine the most recent contribution rate reported in that schedule:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, open
Remaining amortization period	30.9 years
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market
Price Inflation	3.00 percent
Salary increase	3.25 percent to 18.50 percent, including inflation
Investment rate of return	7.75 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# Hancock County, Mississippi Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

Federal Grantor/ Pass-through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Program Number	Federal Expenditu	ires
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Passed-through the Mississippi Development Authority Community Development Block Grant	14.228	R-118-023-21-HCCR	\$ 547	,805
U.S. Department of the Interior - Fish & Wildlife Service Passed-through Department of Marine Resources Sport Fish Restoration	15.605	MS-F-F19AF00463	127	080
Sport FISH Restoration	15.005	WIS-F-F19AF00463	132	,089
U.S. Department of Justice Passed-through Mississippi Department of Public Safety Violence Against Women Formula Grant	16.588	STOP-2017-WF-AX-0047	36	,230
U.S. Department of Transportation - Federal Highway Administration Passed-through Mississippi Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	NA	215	,960
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	NA	215	,900
U.S. Department of Treasury Forefeitures				
Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019	NA	163	,617
Equitable Sharing Program	21.016	NA	44	,741
U.S. Election Assistance Commission				
Help America Vote Act (HAVA)	90.401	NA	4	,473
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Passed-through Mississippi Emergency Management Agency				
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	4350 DR MS	262	,480
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	4528 DR MS	490	,852
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	97.036	3554 EM	40	,481
<b>Highway Safety Cluster:</b> U.S. Department of Justice				
Passed-through Mississippi Department of Public Safety				
Occupant Protection Incentive Grant	20.600	OP-2022-OP-12-31	7	,321
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration Passed-through Division of Public Safety Planning State and Community Highway Safety (DUI)	20.607	154AL-2022-ST-12-31	84	,187
U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST)	11.618	70NANB18H249	ς <i>Λ</i>	,802
Reconcernstruce of Sumurus and Technology (MST)	11.010	, 510, 1101011245		,502
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			<u>\$ 2,085</u>	,038

# Hancock County, Mississippi Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

#### Note 1: Significant Accounting Policies

#### Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the Schedule) is prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

#### 10% De Minimis Cost Rate

The County has not elected to use the 10% de minimis cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

**OTHER INFORMATION** 

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
David Yarborough	Board of Supervisors - District 1	RLI Surety	100,000
Greg Shaw	Board of Supervisors - District 2	RLI Surety	100,000
Blaine Lafontaine	Board of Supervisors - District 3	RLI Surety	100,000
Scotty Adams	Board of Supervisors - District 4	RLI Surety	100,000
Darren Bo Ladner	Board of Supervisors - District 5	Western	100,000
Tim Kellar	Chancery Clerk	<b>RLI Surety</b>	100,000
Karen Rhur	Circuit Clerk	RLI Surety	100,000
ames A. Ladner, Jr.	Tax Assessor/Collector	RLI Surety	100,000
im Faulk	Coroner	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Ricky Adam	Sheriff	RLI Surety	100,000
Preston Mauffray	Justice Court Judge	<b>RLI Surety</b>	50,000
Desmond W. Hoda	Justice Court Judge	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
lames A. Lagasse III	Justice Court Judge	RLI Surety	50,000
Theresa C. Beeson	Constable	<b>RLI Surety</b>	50,000
Ferry Necaise	Constable	RLI Surety	50,000
Ray Seal	Constable	RLI Surety	50,000
Gretchen Karl	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
eslie Besancon	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Holly Bilbo	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Kathleen Stieffel	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Nadine Ferrell	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Donald Bass	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Feresa Osbourn	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Deanna Thompson	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
_aura Ruspoli	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Holli Cuevas	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Kathyleen Siebenkittel	Notary	RLI Surety	5,000
Positions covered by Blanl	ket Bond:		
Eddie Favre	County Administrator	RLI Surety	100,000
Nancy Kelly	Comptroller	RLI Surety	100,000
Maureen Anderson	Grant Administrator	RLI Surety	100,000
Melissa Bice	Inventory Control Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Donna Henry	Human Resource Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Geoffrey Clemens	County Engineer	RLI Surety	50,000
Robin Benoit	Purchase Clerk	RLI Surety	75,000
Melissa Bice	Payroll Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
April Shiyou	Assistant Purchase Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Fracy O'Neal	Receiving Clerk	<b>RLI Surety</b>	75,000
Amy Dunn	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Candy Fricke	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Kathlyeen Siebenkittle	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
		(	Continued on Next Pag

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
Joleen Fore	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Rachelle Garcia	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Kristy Sand	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Tonya Bilbo	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Sandra Hoda	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Catherine Garcia	Assistant Receiving Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Traci Borja	Assistant Receiving Clerk	, RLI Surety	50,000
Holly Bilbo	Assistant Receiving Clerk	, RLI Surety	50,000
, Bridgette Ladner	Deputy Chancery Clerks	, RLI Surety	50,000
Gloria Jordan	Deputy Chancery Clerks	, RLI Surety	50,000
LaShunda McGrath	Deputy Chancery Clerks	, RLI Surety	50,000
Katie R Lee	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Karla McCarty	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Heddi Morel	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Larrinell Scarborough	Deputy Chancery Clerks	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Cassaundra Tribble	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Rachel Johnson	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Ashley Roberson	Deputy Chancery Clerks	RLI Surety	50,000
Hali A Burlette	Deputy Circuit Clerk	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Joleen Fore	Deputy Circuit Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Valerie Ladner	Deputy Circuit Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Ciara Theriot	Deputy Circuit Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Jason Shiyou	Deputy Circuit Clerk	, RLI Surety	50,000
Gretchen Karl	Deputy Circuit Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Emma Stahl	Justice Court Clerk	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Jane Clayton	Justice Court Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Kristy Sand	Justice Court Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Amber Ladner	Justice Court Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
Janelle Carver	Justice Court Clerk	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Megan Bourn	Deputy Youth Court Clerk	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Leslie Besancon	Deputy Tax Collector	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
Melissa Fucich	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Tiffany Jones	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Danille Torres	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Sandra Hoda	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Meagan Kelley	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Candice Allen	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Tracey Meranto	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Brianna Palmer	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Shelley Cuevas	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Dana Maggiore	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
Carrie McQueen	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
		(	Continued on Next Pac

(Continued on Next Page)

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
rittany Lee	Deputy Tax Collector	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
abrielle Morel	Deputy Tax Collector	RLI Surety	50,000
athryn Hurt	Deputy Tax Assessor	RLI Surety	10,000
ritni Moody	Deputy Tax Assessor	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
eraldine Waltman	Deputy Tax Assessor	RLI Surety	10,000
olanda Sanders	Deputy Tax Assessor	RLI Surety	10,000
hase Byrd	Deputy Tax Assessor	RLI Surety	10,000
ee Bennett	Field Appraisers	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
arah Garcia	Field Appraisers	RLI Surety	10,000
ichard Loper	Field Appraisers	RLI Surety	10,000
len Meranto	Field Appraisers	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
harles Oliver	Field Appraisers	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
rina Lizana	Field Appraisers	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
wayne Raphael	Field Appraisers	<b>RLI Surety</b>	10,000
lexander Gill	Field Appraisers	RLI Surety	10,000
1arty Wright	Field Appraisers	RLI Surety	10,000
pril Shiyou	McLeod Park Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
/hitney Cuevas	McLeod Park Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
yra Ladner	McLeod Park Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
ickey Head	McLeod Park Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
ictor Johnson	Road Manager	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
enny E Shiyou	Harbor Master	<b>RLI Surety</b>	50,000
rian Adam	Fire Marshall	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
ohn Albert Evans	Fire Marshall	RLI Surety	50,000
nthony Cuevas	Director of Planning & Zoning	RLI Surety	50,000
racy J O'Neal	Planning & Zoning Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
eri Bouchie	Planning & Zoning Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
hane Wyman	Animal Shelter	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
ridget Ladner	Animal Shelter	RLI Surety	50,000
ersondra Hoetger	Animal Shelter	RLI Surety	50,000
ebecca Rospoli	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
eresa Osbourn	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
olly Cuevas	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
/anda Newbold	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
hel Gladney	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
adine Ferrell	Deputy Clerk	RLI Surety	50,000
athyleen Siebenkittel	Deputy Sheriff	<b>RLI</b> Surety	50,000
manda Bourn	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
	· ·	•	•

	UNAUDIT		0
Name	<u>Title</u>	Company	Coverage
Ray Murphy	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Casey Piazza	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Kyle Malley	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Isreal Neff	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Edwin Merwin	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Roland Flowers	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Edward Walley	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Edward Besse	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Howard O'gwin	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Theresa Beeson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Brent Cuevas	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Richard Toler	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
John Alison	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Robert Kessell	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Joseph Kersanac	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Jason Scott	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Donald Siebenkittel	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Lindamarie Mckibban	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Bruce Lilly	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Casey Butler	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
William Reid	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Darrell Hughes	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Cody Fayard	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Christopher Robbins	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Keven Empey	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Michael Boutte	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Patrick Dell	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Reginald Fowler	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
John Ladner	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Nathan Hoda	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Albert Bielh	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
James Hill	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Mark Barraclough	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Casey Favre	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Aaron Jones	Deputy sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Colin Freeman	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Vincente Gilbert	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
James Zugg Jr.	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Dustyn Franklin	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Matthew Sekinger	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Eddie Peterson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Keith Lore	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Christopher Russell	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Paul Miller	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Douglas Peterson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Taylor Reed	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Blaine Freeman	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
		(	Continued on Next Pag

(Continued on Next Page)

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
Robert Lott	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Vilton Latcher	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Colin Ladner	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ames Alphonson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Aatthew Kutcher	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
loi Guidry	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Channing Reynolds	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
/Jark Alison	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
nthony Gambino	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
aura Stepro	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ynn Jones	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
eanna Thompson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
pe Flynt	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ussell Shoultz	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
athaniel Stanton	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ohn Favaloro	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
arryl Russell Jr.	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
loward Parker jr.	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ouglas Mcbride	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ndrew Greenwood	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Aichael Coleman	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
aniel Norris	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ob Armstrong	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ohn Bunce	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
nthony Licciardi	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
had Hoda	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
tevie Bello	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
homas Jennings	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
hi Pham	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
eeanna Dunigan	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
eannda Burnett	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
oshua Holland	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ary Gros	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
rett Morreale	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ichard Wilson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
1ichael Riggs	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Villiam Morgan	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
achary Bass	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
hane Jordan	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
ason Allen	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
lenn Grannan	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
homas Bethea	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
rederick Eagan III	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
hristopher Kiddy	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Villiam Covington	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Aarcus Jassby	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
arah Bell	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
	Deputy Sherin	-	Continued on Next Pa

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
Michael Seal	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Thomas Askew	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Jordan Galvin	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Randy Berggern	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Christopher Canaski	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Doyle Moran	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Leslie Pullens	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Colette White	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Joel Salisbury	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Jonus Johnson	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
David Lafontaine	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Dennis Jones	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Karl Kirsch	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Mike Burkett	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Mary Mitchell	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Jace Favre	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Matthew Roberts	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Zachary Redditt	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
David Polk	Deputy Sheriff	RLI Surety	50,000
Warden B. Zeringue	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Capt. A. Parker	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Lt. A. Johnson	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Lt. Ricky Foster	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Srgt. Jeremy Shiyou	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Srgt. Leslie Jordan	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Srgt. Adriane Avery	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Renee Lick	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Wanda Newbold	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Teresa Osbourn	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Frank Cuevas	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Derik Ladner	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Shannon Mandigo	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Rickey Geoffrey	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Eric Moran Jr.	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Ethel Gladney	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Ben O'Gwin	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Leroy Cospelich	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Kristen Favre	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Everett Gilkerson	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Roy Whittle	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Kathleen Hughes	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Nicole Foster	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Travis Necaise	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Charles Payne	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Bryan Gai	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50 <i>,</i> 000
Allen Sekinger	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
		(	Continued on Next Pag

(Continued on Next Page)

Name	Title	Company	Coverage
Crystal Ford	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Rose Dennis	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Guy Graham	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Lalaynnia Deperalta	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Merlin Necaise	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Jillian Walker	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Troy Theriot	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Ronald Slaughter	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Joseph Gendreau	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
David Foster	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Jason Skains	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Tyler Lawshe	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Leroy Hawkilns Jr.	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Jade Derouen	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Kenny Rogers	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Jagada Chifici	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
Charles Dorsey	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000
lvy Flippo	Correction Officers	RLI Surety	50,000

SPECIAL REPORTS



#### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Members of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Hancock County, Mississippi, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated May 2, 2022.

#### Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as 2020-001, 2020-002, 2020-003, 2020-004, and 2020-005 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Hancock County, Mississippi's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 2020-001 and 2020-005.

58 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants and Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

We also noted certain matters which we have reported to the management of Hancock County, Mississippi, in the Independent Accountant's Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System and Purchase Clerk Schedules and the Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report dated May 2, 2022, included within this document.

#### Hancock County's Responses to Findings

Hancock County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying auditee's corrective action plan. We did not audit Hancock County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

# Purpose of Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution in not limited.

Necaise & Company PUC

Necaise & Company, PLLC Kiln, Mississippi May 2, 2022



#### Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance

Member of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the compliance of Hancock County, Mississippi with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) *Circular Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2020. Hancock County, Mississippi's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for Hancock County, Mississippi's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Hancock County, Mississippi's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Hancock County's compliance.

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, Hancock County, Mississippi, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal programs for the year ended September 30, 2020.

#### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of immaterial noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2020-006. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Hancock County, Mississippi's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Auditee's Corrective Action Plan. Hancock County, Mississippi's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

12199 Highway 49, Ste. 400, Gulfport MS 39503 (228) 236-3622 60 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants and Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

Management of Hancock County, Mississippi is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance compliance* is a deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance yet is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance with a type of compliance yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did, however, identify one instance of noncompliance that we do not consider to be material in nature.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Necause & Company PUC

Necaise & Company, PLLC Kiln, Mississippi May 2, 2022



**Certified Public Accounting Firm** 

#### Independent Accountant's Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System and Purchase Clerk Schedules (Required by Section 31-7-115, Mississippi Code Ann. 1972)

Members of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

We have examined Hancock County, Mississippi's (the County) compliance with establishing and maintaining a central purchasing system and inventory control system in accordance with Sections 31-7-101 through 31-7-127, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) and compliance with the purchasing requirements in accordance with the bid requirements of Section 31-7-13, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) during the year ended September 30, 2020. The Board of Supervisors of Hancock County, Mississippi is responsible for the County's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with specified requirements. The Board of Supervisors of Hancock County, Mississippi, has established centralized purchasing for all funds of the County and has established an inventory control system. The objective of the central purchasing system is to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that purchases are executed in accordance with the state law.

The County did not maintain adequate subsidiary records documenting the existence, completeness, and valuation of capital assets.

Because of inherent limitations in any central purchasing system and inventory control system, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any current evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

The results of our audit procedures disclosed certain instances of noncompliance with the aforementioned code sections. These instances of noncompliance were considered in forming our opinion on compliance. Our findings, recommendations, and your responses are disclosed below:

**Inventory Control:** 

1.	Inventory Not Recorded
Repeat Finding:	Yes
Criteria:	MS Code Section 31-7-101 through 31-7-127
Condition:	Our tests revealed several capital assets that were not in the inventory system.
Cause:	The entity implemented a new financial software which lead to assets not being recorded. Controls were not suitably designed to identify all capital asset purchases.
Effect:	Assets were misstated.
Recommendation:	We recommend additional training in inventory management and control, as well as proper oversight to ensure capital assets are identified and recorded.

Views of Responsible Officials: A new software and procedures were implemented October 2020 to correct this problem.

12199 Highway 49, Ste. 400, Gulfport MS 39503 (228) 236-3622 62 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

#### Purchase Clerk: 2. Purchases with Credit Cards **Repeat Finding:** No Credit cards authorized under MS Code Section 19-3-68 should be used for travel. Criteria: Condition: Our tests revealed several credit card purchases for goods and/or services that did not pertain to travel. Cause: Credit cards were used to purchase goods and services not related to travel. Effect: Legal noncompliance. Recommendation: We recommend the Board of Supervisors adopt policies to ensure credit card use follows the MS Code.

Views of Responsible Officials: Controls have been implemented to correct this problem.

In our opinion, except as explained in the third paragraph and except for the noncompliance referred to in the preceding paragraph, Hancock County, Mississippi, complied, in all material respects, with state laws governing central purchasing, inventory and bid requirements for the year ended September 30, 2020.

The accompanying schedules of (1) Purchases Not Made from the Lowest Bidder, (2) Emergency Purchase and (3) Purchases Made Noncompetitively from a Sole Source are presented in accordance with Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The information contained on these schedules has been subjected to procedures performed in connection with our aforementioned examination of the purchasing system and, in our opinion, is fairly presented when considered in relation to that examination.

Hancock County's responses to the findings included in this report were not audited, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended for use in evaluating Hancock County, Mississippi's compliance with the aforementioned requirements, and is not intended to be and should not be relied upon for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Necause & Company PUC

Necaise & Company, PLLC Kiln, Mississippi May 2, 2022

# Hancock County, Mississippi Schedules in Accordance with Section 31-7-115, Mississippi Code Ann. (1972) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

# Schedule of Purchases Not Made From the Lowest Bidder

Our tests did not identify any purchases not made from the lowest bidder.

# Hancock County, Mississippi Schedules in Accordance with Section 31-7-115, Mississippi Code Ann. (1972) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

# Schedule of Emergency Purchases

Our tests did not identify any emergency purchases.

# Hancock County, Mississippi Schedules in Accordance with Section 31-7-115, Mississippi Code Ann. (1972) For the Year Ended September 30, 2020

# Schedule of Purchases Made Noncompetitively From a Sole Source

DateItem PurchasedAmount PaidVendor3/20/2020Online Report Writing\$ 109,252Interact Public Safety SystemSystem

# Certified Public Accounting Firm

#### Limited Internal Control and Legal Compliance Review Management Report

Members of the Board of Supervisors Hancock County, Mississippi

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Hancock County, Mississippi for the year ended September 30, 2020, we considered Hancock County, Mississippi's internal control to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control.

In addition, for areas not considered material to Hancock County, Mississippi's financial reporting, we have performed some additional limited internal control and state legal compliance review procedures as identified in the state legal compliance audit program issued by the Office of the State Auditor. Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the County's compliance with these requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. This report does not affect our report dated May 2, 2022, on the financial statements of Hancock County, Mississippi.

Due to the reduced scope, these review procedures and compliance tests cannot and do not provide absolute assurance that all state legal requirements have been complied with. Also, our consideration of internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters within the internal control that might be weaknesses. In accordance with Section 7-7-211, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), the Office of the State Auditor, when deemed necessary, may conduct additional procedures and tests of transactions for this or other fiscal years to ensure compliance with legal requirements.

The results of our review procedures and compliance tests identified certain immaterial instances of noncompliance with state laws and regulations that are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. Our findings, recommendations, and your responses are disclosed below:

#### Board of Supervisors

1.	Old Unclaimed Property
Repeat Finding:	Yes
Criteria:	MS Code Section 89-12-23
Condition:	The County is holding unclaimed property older than five (5) years.
Cause:	Unclaimed property not submitted to the State Treasurer.
Effect:	Accumulated outstanding balances.
Recommendation:	We recommend the County complete the unclaimed property report provided by the State Treasurer, and remit payment.

Views of Responsible Officials: We are in the process of reviewing several of our funds which might have an accumulation of outstanding, unclaimed property. We will comply with the requirement of MS Code Section 89-12-23 upon completion of our review.

67 Ty J. Necaise, MBA, CPA ty@necaiseco.com

3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road, Kiln MS 39556 (228) 255-6451

Member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Mississippi Society of Certified Public Accountants and Association of Certified Fraud Examiners

#### Board of Supervisors

2.	Mileage Reimbursement Not Approved
Repeat Finding:	No
Criteria:	Mileage reimbursement should not be paid unless approved by a supervisor.
Condition:	We noted unapproved mileage reimbursements for several employees, mainly in the administration and election departments.
Cause:	Reimbursements were paid without approval.
Effect:	Employees could be compensated for unauthorized travel and/or mileage.
Recommendation:	We recommend the payroll department not pay mileage reimbursements unless they are approved by a department head. In addition, mileage for supervisors should be approved by the Board of Supervisors.
<i>V''</i> (D ''	

Views of Responsible Officials: Controls have been implemented to correct this issue.

#### Board of Supervisors

3.	Surety Bond of the Inventory Control Clerk
Repeat Finding:	Νο
Criteria:	MS Code Section 31-7-124. The surety bond of the Inventory Control Clerk should be \$75,000.
Condition:	We noted that the Clerk's bond was only \$50,000.
Cause:	The bond does not meet statutory requirements.
Effect:	The Inventory Control Clerk is not bonded correctly. See OSA's Purchasing and Limited Compliance Review report for more details.
Recommendation:	We recommend the County correct the bond for the Inventory Control Clerk.
Views of Responsil	nle Officials: This issue has been corrected.

Views of Responsible Officials: This issue has been corrected.

Hancock County's responses to the findings included in this report were not audited, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors, and others within the entity and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these parties. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Necaise & Company PUC

Necaise & Company PLLC Kiln, Mississippi May 2, 2022

# Section 1: Summary of Auditor's Results

#### Financial Statements:

1.	Type of auditor's report issued on the financial statements:	
	Governmental activities General and other major funds	Unmodified Unmodified
	Aggregate remaining fund information	Unmodified
		Unnouneu
2.	Material noncompliance relating to the financial statements?	Yes
3.	Internal control over financial reporting:	
0.	a. Material weakness(es) identified?	No
	b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered	-
	to be material weaknesses?	Yes
<u>Federal</u>	Awards:	
4.	Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major federal programs?	Unmodified
5.	Internal control over major programs:	
	a. Material weakness(es) identified?	No
	b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not considered	
	to be material weaknesses?	None reported
6.	Any audit finding(s) disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance	
	with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	Yes
7.	Federal programs identified as major programs:	
	<u>CFDA Number</u> <u>Program Name</u>	
	14.228 Community Development Block Grant (state program)	
	97.036 Disaster Grants – Public Assistance	
8.	The dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	750,000
9.	Auditee qualified as low-risk?	No
10.	Prior fiscal year audit findings(s) which require the auditee to prepare a	
	summary schedule of prior audit findings in accordance with 2 CFR 200.511(b)?	Yes

#### Section 2: Financial Statement Findings

Board of Supervisors and Sheriff Significant Deficiency Material Noncompliance

2020-001	The Inventory Control System should be accurate and up to date.
Repeat Finding:	Yes (2015-005, 2016-001, 2017-001, 2018-001 and 2019-001)
Criteria:	The County's inventory control system should include all assets that meet the capitalization thresholds.
Condition:	We discovered several capital assets from the IT Department, the Sheriff's Department, and the Administration department that were purchased but not included in inventory. These items included computers, a boat motor, jail furniture, lighting, software, and equipment.
Cause:	Internal controls are not sufficient to ensure asset additions and disposals are recorded correctly in the inventory system.
Effect:	The County should ensure that all assets are recorded to ensure they are safeguarded from misappropriation. The effect of this finding is that capital assets were misstated and had to be adjusted.
Recommendation:	We recommend the Board review controls over capital assets and make improvements to ensure assets are recorded correctly. We also recommend yearly physical inventories conducted and/or monitored by the Inventory Control Clerk.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.

# Board of Supervisors Significant Deficiency

2020-002	The Investment Account is not reconciled.
Repeat Finding:	No
Criteria:	The balance of the investment fund should be tracked in the County's accounting system, and gains and losses should be recorded and reported to the Board.
Condition:	No activity was recorded in the investment fund during the year.
Cause:	Gains and losses were not recorded in the County's ledger and activity/results were not communicated to the Board.
Effect:	The investment fund was misstated.
Recommendation:	We recommend the Comptroller record the investment activity throughout the year and spread it on the minutes for the Board to review.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.

# Section 2: Financial Statement Findings (continued)

Board of Supervisors Significant Deficiency

2020-003	Terminated employees have a leave balance in the payroll system.
Repeat Finding:	No
Criteria:	The County's compensated absence liability should be accurate and only active employees should have a leave balance.
Condition:	We noted several retired and/or terminated employees that had a leave balance.
Cause:	Vacation and sick leave balances aren't being adjusted when employees are inactivated from payroll.
Effect:	Compensated absences was misstated.
Recommendation:	We recommend the payroll clerk make a record of final leave balances and adjust them in accordance with County policy. Vacation time for terminated employees should not be included in compensated absences.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.
Board of Supervisors Significant Deficiency	
2020-004	Employees are working beyond Board approved hours.
Repeat Finding:	No
Criteria:	Employees hired on a part-time basis not to exceed a set number of hours established by the Board of Supervisors should follow the mandate.
Condition:	We identified two employees that were hired in the recreation and building and grounds departments with a Board mandate not to exceed 19 hours, however the employees routinely worked more than the allowed number of hours.
Cause:	Supervisors and department heads are signing off on timesheets that exceed the allowed number of hours.
Effect:	Part-time employees have received unauthorized payments.
Recommendation:	Department heads and supervisors should be aware of Board requirements and follow them. The payroll department should assist in compliance with payroll policies and mandates.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.

# Section 2: Financial Statement Findings (continued)

Board of Supervisors Significant Deficiency Material Noncompliance

2020-005	Expenditures exceeded budgeted amounts.
Repeat Finding:	Νο
Criteria:	Requisitions and purchases beyond the Board approved budget should not be allowed.
Condition:	We identified several disbursements in multiple departments that were above Board approved budgets.
Cause:	Requisitions and purchases are made prior to verifying eligibility.
Effect:	Expenditures were made prior to the Board approving a budget amendment.
Recommendation:	Department heads should monitor their spending to ensure they are not exceeding budgeted amounts.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.

# Section 3: Findings Required to be Reported by the Uniform Guidance

Period of Performance Reporting Noncompliance	
2020-006	The Board did not obligate the GoMESA funds as they were received and/or expended.
CFDA Number	15.435
Federal Award	\$1,971,126
Pass-through	U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service
Questioned Costs	None
Repeat Finding:	Νο
Criteria:	The Board should approve and authorize disbursements of funds received through the Gulf of Mexico Energy Security Act (GoMESA).
Condition:	During our audit we noted the Board does not spread in its minutes how much funds were received on a yearly basis, and how the funds were expended.
Cause:	The Board issued a bond that is serviced by future GoMESA grant revenue. The agreement states that bond proceeds will be expended in accordance with the program's compliance requirements and in return, once GoMESA grant funds are received, the awarded amount will be sent to the bond administrator to service the debt obligation. The County maintains its records based on expended bond proceeds, not based on the grants awarded. In addition, there are no records (or actions taken in the minutes) that obligates the actual grant funds.
Effect:	The County does not spread in its minutes how the awarded GoMESA funds were obligated and spent.
Recommendation:	We recommend the Board spread and acknowledge, in the minutes, the amount of GoMESA funds received yearly. In addition, the Board must obligate and authorize how the funds are disbursed. According to the GoMESA bond agreement, the GoMESA federal grant proceeds are paid to a bond administrator, who in turn uses the funds to service the debt, then sends the remainder back to the County. We caution the Board to review federal compliance requirements as they pertain to allowable cost when obligating federal grant funds to service debt. Incurred interest on borrowed capital is typically an unallowed costs. See 2 CFR § 200.449 for more information.
View of Responsible Officials:	See auditee's corrective action plan.



# **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Hancock County 854 Highway 90, Suite A Bay St. Louis, MS 39520

Telephone (228) 467-0172 Fax (228) 467-2691

# AUDITEE'S CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN AND SUMMARY OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS

May 2, 2022

Necaise & Company PLLC 3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road Kiln, MS 39556

Dear Mr. Necaise:

Hancock County Respectfully submits the following correction action plan for year ended in September 30, 2020.

The findings from the Schedule of Findings and Questions Costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered consistently with the numbers assigned in the schedule. Section 1: Summary of Auditor's Results does not include findings and is not addressed.

#### Section 2: FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

2020-001 Corrective Action Planned:

A new accounting software and procedures were implemented October 2020. The inventory clerk has an accounts payable asset list that she routinely runs which tracks all county asset purchases.

Anticipated Completion Date: December 31, 2020

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Kelly, Comptroller

2020-002 Corrective Action Planned:

The comptroller will record activity monthly and spread on the board minutes.

Anticipated Completion Date: May 31, 2022

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Kelly, Comptroller

2020-003 Corrective Action Planned:

The Human Resources clerk will run a report from AS400 and clear out all balances for terminated employees.

Anticipated Completion Date: March 31, 2022

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Melissa Bice, Human Resources Clerk

2020-004 Corrective Action Planned:

Employees that are necessary to work beyond 19 ½ hours will be approved on board minutes and payroll clerk is accumulating a list of part time employees that are working beyond the approved hours.

Anticipated Completion Date: March 31, 2022

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Kelly, Comptroller

2020-005 Corrective Action Planned:

The new Munis financial software will not allow requisitions for purchases above budget.

Anticipated Completion Date: October 1, 2021

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Kelly, Comptroller

2020-006 Corrective Action Planned:

GOMESA funds received will be spread on the board minutes when received. The disbursement of funds will be approved by the Board.

Anticipated Completion Date: May 1, 2022

Contact Person Responsible for Corrective Action: Nancy Kelly, Comptroller

Sincerely,

Nancy Kelly Nancy Kelly Hancock County Comptroller

2



# **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Hancock County 854 Highway 90, Suite A Bay St. Louis, MS 39520

Telephone (228) 467-0172 Fax (228) 467-2691

Necaise & Company, PLLC 3590 Rocky Hill Dedeaux Road Kiln, MS 39556

Dear Mr. Necaise:

May 2, 2022

Hancock County respectfully submits the following Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings for the year ended in September 30, 2020.

The findings from the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questions Costs are discussed below. The findings are numbered with the numbers assigned in the first year of issuance. Section 1: Summary of Auditor's Results does not include findings and is not addressed.

2019-001 The Inventory Control System should be Accurate and Up-to-Date.

Not Corrected. See Finding 2020-001.

The accounting software was implemented October 2020. The inventory clerk has an accounts payable asset list that she routinely runs which tracks all county asset purchases.

2019-002 <u>Controls over Classifications should be Strengthened.</u>

Corrected.

Sincerely,

Janey Kelly

Nancy Kelly Hancock County Comptroller