

The following document was not prepared by the Office of the State Auditor, but was prepared by and submitted to the Office of the State Auditor by a private CPA firm. The document was placed on this web page as it was submitted. The Office of the State Auditor assumes no responsibility for its content or for any errors located in the document. Any questions of accuracy or authenticity concerning this document should be submitted to the CPA firm that prepared the document. The name and address of the CPA firm appears in the document.

# WASHINGTON COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI Audited Financial Statements and Special Reports For the Year Ended September 30, 2022



### WASHINGTON COUNTY TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	1
Independent Auditor's Report	2
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	6
Statement of Net Position	7
Statement of Activities	8
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds	9
Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position	10
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds	11
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of	
Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities	12
Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Fund	13
Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position – Proprietary Fund	14
Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Fund	15
Statement of Fiduciary Net Position	16
Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position	17
Notes to Financial Statements	
REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	59
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis)	
General Fund	
Highway Maintenance Fund	
Bridge and Culvert Fund	
American Rescue Plan Act Fund	
Schedule of Changes in the Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios	
Washington County Library System's Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability	
Schedule of County and Component Unit OPEB Contributions	
Schedule of the County's and Component Unit's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability	•
Delta Health System Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios	
Schedule of the Delta Health System's Contributions	
Notes to Required Supplementary Information	
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	
OTHER INFORMATION	
Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials	
SPECIAL REPORTS	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of the Financial Statements Performed in Accordance	
with Government Auditing Standards	84
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program and on Internal	
Control over Compliance Required by Uniform Guidance	86

### WASHINGTON COUNTY TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Independent Accountant's Report on Central Purchasing System, Inventory Control System and	
	Purchase Clerk Schedules (Required by Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972)	89
	Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report	94
SCHE	EDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	110
	Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	111

### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



DAVID I. BRIDGERS, JR., CPA
L. KARL GOODMAN, CPA, MBA
WILLIAM F. BAIRD, CPA
EUGENE S. CLARKE, IV, CPA
DAVID E. CLARKE, CPA

3528 MANOR DRIVE VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

> PHONE: (601) 636-1416 FAX: (601) 636-1417

MEMBERS OF
MISSISSIPPI SOCIETY OF CPAS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Members of the Board of Supervisors Washington County, Mississippi

### **Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements**

### **Opinions**

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Washington County, Mississippi, (the County) as of and for the year ended September 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, based on our audit and the reports of the other auditors, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Washington County, Mississippi, as of September 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We did not audit the financial statements of the Delta Health System or the Greenville Port Commission which in aggregate represent 99.23 percent, 101.88 percent, and 99.46 percent, respectively, of the assets, net position, and revenues of the aggregate discretely presented component units. Those statements were audited by other auditors whose reports have been furnished to us, and our opinion, insofar as it relates to the amounts included for the Delta Health System and the Greenville Port Commission is based solely on the reports of the other auditors.

### **Basis for Opinions**

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statement section of our report. We are required to be independent of the County, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the County's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood, that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and Government Auditing Standards, we

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due
  to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such
  procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in
  the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
  procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an
  opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is
  expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the County's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

### **Required Supplementary Information**

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the Management's Discussion and Analysis, Budgetary Comparison Schedules and corresponding notes, the County's Schedule of Changes in the Net OPEB Liability and Related Ratios, the Library's Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability, the County and Component Unit Schedule of OPEB Contributions, the Schedule of the County's and Component Unit's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability, the Schedule of County's and Component Unit's Contributions, and the Delta Health System's Schedule of Changes in Net Pension Liability and Related Ratios and the Schedule of Delta Health System's Contributions be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We and other auditors have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### **Omission of Required Supplementary Information**

Washington County, Mississippi has omitted the Management's Discussion and Analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Washington County, Mississippi's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of

Federal Awards is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

### **Other Information**

Management is responsible for the other information included in the annual report. The other information comprises the Schedule of Surety Bonds for County Officials but does not include the basic financial statements and our auditor's report thereon. Our opinions on the basic financial statements do not cover the other information, and we do not express an opinion or any form of assurance thereon.

In connection with our audit of the basic financial statements, our responsibility is to read the other information and consider whether a material inconsistency exists between the other information and the basic financial statements, or the other information otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work performed, we conclude that an uncorrected material misstatement of the other information exists, we are required to describe it in our report.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated June 4, 2023, on our consideration of Washington County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Washington County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Washington County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC Certified Public Accountants Vicksburg, Mississippi

June 4, 2023

### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

# WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Net Position September 30, 2022

	Primary Government			-	Compon Greenville	ent Units Washington	Total
	Governmental	Business-type		Delta Health	Port	County	Component
	Activities	Activities	Total	System	Commission	Library System	Units
ASSETS				, , , , , ,			
Cash	\$ 27,181,514	\$ 5,018	\$ 27,186,532	\$ 4,484,620	\$ 2,684,033	\$ 584,810	\$ 7,753,463
Investments		686,889	686,889	4,685,832			4,685,832
Property tax receivable	22,025,157	805,764	22,830,921				
Fines receivable, (net of allowance for							
uncollectibles of \$14,009,009)	485,808		485,808				
Intergovernmental receivables	551,755	292,043	843,798	17,825,798	20.020	6.660	17,825,798
Other receivables	213,775	8,803	222,578 207,554	776,527	29,928	6,668	813,123 3,758,673
Inventories and prepaid items Lease receivable		207,554	207,554	3,758,673	266,089		3,758,673 266,089
Internal balances	3,130,852	(3,130,852)	_		200,003		200,003
Capital assets:	3,133,632	(5)250)552)					
Land and construction in progress	7,032,960	28,030	7,060,990	7,867,410	955,034		8,822,444
Other capital assets, net	76,540,462	12,149,480	88,689,942	36,741,074	5,418,350	96,488	42,255,912
Other assets				3,007,581			3,007,581
Total Assets	137,162,283	11,052,729	148,215,012	79,147,515	9,353,434	687,966	89,188,915
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES							
Deferred outflows related to pensions	3,457,884	610,214	4,068,098	1,946,290	238,962	191,603	2,376,855
Deferred outflows related to OPEB	443,663	78,294	521,957	4 602 644		15,038	15,038
Deferred outflows related to clinic acquisitions  Deferred amount on refunding		720 125	720,135	1,693,644			1,693,644
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	3,901,547	720,135 1,408,643	5,310,190	3,639,934	238,962	206,641	4,085,537
Total Beleffed Outflows of Resources	3,301,347	1,400,043	3,310,130	3,033,334	230,302	200,041	4,003,337
LIABILITIES							
Claims payable	1,411,583	159,919	1,571,502	28,165,037	251,051	11,493	28,427,581
Claims and judgments payable	873,489		873,489				
Intergovernmental payables	559,067		559,067				
Accrued interest payable	6,568	89,074	95,642				
Unearned revenue	4,494,237		4,494,237	1,647,770			1,647,770
Amounts held in custody	163,128		163,128				
Other payables	552,876		552,876				
Long-term liabilities							
Due within one year:	22,452		22,452	1,312,420			1,312,420
Leases payable Capital debt	909,199	1,125,000	2,034,199	1,081,654			1,081,654
Estimated amounts due to third-party payors	303,133	1,123,000	2,034,133	1,583,825			1,583,825
Due in more than one year:				2,500,025			1,505,025
Leases payable	32,626		32,626	7,016,057			7,016,057
Capital debt	3,666,567	10,461,967	14,128,534	14,331,456			14,331,456
Non-capital debt	368,238	66,325	434,563		63,580	32,818	96,398
Net pension liability	30,443,198	5,372,328	35,815,526	7,779,975	1,594,615	1,202,908	10,577,498
Other postemployment benefits	18,457,551	3,257,215	21,714,766			59,428	59,428
Total Liabilities	61,960,779	20,531,828	82,492,607	62,918,194	1,909,246	1,306,647	66,134,087
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	22 025 457	005.764	22 020 024				
Property tax for future reporting period  Deferred inflows related to pensions	22,025,157	805,764	22,830,921	100 407	9.070	20 550	149.034
Deferred inflows related to pensions  Deferred inflows related to OPEB	484,583 4,491,147	85,515 792,555	570,098 5,283,702	100,497	8,979	38,558 35,046	148,034 35,046
Deferred inflows related to OPEB  Deferred inflows related to leases	4,491,147	792,333	3,263,702		261,032	33,040	261,032
Deferred gain on refunding				821,858	201,032		821,858
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	27,000,887	1,683,834	28,684,721	922,355	270,011	73,604	1,265,970
NET POSITION							
Net investment in capital assets	78,942,578	1,310,678	80,253,256	20,866,897	6,373,384	96,488	27,336,769
Restricted for:							
Expendable:							
General government	89,639		89,639				
Public safety	877,953		877,953				
Public works	3,787,071		3,787,071				
Culture and recreation	341,589		341,589				
Economic development	81,000		81,000	440.000			440.551
Debt service	534,096		534,096	449,691	146 227		449,691
Capital projects Unrestricted	(32,551,762)	(11,064,968)	(43,616,730)	(2,369,688)	146,337 893,418	(582,132)	146,337 (2,058,402)
Total Net Position	\$ 52,102,164	\$ (9,754,290)	\$ 42,347,874	\$ 18,946,900	\$ 7,413,139	\$ (485,644)	\$ 25,874,395
. Ottal Net 1 Oslation	7 32,102,104	7 (3,737,230)	¥ 72,547,674	7 10,540,500	Ÿ ,,¬13,133	7 (703,074)	÷ 23,074,333

### WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Activities For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

	_	Program Revenues Net (Expense) Reve		enue and Changes in Net Position							
	-				Primary						
					Government				Compon		
			Operating	Capital					Greenville	Washington	Total
	_	Charges for	Grants and	Grants and	Governmental	Business-type		Delta Health	Port	County	Component
Functions/Programs	Expenses	Services	Contributions	Contributions	Activities	Activities	Total	System	Commission	Library System	Units
Primary government:											
Governmental activities:											
General government	\$ 13,885,225	\$ 1,050,076	\$ 123,624		\$ (12,711,525)		\$ (12,711,525)				
Public safety	5,493,841	613,609	44,527		(4,835,705)		(4,835,705)				
Public works	9,314,454	63,200	1,899,900	\$ 2,116,399	(5,234,955)		(5,234,955)				
Health and welfare	1,248,707		568,012		(680,695)		(680,695)				
Culture and recreation	562,518		252,178		(310,340)		(310,340)				
Conservation of natural resources	116,350				(116,350)		(116,350)				
Economic development and assistance	464,445				(464,445)		(464,445)				
Interest on long-term debt	161,516				(161,516)		(161,516)				
Pension expense	2,734,312				(2,734,312)		(2,734,312)				
OPEB expense	2,253,050				(2,253,050)		(2,253,050)				
Total Governmental Activities	36,234,418	1,726,885	2,888,241	2,116,399	(29,502,893)		(29,502,893)				
Business-type activities:											
Correctional facility	5,011,455	4,258,827				(752,628)	(752,628)				
Total Business-type Activities	5,011,455	4,258,827				(752,628)	(752,628)				
Total Primary Government	\$ 41,245,873	\$ 5,985,712	\$ 2,888,241	\$ 2,116,399	(29,502,893)	(752,628)	(30,255,521)				
Component Units:											
Delta Health System	\$ 192,182,357	\$ 150,767,120						\$ (41,415,237)			\$ (41,415,237)
Greenville Port Commission	1,716,967	415,077		\$ 723,884				+ ( !=, !==,== ! ,	\$ (578,006)		(578,006)
Washington County Library System	810,510	.13,077	\$ 891,928	ψ /20,00°.					ψ (373)000)	\$ 81,418	81,418
Total Component Units	\$ 194,709,834	\$ 151,182,197	\$ 891,928	\$ 723,884				(41,415,237)	(578,006)	81,418	(41,911,825)
		-									
	General revenues:										
	Property taxes				25,196,311	936,316	26,132,627				
	Road & bridge pri	•			488,516		488,516				
		ibutions not restri	cted to specific p	rograms	3,564,638		3,564,638	11,841,221			11,841,221
	Unrestricted inte				207,649	3,080	210,729		10,739		10,739
		stment income (lo	•				-	(15,193)			(15,193)
		sposal of capital as	ssets		53,479		53,479				
	Miscellaneous				677,131		677,131	39,258	253,605		292,863
	Total General Rev	venues			30,187,724	939,396	31,127,120	11,865,286	264,344	-	12,129,630
	Changes in Net Posi	tion			684,831	186,768	871,599	(29,549,951)	(313,662)	81,418	(29,782,195)
	Net Position - Begin	ning, as previously	v reported		51,412,299	(9,941,058)	41,471,241	49,097,015	7,726,801	(567,062)	56,256,754
	Prior period adjus		, .p		5,034	(= /= - = /== 0)	5,034	(600,164)	,,	(//	(600,164)
	Net Position - Begin				51,417,333	(9,941,058)	41,476,275	48,496,851	7,726,801	(567,062)	55,656,590
	Net Position - Endin	g			\$ 52,102,164	\$ (9,754,290)	\$ 42,347,874	\$ 18,946,900	\$ 7,413,139	\$ (485,644)	\$ 25,874,395

### WASHINGTON COUNTY Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds September 30, 2022

		Major Funds				
	General Fund	Highway Maintenance Fund	Bridge & Culvert Fund	American Rescue Plan Act Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS						
Cash	\$ 16,884,515	\$ 835,845		\$ 4,305,065	\$ 5,156,089	\$ 27,181,514
Property tax receivable	14,912,299	2,056,306	\$4,064,247		992,305	22,025,157
Fines receivable (net of allowance						
for uncollectibles of \$14,009,009)	485,808					485,808
Intergovernmental receivables	433,741				118,014	551,755
Advances to other funds	6,852,954					6,852,954
Due from other funds	757,754	66,206	52,017		9,940	885,917
Other receivable	181,247					181,247
Total Assets	40,508,318	2,958,357	4,116,264	4,305,065	6,276,348	58,164,352
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCES						
LIABILITIES	760 202	440.025	420.457	2 222	00.000	4 444 502
Claims payable	769,282	118,925	430,157	3,333	89,886	1,411,583
Claims and judgments payable	873,489					873,489
Intergovernmental payables	559,067					559,067
Advances from other funds			3,844,593			3,844,593
Due to other funds	207,390		448,367		75,141	730,898
Amounts held in custody	163,128					163,128
Unearned revenue				4,252,741	241,496	4,494,237
Other payables	552,876					552,876
Total Liabilities	3,125,232	118,925	4,723,117	4,256,074	406,523	12,629,871
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES						
Unavailable revenue - property taxes	14,912,299	2,056,306	4,064,247		992,305	22,025,157
Unavailable revenue - fines	485,808					485,808
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	15,398,107	2,056,306	4,064,247		992,305	22,510,965
FUND BALANCES						
Nonspendable:						
Advances	6,852,954					6,852,954
Restricted for:						
General Government				48,991	40,648	89,639
Public safety					877,953	877,953
Public Works		783,126			3,003,945	3,787,071
Culture and recreation					341,589	341,589
Economic development					81,000	81,000
Debt service					534,096	534,096
Unassigned	15,132,025		(4,671,100)		(1,711)	10,459,214
Total Fund Balances	21,984,979	783,126	(4,671,100)	48,991	4,877,520	23,023,516
Total Liabilities, Deferred Inflows						
of Resources and Fund Balances	\$ 40,508,318	\$ 2,958,357	\$4,116,264	\$ 4,305,065	\$ 6,276,348	\$ 58,164,352

### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

Exhibit 3-1

### Reconciliation of Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position September 30, 2022

	Amount
Total Fund Balance - Governmental Funds	\$ 23,023,516
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Net Position are different because:	
Capital assets are used in governmental activities and are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported in the funds, net of accumulated depreciation of \$107,155,185.	83,573,422
Other long-term assets are not available to pay for current period expenditures and, therefore, are deferred in the funds.	485,808
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(4,999,082)
Other postemployment benefits are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(18,457,551)
Net pension obligations are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported in the funds.	(30,443,198)
Deferred outflows and inflows of resources related to pensions and OPEB are applicable to future periods and, therefore, are not reported in the funds:	
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	3,457,884
Deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB	443,663
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	(484,583)
Deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB	(4,491,147)
Accrued interest payable is not due and payable in the current period and,	
therefore, is not reported in the funds.	(6,568)
Total Net Position - Governmental Activities	\$ 52,102,164

### Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances for Governmental Funds For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

	-	Major				
	General Fund	Highway Maintenance Fund	Bridge & Culvert Fund	American Rescue Plan Act Fund	Other Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
REVENUES						
Property taxes	\$ 17,025,053	\$ 2,382,500	\$ 4,556,759		\$ 1,231,999	\$ 25,196,311
Road and bridge privilege taxes		488,516				488,516
Licenses, commissions and other revenue	830,640				10,415	841,055
Fines and forfeitures	186,251				59,776	246,027
Intergovernmental revenues	4,106,804	646,988		\$ 11,663	3,803,823	8,569,278
Charges for services	152,024				524,785	676,809
Interest income	171,265			36,384		207,649
Miscellaneous revenues	651,948				25,183	677,131
Total Revenues	23,123,985	3,518,004	4,556,759	48,047	5,655,981	36,902,776
EXPENDITURES						
Current:						
General government	14,191,748			11,663	141,526	14,344,937
Public safety	5,852,382				310,081	6,162,463
Public works	605,539	4,106,367	4,643,111		1,594,965	10,949,982
Health and welfare	710,554				576,684	1,287,238
Culture and recreation	506,562					506,562
Conservation of natural resources	116,350					116,350
Economic development and assistance	523,169					523,169
Debt service:						
Principal	314,255	245,429			519,000	1,078,684
Interest	83,503	18,473			78,420	180,396
Total Expenditures	22,904,062	4,370,269	4,643,111	11,663	3,220,676	35,149,781
Excess of Revenues over						
(under) Expenditures	219,923	(852,265)	(86,352)	36,384	2,435,305	1,752,995
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Long-term capital debt issued	15,206	483,050				498,256
Tranfers In (Out)	(803,086)	483,030			803,086	438,230
Proceeds from sale of capital assets	(803,080)	70,377	15,000		803,080	85,377
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	(787,880)	553,427	15,000		803,086	583,633
Total Other Financing Sources and Oses	(767,860)	333,427	15,000		803,086	363,033
Net Changes in Fund Balances	(567,957)	(298,838)	(71,352)	36,384	3,238,391	2,336,628
Fund Balances - Beginning	22,552,936	1,081,964	(4,599,748)	12,607	1,639,129	20,686,888
Fund Balances - Ending	\$ 21,984,979	\$ 783,126	\$ (4,671,100)	\$ 48,991	\$ 4,877,520	\$ 23,023,516

### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

Exhibit 4-1

# Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

	Amount
Net Change in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds	\$ 2,336,628
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the Statement of Activities are different because:	
Governmental Funds report capital outlays as expenditures. However, in the Statement of Activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives and reported as depreciation expense. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount that capital outlays of \$2,899,560, exceeded depreciation of \$2,166,349 in the current period.	733,211
In the Statement of Activities, only gains and losses from the sale of capital assets are reported, whereas in the Governmental Funds, proceeds from the sale of capital assets increase financial resources. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the	(24.909)
amount of the net gain of \$53,479 and proceeds of \$85,377 in the current period.	(31,898)
Fine revenue recognized on the modified accrual basis in the funds during the current year is reduced because prior year recognition would have been required on the Statement of Activities using the full-accrual basis of accounting.	(37,006)
Debt proceeds provide current financial resources to Government Funds, but issuing debt increases long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Repayment of debt principal is an expenditure in the Governmental Funds, but the repayment reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by the amount that debt proceeds of \$498,256 was exceeded by debt repayments of \$1,078,684.	580,428
Under the modified accrual basis of accounting used in the Governmental Funds, expenditures are not recognized for transactions that are not normally paid with expendable available financial resources. However, in the Statement of Activities, which is presented on the accrual basis, expenses and liabilities are reported regardless of when financial resources are available. In addition, interest on long-term debt is recognized under the modified accrual basis of accounting when due, rather than as it accrues. Thus, the change in net position differs from the change in fund balances by a combination of the following items:  Accrued interest payable Compensated absences Net amortization on bond premium and discount	1,791 4,442 17,089
Some items reported in the Statement of Activities relating to the implementation of GASB 68 and GASB 75 are not reported in the governmental funds. These activities include:  Recording of pension expense for the current period  Recording of pension contributions made for the current fiscal year  Recording of OPEB expense for the current period  Recording of OPEB contributions made for the current fiscal year	(2,734,312) 1,802,987 (2,253,050) 264,521
Change in Net Position of Governmental Activities	\$ 684,831

# WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Net Position – Proprietary Fund September 30, 2022

	Business-type Activities
	Enterprise Fund
ASSETS	WCRCF
Current assets:	
Cash	\$ 5,018
Investments	686,889
Property tax receivable	805,764
Intergovernmental receivables	292,043
Due from other funds	79,227
Other receivables	8,803
Inventories	47,252
Total Current Assets	1,924,996
Noncurrent assets:	
Prepaid bond insurance	160,302
Capital Assets:	
Land	28,030
Other capital assets, net	12,149,480
Total Noncurrent Assets	12,337,812
Total Assets	14,262,808
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred outflows related to pensions	610,214
Deferred outflows related to OPEB	78,294
Deferred amount on refunding	720,135
Total Deferred Outflows of Resources	1,408,643
Current liabilitites:	150.010
Claims payable	159,919
Accrued interest payable  Due to other funds	89,074 191,138
Advances from other funds	3,018,941
Capital debt:	3,010,341
Other long-term liabilities	1,125,000
Total Current Liabilities	4,584,072
Noncurrent liabilities:	
Net pension liability	5,372,328
Other postemployment benefits payable	3,257,215
Capital related debt:	
Other long-term liabilities	10,461,967
Non-capital debt:	
Compensated absences payable	66,325
Total Non-Current Liabilities	19,157,835
Total Liabilities	23,741,907
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	
Deferred inflows related to pensions	85,515
Deferred inflows related to OPEB	792,555
Deferred inflows related to property taxes	805,764
Total Deferred Inflows of Resources	1,683,834
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	1,310,678
Unrestricted	(11,064,968)
Total Net Position	\$ (9,754,290)

### Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Net Position – Proprietary Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

	Business-type Activities
	Enterprise Fund
	WCRCF
Operating Revenues	
Charges for services	\$ 4,258,827
Total Operating Revenues	4,258,827
Operating Expenses	
Personal services	2,069,607
Contractual services	632,593
Materials and supplies	654,856
Indirect cost allocation	55,041
Depreciation expense	308,150
Pension expense	482,526
OPEB expense	397,597
Total Operating Expenses	4,600,370
Operating Income (Loss)	(341,543)
Non-operating Revenues (Expense)	
Interest income	3,080
Property tax	936,316
Interest expense	(467,718)
Other income (expense)	56,633_
Net Non-Operating Revenues (Expense)	528,311
Change in Net Position	186,768
Net Position - Beginning	(9,941,058)
Net Position - Ending	\$ (9,754,290)

# WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Cash Flows – Proprietary Fund For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

		siness-type Activities
	Ente	erprise Fund
		WCRCF
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		4 2 4 0 4 0 0
Receipts from customers	\$	4,240,100
Payments to employees		(2,323,264)
Payments to suppliers  Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities		(1,077,036) 839,800
Net Cash Frontied (Osed) by Operating Activities		639,600
Cash Flows From Non-Capital Financing Activities		
Interfund loan repayments		(459,303)
Cash received from property taxes		936,097
Other receipts		56,429
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Non-Capital Financing Activities		533,223
Cash Flows From Capital and Related Financing Activities		
Principal paid on debt		(1,090,000)
Interest paid on debt		(378,290)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Capital and Related Financing Activities		(1,468,290)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Interest on investments		3,080
Proceeds from sale and maturities of investments		42,055
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Investing Activities	-	45,135
Net Increase or Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents		(50,132)
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year		55,150
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Year	\$	5,018
Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash		
Provided (Used) by Operating Activities:		
Operating income (loss)	\$	(341,543)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash		
provided (used) by operating activities:		
Depreciation expense		308,150
Amortization/Indirect expense		136,999
Pension expense adjustment		164,352
OPEB expense adjustment		350,917
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
(Increase) decrease in intergovernmental receivables		(7,451)
(Increase) decrease in interfund receivables		(11,494)
(Increase) decrease in other receivables		(203)
(Increase) decrease in prepaid bond insurance		18,676
Increase (decrease) in claims payable		77,864
(Increase) decrease in interfund payable		129,849
Increase (decrease) in compensated absences liability	-	13,684
Total Adjustments Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities	\$	1,181,343
ivet cash Frovided (osed) by Operating Activities	<u> </u>	839,800

# WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Fiduciary Net Position September 30, 2022

	_	Custodial Funds
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	1,035,979
Receivables:		
Advances to other funds		10,579
Total Assets	=	1,046,558
LIABILITIES		
Intergovernmental payables		189,825
Other liabilities		846,154
Due to other funds		43,108
Total Liabilities	=	1,079,087
NET POSITION		
Restricted for:		
Individuals, organizations and other governments		(32,529)
Total net position	\$_	(32,529)

# WASHINGTON COUNTY Statement of Changes in Fiduciary Net Position For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

ADDITIONS Investment income:	_	Custodial Funds
Interest	\$	7,459
Fine & fee collections for other governments	,	287,551
Other receipts for other governments		106,868
Total Additions	_	401,878
DEDUCTIONS		
Payments of fines & fees to other governments		385,345
Other disbursements for other governments		155,349
Total Deductions	_	540,694
Net increase (decrease) in fiduciary net position		(138,816)
Net Position - Beginning		106,287
Net Position - Ending	\$	(32,529)

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES.

### A. Financial Reporting Entity.

Washington County, Mississippi (the County) is a political subdivision of the State of Mississippi. The County is governed by an elected five-member Board of Supervisors. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require Washington County to present these financial statements on the primary government and its component units which have significant operational or financial relationships with the County.

State law pertaining to county government provides for the independent election of county officials. The following elected and appointed officials are all part of the County legal entity and therefore are reported as part of the primary government financial statements.

- Board of Supervisors
- Chancery Clerk
- Circuit Clerk
- Justice Court Clerk
- Purchase Clerk
- Tax Assessor
- Tax Collector
- Sheriff

### **B. Individual Component Unit Disclosures.**

### **Discretely Presented Component Unit**

The component units' columns in the financial statements include the financial data of the following component units of the County. They are reported in a separate column to emphasize that they are legally separate from the County.

<u>Delta Health System (Health System)</u> provides inpatient, outpatient, and emergency care for residents of Washington County, Mississippi, and surrounding areas. The facility is governed by a Board of Trustees appointed by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Mississippi.

<u>Greenville Port Commission (Port Commission)</u> was established pursuant to Section 59-7-125 of the Mississippi Code Ann. (1972) and was organized to supervise and operate terminal and dockside activities. The Commission is governed by a five-member Board of Commissioners, two of which are appointed by the Washington County Board of Supervisors.

<u>Washington County Library System (System)</u> serves the residents of Washington County with locations in Greenville, Leland, Hollandale, Arcola, Glen Allen and Avon, Mississippi. The System is governed by a five-member Board of Trustees, which are appointed by the Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Mississippi.

#### C. Basis of Presentation.

The County's basic financial statements consist of government-wide statements, including a Statement of Net Position and a Statement of Activities, fund financial statements and accompanying note disclosures which provide a detailed level of financial information.

### **Government-wide Financial Statements:**

The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities display information concerning the County as a whole. The statements include all nonfiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. For the most part, the effect of interfund activity has been removed from these statements. Governmental activities are generally financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues and other non-exchange revenues and are reported separately from business-type activities. Business-type activities rely mainly on fees and charges for support. The primary government is reported separately from certain legally separate component units for which the primary government is financially accountable.

The Statement of Net Position presents the financial condition of the governmental activities and business-type activities of the County at year-end. The Government-wide Statement of Activities presents a comparison between direct expenses and program revenues for each function or program of the County's governmental activities and business-type activities. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and therefore, are clearly identifiable to a particular function. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipient of the goods or services offered by the program and grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Taxes and other revenues not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues of the County, with certain limited exceptions. The comparison of direct expenses with program revenues identifies the extent to which each business-type activity or governmental function is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the County.

### **Fund Financial Statements:**

Fund financial statements of the County are organized into funds, each of which is considered to be separate accounting entities. Each fund is accounted for by providing a separate set of self-balancing accounts that constitute its assets, liabilities, deferred inflows, fund balance/net position, revenues, and expenditures/expenses. Funds are organized into governmental, proprietary, and fiduciary. Major individual Governmental Funds and major individual Enterprise Funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements. Non-major funds are aggregated and presented in a single column as Other Governmental Funds.

### D. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting.

The Government-wide, Proprietary Funds, and Fiduciary Funds financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recorded when the liability is incurred or economic asset used, regardless of when the related cash flows take place. Property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Shared revenues are recognized when the provider government recognizes the liability to the County. Grants are recognized as revenues as soon as all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. The revenues and expenses of Proprietary Funds are classified as operating or non-operating. Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services in connection with a Proprietary Fund's primary operations. All other revenues and expenses are reported as non-operating.

Governmental fund financial statements are presented using a current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized in the accounting period when they are both measurable and available to finance operations during the year or to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Available means collected in the current period or within 60 days after year end to liquidate liabilities existing at the end of the year. Measurable means knowing or being able to reasonably estimate the amount. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period when the related fund liabilities are incurred. Debt service expenditures and expenditures related to compensated absences and claims and judgments, are recognized only when payment is due. Property taxes, state appropriations and federal awards are all considered to be susceptible to accrual and have been recognized as revenues of the current fiscal period.

The County reports the following major Governmental Funds:

<u>General Fund</u> - This fund is used to account for and report all financial resources not accounted for and reported in another fund.

<u>Highway Maintenance Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for monies from specific revenue sources that are restricted for highway maintenance.

<u>Bridge and Culvert Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for monies from specific revenue sources that are restricted for repairs and bridge maintenance.

<u>American Rescue Plan Act Fund</u> – This fund is used to account for monies from the U. S. Department of Treasury that are to be expended based on prescribed compliance and reporting.

The County reports the following major Enterprise Fund:

<u>Washington County Regional Correctional Facility (WCRCF)</u> – This fund is used to account for the County's activities of operating the correctional facility.

Additionally, the County reports the following fund types:

### **GOVERNMENTAL FUND TYPES**

<u>Special Revenue Funds</u> - These funds are used to account for and report the proceeds of specific revenue sources that are restricted or committed to expenditures for specified purposes other than debt service or capital projects.

<u>Debt Service Funds</u> - These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditures for principal and interest.

<u>Capital Projects Funds</u> - These funds are used to account for and report financial resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to expenditures for capital outlays, including the acquisition or construction of capital facilities and other capital assets.

### PROPRIETARY FUND TYPE

<u>Enterprise Funds</u> - These funds are used to account for those operations that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprises or where the County has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred and/or net income is necessary for management accountability.

#### FIDUCIARY FUND TYPE

<u>Custodial Funds</u> - These funds are used to report fiduciary activities that are not held in a trust or equivalent arrangement that meets specific criteria.

### E. Account Classifications.

The account classifications used in the financial statements conform to the broad classifications recommended in *Governmental Accounting, Auditing and Financial Reporting* as issued in 2012 by the Government Finance Officers Association.

### F. Deposits and Investments.

State law authorizes the County to invest in interest bearing time certificates of deposit for periods of fourteen days to one year with depositories and in obligations of the U.S. Treasury, State of Mississippi, or any county, municipality, or school district of this state. Further, the County may invest in certain repurchase agreements.

Cash includes cash on hand, demand deposits, all certificates of deposit and cash equivalents, which are short-term highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to cash (generally three months or less). Investments in governmental securities are stated at fair value.

#### G. Receivables.

Receivables are reported net of allowances for uncollectible accounts, where applicable.

#### H. Interfund Transactions and Balances.

Transactions between funds that are representative of short-term lending/borrowing arrangements and transactions that have not resulted in the actual transfer of cash at the end of the fiscal year are referred to as "due to/from other funds." Noncurrent portions of inter-fund receivables and payables are reported as "advances to/from other funds." Advances between funds, as reported in the fund financial statements, are offset by a non-spendable fund balance account in the General Fund, if applicable, to indicate that they are not available for appropriation and are not expendable available financial resources. However, this is not applicable to advances reported in other governmental funds, which are reported, by definition, as restricted, committed or assigned. Inter-fund receivables and payables between funds within governmental activities are eliminated in the Statement of Net Position. Any outstanding balances between the governmental activities and business-type activities are reported in the government-wide financial statements as "internal balances."

### I. Inventories and Prepaid Items.

Inventories in the Proprietary Funds are valued at cost, which approximates market, using the first-in/first-out method.

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both government-wide and fund financial statements. Prepaid items, such as prepaid insurance, are not reported for Governmental Fund Types since the costs of such items are accounted for as expenditures in the period of acquisition.

#### J. Capital Assets.

Capital acquisition and construction are reflected as expenditures in Governmental Fund statements and the related assets are reported as capital assets in the applicable governmental or business-type activities column in the government-wide financial statements. All purchased capital assets are stated at historical cost where records are available and at an estimated historical cost where no records exist. Capital assets include significant amounts of infrastructure which have been valued at estimated historical cost. The estimated historical cost was based on replacement cost multiplied by the consumer price index implicit price deflator for the year of acquisition. The extent to which capital assets, other than infrastructure, costs have been estimated, and the methods of estimation, are not readily available. Donated capital assets are recorded at estimated fair market value at the time of donation. The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend their respective lives are not capitalized; however, improvements are capitalized. Interest expenditures are not capitalized on capital assets.

Capital assets acquired or constructed for Proprietary Fund operations are capitalized at cost in the respective funds in which they are utilized. No interest is capitalized on self-constructed assets because non-capitalization of interest does not have a material effect on the County's financial statements. Donated capital assets are recorded at their fair value at the time of donation.

Capitalization thresholds (dollar value above which asset acquisitions are added to the capital asset accounts) and estimated useful lives are used to report capital assets in the government-wide statements and Proprietary Funds. Depreciation is calculated on the straight-line basis for all assets, except land. A full year's depreciation expense is taken for all purchases and sales of capital assets during the year. The following schedule details those thresholds and estimated useful lives:

Asset Classification	Ca	oitalization Thresholds	Estimated Useful Life
land	۴	0	NI/A
Land	Ş	0	N/A
Infrastructure		0	20-50 years
Buildings		50,000	40 years
Improvements other than buildings		25,000	20 years
Mobile equipment		5,000	5-10 years
Furniture and equipment		5,000	3-7 years
Intangible assets		**	**

<sup>\*\*</sup> Intangible assets for the County represent right-to-use leased assets and are capitalized as a group for reporting purposes. The estimated useful life is the term of the lease agreement. There is no mandated maximum amortization period. Intangible assets with indefinite useful lives should not be amortized. The term "depreciation" includes the amortization of intangible assets.

### K. Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources.

In addition to assets, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred outflows of resources, represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period(s) and so will not be recognized as an outflow of resources (expense/expenditure) until then.

### **Washington County**

<u>Deferred outflows related to pensions</u> – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred outflows of resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 12 for additional details.

<u>Deferred outflows related to OPEB</u> – This amount represents the County's deferred outflows of resources reported by the OPEB plan. See Note 11 for additional details.

<u>Deferred amount on refunding</u> – For current refunding's and advance refunding's resulting in defeasance of debt reported by governmental activities, business type activities, and proprietary funds, the difference between the reacquisition price and the net carrying amount of the old debt is reported as a deferred outflow of resources or a deferred inflow of resources and recognized as a component of interest expense in a systematic and rational manner over the remaining life of the old debt or the life of the new debt, whichever is shorter.

#### **COMPONENT UNITS**

#### **Delta Health System**

<u>Deferred outflows other related to Physician Clinics</u> – This amount represents the Health System's June 2020, cash paid to acquire certain physician clinics. The Health System assumed certain liabilities and incurred deferred outflows of resources associated with the clinics' acquisition. The deferred outflows of resources are being amortized over five years. The unamortized deferred outflows of resources for acquisition were \$1,693,644 at September 30, 2022.

### **Washington County Library System**

<u>Deferred outflows related to OPEB</u> – This amount represents the System's proportionate share of the deferred outflows of resources reported by the OPEB plan in which the System participates. See Note 11 for additional details.

In addition to liabilities, the statement of financial position will sometimes report a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element, deferred inflows of resources, represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period(s) and will not be recognized as an inflow of resources (revenue) until that time.

### **Washington County**

<u>Deferred revenues - property taxes/unavailable revenue - property taxes</u> - Deferred inflows of resources should be reported when resources associated with imposed non-exchange revenue transactions are received or reported as a receivable before the period for which property taxes are levied.

<u>Unavailable revenue – fines</u> – When an asset is recorded in the governmental fund financial statements, but the revenue is not available, the government should report a deferred inflow of resources until such time as the revenue becomes available.

<u>Deferred inflows related to pensions</u> – This amount represents the County's proportionate share of the deferred inflows or resources reported by the pension plan in which the County participates. See Note 12 for additional details.

<u>Deferred inflows related to OPEB</u> – This amount represents the County's deferred inflows of resources reported by the OPEB plan. See Note 11 for additional details.

#### **COMPONENT UNITS**

### **Delta Health Systems**

<u>Deferred inflows other related to gain on refinancing debt</u> – This amount represents the unamortized deferred gain on refunding of all the outstanding Series 2007 Bonds. The unamortized deferred gain on refinancing of the debt was \$262,024 at September 30, 2022.

### **Washington County Library System**

<u>Deferred inflows related to OPEB</u> – This amount represents the System's proportionate share of the deferred inflows of resources reported by the OPEB plan in which the System participates. See Note 11 for additional details.

#### L. Leases.

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement No. 87, *Leases* (GASB 87), to establish a single leasing model for accounting and reporting purposes. This guidance is intended to enhance the accountability, consistency and comparability of lease activities reported by governments. GASB 87 was implemented during the fiscal year 2022.

The County uses the Federal Prime Borrowing Rate in effect at the date of the lease inception to calculate the present value of lease payments when the rate implicit in the lease is not known. See Note 9 for details.

#### M. Pensions.

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions, pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS) and additions to/deductions from PERS' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by PERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value.

### N. Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB).

### **Washington County**

The Washington County Board of Supervisors administers the County's health insurance plan, which is authorized by Sections 25-15-101 et seq., *Mississippi Code of 1972 Annotated*. The County's health insurance plan may be amended by the Washington County Board of Supervisors. The County elected to become a self-insurer with respect to health insurance. The County has contracted with the Mississippi Public Entity Employee Benefit Trust to administer and service the self-insurance program as the third party approved by the Commissioner of Insurance. Since retirees may obtain health insurance by participating in a group with active employees and consequently receive a health insurance premium rate differential, the County has a postemployment healthcare benefit reportable under GASB Statement 75

as a single employer defined benefit health care plan. The County does not issue a publicly available financial report for the Plan. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require the other postemployment benefit liability, deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources related to other postemployment benefits, and other postemployment benefit expenses be reported in the government-wide financial statements and Proprietary Funds financial statements.

### **COMPONENT UNIT – Washington County Library System**

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB, and OPEB expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the State and School Employees' Life and Health Plan (OPEB Plan) and additions to/deductions from the OPEB Plan's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the OPEB Plan. For this purpose, benefit payments are recorded when the OPEB benefits come due. Investments are reported at fair value as determined by the state.

### O. Long-term liabilities.

Long-term liabilities are the unmatured principal of bonds, loans, notes, or other forms of noncurrent or long-term general obligation indebtedness. Long-term liabilities are not limited to liabilities from debt issuances but may also include liabilities on financed purchases and other commitments.

In the government-wide financial statements and in the Proprietary Fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities in the applicable governmental activities, business-type activities, or Proprietary Funds Statement of Net Position. Bond premiums and discounts are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. In the fund financial statements, the face amount of debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses.

### P. Equity Classifications.

Government-wide Financial Statements:

Equity is classified as Net Position and displayed in three components:

Net investment in capital assets - Consists of capital assets including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by the outstanding balances of any bonds, notes, or other borrowings attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net position - Consists of net position with constraints placed on the use either by external groups such as creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments; or law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net position - All other net position not meeting the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets."

Net Position Flow Assumption – When an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the County's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenses are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted

(committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the County's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

#### **Fund Financial Statements:**

Fund balances for governmental funds are reported in classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which the government is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts in those funds can be spent.

Government fund balance is classified as non-spendable, restricted, committed, assigned or unassigned. The following are descriptions of fund classifications used by the County:

Non-spendable fund balance includes amounts that cannot be spent. This includes amounts that are either not in a spendable form (inventories, prepaid amounts, long-term portion of loans/notes receivable, or property held for resale unless the proceeds from the collection of those receivables or from the sale of those properties are restricted, committed or assigned) or amounts that are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact, such as principal balance of a permanent fund.

Restricted fund balance includes amounts that have constraints placed upon the use of the resources either by an external party or imposed by law through a constitutional provision or enabling legislation.

Unassigned fund balance is the residual classification for the General Fund. This classification represents fund balance that has not been assigned to other funds and that has not been restricted, committed, or assigned to specific purposes within the General Fund. The General Fund should be the only fund that reports a positive unassigned fund balance amount. In other governmental funds if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceeded the amounts restricted, committed, or assigned to those purposes, it may be necessary to report a negative unassigned fund balance.

Fund Balance Flow Assumption – When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, it is the County's general policy to use restricted resources first. When expenditures are incurred for purposes for which unrestricted (committed, assigned or unassigned) resources are available, and amounts in any of these unrestricted classifications could be used, it is the County's general policy to spend committed resources first, followed by assigned amounts, and then unassigned amounts.

### Q. Property Tax Revenues.

Numerous statutes exist under which the Board of Supervisors may levy property taxes. The selection of authorities is made based on the objectives and responsibilities of the County. Restrictions associated with property tax levies vary with the statutory authority. The amount of increase in certain property taxes is limited by state law. Generally, this restriction provides that these tax levies shall produce no more than 110% of the amount which resulted from the assessments of the previous year.

The Board of Supervisors, each year at a meeting in September, levies property taxes for the ensuing fiscal year which begins on October 1. Real property taxes become a lien on January 1 of the current year, and personal property taxes become a lien on March 1 of the current year. Taxes on both real and personal property, however, are due on or before February 1 of the next succeeding year. Taxes on motor vehicles and mobile homes become a lien and are due in the month that coincides with the month of the original purchase.

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require property taxes to be recognized at the levy date if measurable and available. All property taxes are recognized as revenue in the year for which they are levied. Motor vehicle and mobile home taxes do not meet the measurability and collectability criteria for property tax recognition because the lien and due date cannot be established until the date of the original purchase occurs.

### R. Intergovernmental Revenues in Governmental Funds.

Intergovernmental revenues, consisting of grants, entitlements, and shared revenues, are usually recorded in Governmental Funds when measurable and available. However, the "available" criterion applies for certain federal grants and shared revenues when the expenditure is made because expenditure is the prime factor for determining eligibility. Similarly, if cost sharing or matching requirements exist, revenue recognition depends on compliance with these requirements.

### S. Compensated Absences.

The County has adopted a policy of compensation for accumulated unpaid employee personal leave. No payment is authorized for accrued major medical leave. Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require accrual of accumulated unpaid employee benefits as long-term liabilities in the government-wide financial statements and Proprietary Funds financial statements. In fund financial statements, Government Funds report the compensated absence liability payable only if the payable has matured, for example an employee resigns or retires.

### T. Changes in Accounting Standards.

GASB 87, Leases, was implemented during fiscal year 2022. The objective of this statement is to better meet the information needs of financial statement users by improving accounting and financial reporting for leases by governments. It established a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right to use an underlying asset. This guidance is intended to enhance the accountability, consistency and comparability of lease activities reported by governments.

### NOTE 2 - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT(S).

A summary of the significant net position/fund balance adjustment(s) is as follows:

Exhibit 2 - Statement of Activities - Governmental Activities.	
Explanation	Amount
Adjustment to record implementation of GASB 87, Leases	\$ (60,257)
Adjustment to correct prior year capital assets	65,291
Total prior period adjustments	\$ 5,034

#### **NOTE 3 - DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS.**

### **Deposits:**

The carrying amount of the County's total deposits with financial institutions at September 30, 2022, was \$28,222,511 and the bank balance was \$29,551,110. The collateral for public entities' deposits in financial institutions is held in the name of the State Treasurer under a program established by the Mississippi State Legislature and is governed by Section 27-105-5, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). Under this program, the entity's

funds are protected through a collateral pool administered by the State Treasurer. Financial institutions holding deposits of public funds must pledge securities as collateral against those deposits. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

<u>Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits</u>. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of a financial institution, the County will not be able to recover deposits or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The County does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk. In the event of failure of a financial institution, securities pledged by that institution would be liquidated by the State Treasurer to replace the public deposits not covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). Deposits above FDIC coverage are collateralized by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the name of the Mississippi State Treasurer on behalf of the County.

### **Investments:**

As provided in Section 91-13-8, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), the following investments of the County are handled through a trust indenture between the County and the trustee related to the operations of the Washington County Regional Correctional Facility.

Investment balances at September 30, 2022, are as follows:

Investment Type	Maturities	Fair Value Level	F	air Value	Rating
U.S. Treasury	Less than one year	1	\$	686,889	N/A

The fair value hierarchy prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value into three broad Levels (Levels 1, 2 and 3), moving from quoted prices in active markets in Level 1 to unobservable inputs in Level 3. All County investments are considered Level 1 investments.

<u>Interest Rate Risk</u>. The County does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. However, Section 19-9-29, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) limits the maturity period of any investment to no more than one year.

<u>Credit Risk</u>. State law limits investments to those authorized by Sections 19-9-29 and 91-13-8, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The County does not have a formal investment policy that would further limit its investment choices or one that addresses credit risk.

<u>Custodial Credit Risk – Investments</u>. Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the County will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party. The County does not have a formal policy for custodial credit risk.

#### NOTE 4 - INTER-FUND TRANSACTIONS AND BALANCES.

The following is a summary of inter-fund balances at September 30, 2022:

### A. Due From/To Other Funds

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Amount
General Fund	Bridge and Culvert Fund	\$ 448,367
General Fund	W.C. Regional Correctional Facility	191,138
W. C. Regional Correctional Facility	General Fund	79,227
General Fund	Other Governmental Funds	75,141
Highway Maintenance Fund	General Fund	66,206
Bridge and Culvert Fund	General Fund	52,017
General Fund	Custodial Funds	43,108
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	9,940
Total		\$ 965,144

Receivables represent: the general fund and enterprise fund due from/to represent indirect cost allocation (\$55,041), county prisoner charge (\$70,225), property tax accrual (\$9,002), operating cash shortage (\$136,097); the general fund to other governmental, bridge and culvert, and custodial funds represent operating cash shortages (\$75,141), (\$448,367), and (\$43,108) respectively. All other receivables represent the tax revenue collected but not settled until October 2022. All inter-fund balances are expected to be repaid within one year from the date of the financial statements.

### B. Advances from/to Other Funds

Receivable Fund	Payable Fund	Amount
General Fund	Bridge & Culvert Fund	\$ 3,844,593
General Fund	W.C. Regional Correctional Facility	3,008,362
Custodial Fund	W.C. Regional Correctional Facility	10,579
Total		\$ 6,863,534

The general fund advance of \$3,844,593 represent loans made to facilitate bridge rehabilitation & replacement. The general fund advance of \$3,008,362 is comprised of: indirect cost allocations (\$290,256) and operating cash (\$2,718,106) made in prior years that have not been paid. The custodial advance represents inmate welfare funds deposited to WCRCF in error of \$10,579 that will not be repaid in one year.

### C. Transfers In/Out

Transfers In	Transfers Out	<u></u>	Amount
Other Governmental Funds	General Fund	\$	803,086
Total		\$	803,086

The transfer represents use tax received from the state which was credited to the General Fund in error.

### **NOTE 5 - INTERGOVERNMENTAL RECEIVABLES.**

Intergovernmental receivables at September 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

#### **Governmental Activities**

Description	Amount
MS Emergency Management - PW COVID Grant	\$ 11,572
State Legislative Tax Credit	334,827
MS Development Authority-CDBG Grant	28,508
VOCA/VAWA Grant	20,982
MS Department of Health - Tobacco Tire Grant	6,210
MS Department of Public Safety - JAG Grant	8,191
US Department of Health & Human Services Grant	103,613
MS Emergency Management - EMPG Grant	 37,852
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 551,755

### **Business-Type Activities**

Description	Amount	
MS Department of Corrections	\$ 222,293	
County Municipalities	 69,750	
Total Business-Type Activities	\$ 292,043	

### **NOTE 6 - OTHER RECEIVABLE.**

The Governmental Activities other receivable of \$213,775 represents amounts receivable to the tax collector (\$38,983), payroll fund (\$142,264), and Custodial Funds (\$32,528). The other receivable of (\$8,803) for Business-type Activities represents amounts due for nurse reimbursement.

### **NOTE 7 - CAPITAL ASSETS.**

The following is a summary of capital assets for the year ended September 30, 2022:

Governmental activities:	Balance		•		Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Non-depreciable capital assets:					
Land	\$ 4,719,445				\$ 4,719,445
Construction in progress	3,419,209	695,581		(1,801,275)	2,313,515
Total non-depreciable capital assets	8,138,654	695,581	_	(1,801,275)	7,032,960
Depreciable capital assets:					
Infrastructure	135,494,948			1,801,275	137,296,223
Buildings	21,176,024				21,176,024
Improvements other than buildings	3,840,567				3,840,567
Mobile equipment	11,471,848	2,172,607	188,048	1,407,649	14,864,056
Furniture and equipment	5,358,134	16,166		1,029,000	6,403,300
Leased property under capital leases	2,436,649			(2,436,649)	-
Intangible right to use assets					
Furniture and equipment		15,206		100,271	* 115,477
Total depreciable capital assets	179,778,170	2,203,979	188,048	1,901,546	183,695,647
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Infrastructure	80,529,249	555,939			81,085,188
Buildings	9,804,839	291,570			10,096,409
Improvements other than buildings	933,309	153,624			1,086,933
Mobile equipment	8,791,465	966,361	156,150	323,291	9,924,967
Furniture and equipment	4,233,933	178,053		493,920	4,905,906
Leased property under capital leases	817,211			(817,211)	-
Intangible right to use assets Furniture and equipment		20,802		34,980 <sup>-</sup>	* 55,782
Total accumulated depreciation	105,110,006	2,166,349	156,150	34,980	107,155,185
•					
Total depreciable capital assets, net	74,668,164	37,630	31,898	1,866,566	76,540,462
Governmental activities capital assets, net	\$ 82,806,818	733,211	31,898	65,291	\$ 83,573,422
Total capital assets, net, excluding intangible right to use assets					\$ 83,513,727
Intangiible right to use assets					59,695
Total capital assets, net, as reported in the st	atement of net posi	tion			\$ 83,573,422

<sup>\*</sup> The adjustments above for leased assets are a result of the implementation of GASB 87. Under the new guidance, leases that were previously reported as capital leases and whereby the asset conveys to the lessee at the conclusion of the lease, are now considered financed purchases. Since these assets are no longer considered leased assets, reclassifications have been made to report them in their respective category.

Other adjustments were for reclassification of assets.

Business-type activities:	Balance				Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Non-depreciable capital assets:					•
Land	\$ 28,030				\$ 28,030
Total non-depreciable capital assets	28,030	-		-	28,030
Depreciable capital assets:					
Buildings	15,181,373				15,181,373
Improvements other than buildings	113,001				113,001
Furniture and equipment	2,455,771				2,455,771
Total depreciable capital assets	17,750,145			-	17,750,145
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings	3,036,280	303,628			3,339,908
Improvements other than buildings	45,220	4,522			49,742
Furniture and equipment	2,211,015				2,211,015
Total accumulated depreciation	5,292,515	308,150		-	5,600,665
Total depreciable capital assets, net	12,457,630	(308,150)			12,149,480
Business-type activities capital assets, net	\$ 12,485,660	(308,150)			\$ 12,177,510

Depreciation expense, which includes the amortization of Intangible Right to Use Assets, was charged to the following functions:

Governmental Activities:	Amount
General government	\$ 503,723
Public safety	326,418
Public works	1,198,814
Health & welfare	4,582
Culture and recreation	125,221
Economic development	7,591
Total governmental activities depreciation expense	\$ 2,166,349
Business-type Activities:	
Correctional facility	\$ 308,150
Total business-type activities depreciation expense	\$ 308,150

Commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects at September 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	Remaining Financial	Expected Date
Description of Commitment	Commitment	of Completion
Governmental activities:		
Infrastructure	Unknown	Fiscal 2023 and 2024

#### **CAPITAL ASSETS – COMPONENT UNITS**

Delta Health System	Balance				Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Non-depreciable capital assets:	_				_
Land	\$ 4,948,880		1,145,499		\$ 3,803,381
Construction in progress	3,433,681	1,559,610	929,262		4,064,029
Total non-depreciated capital assets	8,382,561	1,559,610	2,074,761		7,867,410
Depreciable capital assets:					
Land improvements	898,754				898,754
Buildings	44,102,127	4,780			44,106,907
Fixed equipment	10,888,300	28,134			10,916,434
Equipment	87,702,697	1,180,363	242,416		88,640,644
Intangible right to use assets	- 442 504 070	4,069,124	242.446		4,069,124
Total depreciable capital assets	143,591,878	5,282,401	242,416		148,631,863
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Land improvements	876,007	20,292	63,342		832,957
Buildings	29,004,558	520,033			29,524,591
Fixed equipment	8,161,091	214,319			8,375,410
Equipment	70,047,767	2,420,424	78,067		72,390,124
Intangible right to use assets		767,707			767,707
Total accumulated depreciation	108,089,423	3,942,775	141,409		111,890,789
Total depreciable capital assets, net	35,502,455	1,339,626	101,007		36,741,074
Total capital assets, net	\$ 43,885,016	2,899,236	2,175,768		\$ 44,608,484
Greenville Port Commission	Balance				Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Non-depreciable capital assets:	\$ 152,882				ć 452.002
Land	\$ 152,882	002 152			\$ 152,882
Construction in progress  Total non-depreciated capital assets	152,882	802,152 802,152			802,152 955,034
Total Holf-depreciated Capital assets	132,002	802,132			955,054
Depreciable capital assets:					
Building facilities	952,401				952,401
Improvements other that buildings	2,748,507				2,748,507
Machinery and equipment	9,100,308				9,100,308
Office furniture and fixtures	47,208				47,208
Total depreciable capital assets	12,848,424				12,848,424
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Building facilities	201,335	33,725			235,060
Improvements other than buildings	1,081,511	158,538			1,240,049
Machinery and equipment	5,569,855	340,668			5,910,523
Office furniture and fixtures	43,058	1,384			44,442
Total accumulated depreciation	6,895,759	534,315			7,430,074
Total depreciable capital assets, net	5,952,665	(534,315)			5,418,350
Total capital assets, net	\$ 6,105,547	267,837	-	-	\$ 6,373,384
Washington County Library System	Balance				Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Depreciable capital assets:					
Equipment and fixtures	709,645	44,713			754,358
Other	285,090				285,090
Total depreciable capital assets	994,735	44,713			1,039,448
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Equipment and fixtures	676,590	16,323			692,913
Other	242,488	7,559			250,047
Total accumulated depreciation	919,078	23,882			942,960
Total capital assets, net	\$ 75,657	20,831			\$ 96,488

#### **NOTE 8 - CLAIMS AND JUDGMENTS.**

#### Risk Financing:

The County finances its exposure to risk of loss related to workers' compensation for injuries to its employees through the Mississippi Public Entity Workers' Compensation Trust, a public entity risk pool. The County pays premiums to the pool for its workers' compensation insurance coverage, and the participation agreement provides that the pool will be self-sustaining through member premiums. The retention for the pool is \$1,000,000 for each accident and completely covers statutory limits set by the Workers' Compensation Commission. Risk of loss is remote for claims exceeding the pool's retention liability. However, the pool also has catastrophic reinsurance coverage for statutory limits above the pool's retention, provided by Safety National Casualty Corporation, effective from January 1, 2022, to January 1, 2023. The pool may make an overall supplemental assessment or declare a refund depending on the loss experience of all the entities it insures.

The County finances its exposure to risk of loss relating to employee health and accident coverage through the Mississippi Public Entity Benefit Trust, a public entity risk pool. The pool is a claims servicing organization with the County retaining the risk of loss on all claims to which the County is exposed. Premium payments to the pool are determined on an actuarial basis. The County has reinsurance which functions on a specific stop loss coverage. The coverage is purchased from an outside commercial carrier. For the current fiscal year, the specific coverage begins when an individual participant's claim exceeds \$55,000. Claim expenses and liabilities are reported when it is probable that a loss has occurred, and the amount of that loss can be reasonably estimated. Liabilities include an amount for claims that have been incurred but not reported (IBNRs).

The following table provides changes in the balances of claims liabilities for fiscal years 2021 and 2022:

	2021	2022
Unpaid Claims, Beginning of Fiscal Year	\$ 738,857	\$ 1,010,176
Plus: Incurred Claims (Including IBNRs)	5,588,039	4,572,016
Less: Claims payments	5,316,720	4,708,703
Unpaid Claims, End of Fiscal Year	\$ 1,010,176	\$ 873,489

#### **NOTE 9 - LEASES.**

#### **Washington County**

The County is a lessee for various non-cancellable leases of equipment. For leases that have a maximum possible term of 12 months or less at commencement, the County recognizes expense based on the provisions of the lease contract. For all other leases, other than short-term, the County recognized a lease and an intangible right-to-use lease asset.

At lease commencement, the County initially measures the lease liability at the present value of payments expected to be made during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease liability is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments made. The lease asset is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease liability, less lease payments made at or before the lease commencement date, plus any initial direct costs ancillary to placing the underlying asset into service, less any lease incentives received at or before the lease commencement date. Subsequently, the lease asset is amortized in depreciation expense on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or the useful life of the underlying asset.

The County generally uses the Federal Prime Borrowing Rate in effect at the date of the lease inception as the discount rate for leases unless the rate that the lessor charges is known. For leases in effect for the fiscal year, the Federal Prime Borrowing Rate ranged from (3.25-5.50%) and was used to calculate the present value of lease payments when the rate implicit in the lease was not known.

The lease term includes the non-cancellable period of the lease plus any additional periods covered by either a county or lessor option to extend for which it is reasonably certain to be exercised or terminate for which it is reasonably certain to not be exercised. Periods in which both the County and the lessor have a unilateral option to terminate (or if both parties have agreed to extend) are excluded from the lease term.

#### As Lessee:

Lease Assets	Balance			Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Amortization	Sept. 30, 2022
Copiers & Postage Meters		115,477	55,782	59,695
Total		115,477	55,782	59,695

See Note 7 for further details regarding intangible right-to-use assets, which represents leased assets.

Lease Liabilities	Balance		Principal	Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Payments	Sept. 30, 2022
Copiers & Postage Meters	60,257	15,206	20,385	55,078
Total	60,257	15,206	20,385	55,078

Washington County has entered into several lease agreements with lessors for the lease of the following listed items. The leases stipulate that the lessee would pay various amounts, as shown in the following schedule, per month or quarter in lease payments commencing on various date terms.

	Discount		Issue	Maturity	Monthly	Amount
Description	Rate	Term	Date	Date	Payment	Outstanding
Copiers & Postage Meters	3.25-5.50%	various	various	various	2,043	55,078

The following is a schedule by years of the total payments due as of September 30, 2022:

	Governmental Activition		
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	
2023	22,452	2,062	
2024	18,998	1,082	
2025	7,662	385	
2026	4,274	161	
2027	1,692	28	
Total	\$ 55,078	\$ 3,718	

#### **COMPONENT UNITS**

#### **Delta Health System**

#### As Lessor:

The Health System is a lessor for noncancellable leases of certain buildings and recognizes a related lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources. At the commencement of a lease, the Health System initially measures the lease receivable at the present value of payments expected to be received during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease receivable is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments received. The deferred inflow of resources is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease receivable, adjusted for lease payments received at or before the lease commencement date. Subsequently, the deferred inflow of resources is recognized as revenue over the life of the lease term.

The Health System leases various buildings or portions thereof to various third parties, the terms of which expire 2025 through 2035. Revenue recognized under lease contracts during the year ended September 30, 2022 was \$118,284 which includes both lease revenue and interest. The Health System's current and noncurrent lease receivable is included on the accompanying balance sheets in other current assets and other noncurrent assets, respectively for the year ended September 30, 2022. The following is a schedule by year of receipts under the leases as of September 30, 2022.

	Leases Re	ceivable
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest
2023	98,917	20,072
2024	103,744	16,184
2025	37,838	13,168
2026	22,197	12,290
2027	24,111	11,411
2028-2032	153,181	41,068
2033-2037	134,724	8,614
Total	\$ 574,712	\$ 122,807

#### As Lessee:

The Health System is a lessee for noncancellable leases. The Health System recognizes a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset ("lease asset") in the financial statements. At the commencement of a lease, the Health System initially measures the lease liability at the present value of payments expected to be made during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease liability is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments made. The lease asset is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease lability, adjusted for lease payments made at or before the lease commencement date, plus certain initial direct costs. Subsequently, the lease asset is amortized on a straight-line basis over its useful life.

The individual lease contracts do not provide information about the discount rate implicit in the lease. Therefore, the Health System has elected to use their incremental borrowing rate to calculate the present value of expected lease payments.

Lease Assets	Balance			Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Amortization	Sept. 30, 2022
Equipment, land, and buildings		4,069,125	767,707	3,301,418
Total	\$ -	\$ 4,069,125	\$ 767,707	\$ 3,301,418
Lease Liabilities	Balance		Principal	Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Payments	Sept. 30, 2022
Equipment, land, and buildings	5,901,999	3,286,392	859,914	8,328,477
Total	\$ 5,901,999	\$ 3,286,392	\$ 859,914	\$ 8,328,477

The following is a schedule by years of the total payments due as of September 30, 2022:

	Lease Ob	ligations	
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	
2023	1,312,420	575,477	
2024	1,064,579	305,617	
2025	1,092,951	261,826	
2026	769,207	220,294	
2027	483,787	195,319	
2028-2032	2,215,720	655,626	
2033-2037	1,375,466	142,536	
2038-2042	14,347_	1,253	
Total	\$ 8,328,477	\$ 2,357,948	

#### **Greenville Port Commission**

#### As Lessor:

The Port Commission is a lessor for multiple property and site leases for industries in and around the port. The lease maturities range from 1-7 years. Future lease payments have been discounted to present value using a-4% discount rate. For leases with terms that exceed 12 months, at lease inception, the Port Commission records a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources for future lease payments. Lease revenue is recognized systematically over the term of the lease. The lease receivable is reduced by the principal portion of the payments received over the term of lease.

For the year ending September 30, 2022, the district recognized \$253,605 in lease revenue.

The following are the future rental payments to be made to the Port Commission. These future rental payments are from existing leases and do not anticipate renewals or new leases and also does not reflect leases with a monthly term.

		Leases Receivable				
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Principal Interest		Total		
2023	112,485	10,644		123,129		
2024	116,985	6,144		123,129		
2025	13,055	1,465		14,520		
2026	5,549	943		6,492		
2027	5,771	721		6,492		
2028-2029	12,244	740		12,984		
Total	\$ 266,089	\$ 20,657	\$	286,746		

NOTE 10 - LONG-TERM DEBT.

Debt outstanding as of September 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	Amount	Interest	Final Maturity
Description and Purpose	Outstanding	Rate	Date
Governmental Activities:			
A. General Obligation Bonds:			
GO Refunding Bonds, Series 2021	3,215,000	2.00	09-28
Total General Obligation Bonds	\$ 3,215,000		
B. Financed Purchases:			
2 2018 Dodge Rams - Sheriff	8,068	3.59	06-23
2020 Freightliner-Side Loader	68,272	1.97	10-23
2021 Freightliner-Side Loader	111,082	1.97	03-24
2 CAT Motor Graders (SNs N9F1164 & N9F101165)	120,439	3.28	04-24
2 JD Motor Graders	338,710	2.13	10-24
6 F-150 Ford Trucks-Sheriff's Department	97,780	3.25	01-25
3 F-150 Ford Trucks-Sheriff's Department	60,706	3.25	05-25
Suntrust energy savings project	460,581	2.03	03-28
Total Financed Purchases	\$ 1,265,638		
Business-type Activities:			
A. General Obligation Bonds:			
General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2017	\$ 6,495,000	3.00	07-31
B. Limited Obligation Bonds:			
Special Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2017	\$ 4,915,000	1.85/3.75	07-31

Pledge of Future Revenues – The County has pledged future revenues for housing inmates, net of specified operating expenses, to repay \$7,210,000 in Taxable Special Obligation Urban Renewal Revenue Refunding Bonds, Series 2017 issued in Washington County, Mississippi. Proceeds from the bonds provided partial financing of the refunding of limited obligation bonds, series 2009 used for the construction of the Washington County Regional Correctional Facility. The bonds are not a general obligation of the County and, therefore, are not secured by the full faith and credit of the County. The bonds are payable solely from income derived from an inmate housing agreement with the Mississippi Department of Corrections for housing state prisoners and income received from any other governments for housing and holding prisoners and are payable through Trustmark National Bank. Annual principal and interest payments on the bonds are expected to require less than 15 percent of net revenues. The total principal and interest remaining to be paid on the bonds is \$5,804,324. Principal and interest paid for the current year and total inmate housing revenues were \$643,030 and \$4,258,827, respectively.

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the following debt reported in the Statement of Net Position are as follows:

Principal	Interest		
	Interest	Principal	Interest
381,000	64,300	528,199	26,136
397,000	56,680	392,919	14,102
407,000	48,740	127,074	7,270
422,000	40,600	85,304	4,789
430,000	32,160	87,526	2,567
1,178,000	23,560	44,616	432
\$ 3,215,000	\$ 266,040	\$ 1,265,638	\$ 55,296
	397,000 407,000 422,000 430,000 1,178,000	397,000 56,680 407,000 48,740 422,000 40,600 430,000 32,160 1,178,000 23,560	397,000       56,680       392,919         407,000       48,740       127,074         422,000       40,600       85,304         430,000       32,160       87,526         1,178,000       23,560       44,616

Business-type Activities:	General Oblig	gation Bonds	Limited Obligation Bonds	
	Principal	Interest	Principal	Interest
Year Ending September 30,				
2023	640,000	194,850	485,000	161,750
2024	660,000	175,650	495,000	148,898
2025	675,000	155,850	510,000	135,038
2026	700,000	135,600	525,000	119,738
2027	720,000	114,600	540,000	103,200
2028-2031	3,100,000	235,950	2,360,000	220,700
Total	\$ 6,495,000	\$ 1,012,500	\$ 4,915,000	\$ 889,324

<u>Legal Debt Margin</u> - The amount of debt, excluding specific exempted debt that can be incurred by the County is limited by state statute. Total outstanding debt during a year can be no greater than 15% of assessed value of the taxable property within the County, according to the then last completed assessment for taxation. However, the limitation is increased to 20% whenever a county issues bonds to repair or replace washed out or collapsed bridges on the public roads of the County. As of September 30, 2022, the amount of outstanding debt was equal to 2.09% of the latest property assessments.

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2022:

	 Balance ct. 1, 2021	Additions	Reductions	Adjustments	Se	Balance pt. 30, 2022	nount due rithin one year
<b>Governmental Activities:</b>							
Compensated absences	\$ 372,680		4,442		\$	368,238	
General obligation bonds	3,734,000		519,000			3,215,000	\$ 381,000
Add: Bond premium	112,217		17,089			95,128	
Financed purchases	-	483,050	539,299	1,321,887		1,265,638	528,199
Capital leases	1,321,887			(1,321,887)		-	
Leases payable		15,206	20,385	60,257		55,078	 22,452
Total	\$ 5,540,784	498,256	1,100,215	60,257	\$	4,999,082	\$ 931,651
Business-type Activities:							
Compensated absences	\$ 52,641	13,684			\$	66,325	
General Obligation Refunding Bonds	7,115,000		620,000			6,495,000	\$ 640,000
Special Obligation Refunding Bonds	5,385,000		470,000			4,915,000	485,000
Add: Bond premium	202,193		21,099			181,094	
Less: Bond discount	(4,609)		(482)			(4,127)	
Total	\$ 12,750,225	13,684	1,110,617		\$	11,653,292	\$ 1,125,000

Compensated absences will be paid by the funds from which the employees' salaries were paid which are generally the General Fund, Co-Wide Road Maintenance Fund, Bridge and Culvert Fund and WCRCF Fund.

#### **LONG TERM DEBT - COMPONENT UNITS**

#### **Delta Health System**

A summary of long-term debt, including lease obligations at September 30, 2022, is as follows:

	Amount		Final Maturity
Description and Purpose	Outstanding	Interest Rate	Date
Hospital Revenue and Limited Obligation			
Refunding Bonds - Series 2019	\$ 12,825,000	4.25	09-36
Note payable	2,588,110	3.85	08-28
Lease obligations - equipment, building, and land	8,328,477	5.00-7.00	2030-2042
	23,741,587		
Less current portion of long-term debt	(2,394,074)		
Long-term debt, excluding current portion	\$ 21,347,513		

Annual debt service requirements to maturity for the following debt reported in the Statement of Net Position are as follows:

	Revenue Bo	nds and Note	Lease Obligations		
Year Ending September 30,	Principal	Interest	Principal & Interest		
2023	\$ 1,081,654	\$ 637,732	\$ 1,312,420	\$ 575,477	
2024	1,128,534	593,025	1,064,579	305,617	
2025	1,174,739	546,433	1,092,951	261,826	
2026	1,226,579	497,930	769,207	220,294	
2027	1,274,079	447,280	483,787	195,319	
2028-2032	5,052,525	1,564,498	2,215,720	655,626	
2033-2037	4,475,000	485,350	1,375,466	142,536	
2038-2042	-	-	14,347	1,253	
	\$ 15,413,110	\$ 4,772,248	\$ 8,328,477	\$ 2,357,948	

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2022:

	Balance Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Reductions	Adjustments	Balance Sept. 30, 2022	Amount due within one year
Hospital Revenue and Limited						
Obligation Bonds - Series 2019	\$ 13,480,000		655,000		\$12,825,000	\$ 685,000
Note payable	2,969,605		381,495		2,588,110	396,654
Lease obligations	5,901,999	3,286,392	859,914		8,328,477	1,312,420
Total	\$ 22,351,604	3,286,392	1,896,409	-	23,741,587	\$ 2,394,074

#### **Estimated Amounts Due to Third Party Payors**

The Health System received approximately \$14,333,000 from these accelerated Medicare payment requests during 2020, and these amounts are recorded in estimated amounts due to third-party payers in the accompanying balance sheets. These are not current income but rather are advanced payments that cannot be recognized as revenue until services in the future are provided. As of September 30, 2022, there was approximately \$1,583,825 remaining to be recouped from Medicare.

#### **Greenville Port Commission**

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2022:

	E	Balance				E	Balance
	Oc	t. 1, 2021	Additions	Reductions	Adjustments	Sept	t. 30, 2022
Compensated absences	\$	58,551	5,029			\$	63,580
Total	\$	58,551	5,029			\$	63,580

#### **Washington County Library System**

The following is a summary of changes in long-term liabilities and obligations for the year ended September 30, 2022:

	Balance				Balance
	Oct. 1, 2021	Additions	Reductions	Adjustments	Sept. 30, 2022
Compensated absences	\$ 21,548	11,270			\$ 32,818
Total	\$ 21,548	11,270	_	-	\$ 32,818

#### NOTE 11 - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB).

#### **Washington County**

#### Plan Description

The Washington County Board of Supervisors administers the County's health insurance plan which is authorized by Section 25-15-101 et seq., Mississippi Code Ann. (1972). The County's health insurance plan may be amended by the Washington County Board of Supervisors. The County is self-insured through the Mississippi Public Entity Employee Benefit Trust (the Plan), with reinsurance purchased from a commercial carrier that is effective for claims in excess of \$55,000. Since retirees may obtain health insurance by participating in a group with active employees and consequently receive a health insurance premium rate differential, the County has a postemployment healthcare benefit reportable under GASB Statement 75 as a single employer defined benefit health care plan. Effective October 1, 2017, the County implemented GASB Statement 75, which requires reporting on an accrual basis the liability associated with other postemployment benefits as well as any related deferred outflows and inflows. The County does not issue a publicly available financial report of the Plan.

#### **Funding Policy**

The benefits of the Washington County, Mississippi Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. The County funds on a cash basis as benefits are paid. No assets have been segregated and restricted to provide for postretirement benefits. The Board of Supervisors, acting in conjunction with the commercial insurance company, has the sole authority for setting health insurance premiums for the County's health insurance plan. Per Section 25-15-103, Mississippi Code Ann. (1972), any retired employee electing to purchase retiree health insurance must pay the full cost of the insurance premium monthly to the County. For the year ended September 30, 2022, retiree premiums range from \$185 to \$678 depending on dependent coverage and Medicare eligibility.

#### **Changes in Net OPEB Liability**

The County's net OPEB liability of \$21,714,766 was measured as of September 30, 2022, and was determined by an actuarial valuation date of October 1, 2021.

	 Increase (Decrease)				
	 otal OPEB Liability (a)	Plan Net Position (b)	Net OPEB Liability (a) - (b)		
Balances at 09/30/2021	\$ 18,899,146	\$ -	\$ 18,899,146		
Changes for the Year:					
Service cost	2,138,185	-	2,138,185		
Interest	988,636	-	988,636		
Benefit changes	-	-	-		
Experience losses (gains)	-		-		
Changes in assumptions	-		-		
Contributions-Employer	-	311,201	(311,201)		
Benefits paid	(311,201)	(311,201)	-		
Net Changes	 2,815,620	-	2,815,620		
Balances at 09/30/2022	\$ 21,714,766	\$ -	\$ 21,714,766		

#### **Actuarial Assumptions and Other Inputs**

The net OPEB liability in the September 30, 2022, valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions and other inputs, applied to all periods included in the measurement, unless otherwise specified:

Salary Increases 3.00% per annum. Discount Rate 4.77% per annum

Healthcare cost trend rates 6.00% graded uniformly to 5.50% over 2 years, afterwards, trend

is set to follow the Getzen Model

#### Changes in Assumptions

The following changes were made to the actuarial assumptions and methods effective September 30, 2021.

- 1. The discount rate is 4.77% based on the S&P Municipal Bond 20 Year High Grade Rate Index as of September 30, 2022, compared to the prior discount rate of 3.68%.
- 2. The mortality tables were updated to the Pub-2010 General Headcount-heighted mortality tables with improvement scale MP-2021, compared to the RP-2014 tables with improvement scale MP-2019.
- 3. The medical trend was updated to follow the Getzen model after a two-year transition period starting at 6.00% and decreasing uniformly to 5.50%.
- 4. The medical aging factors were updated to adjust for age and gender and are assumed to follow the Yamamoto aging assumptions.

Sensitivity of the OPEB liability to changes in the medical trend rate.

The following represents the OPEB liability of the County calculated using the stated health care cost trend assumption, as well as what the OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a health care cost trend rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the assumed trend rate:

	 Healthcare Cost Trend Rates				
	1% Decrease	Current	1% Increase		
Net OPEB liability					
September 30, 2022	\$ 17,919,305	21,714,766	26,658,393		

Sensitivity of the OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate.

The following represents the net OPEB liability of the County calculated using the stated discount rate, as well as what the County's net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	 1% Decrease	Current Rate	1% Increase
	 3.77%	4.77%	5.77%
Net OPEB liability			
September 30, 2022	\$ 26,139,442	21,714,766	18,247,385

#### OPEB Expense and Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to OPEB

For the year ended September 30, 2022, the County recognized OPEB expense of \$2,650,647. At September 30, 2022, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		_	erred Inflows f Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	521,957		
Changes of assumptions			\$	5,283,702
	\$	521,957	\$	5,283,702

Amounts reported as deferred outflows (inflows) of resources related to OPEBs will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflow Aging:		
Year ending September 30:	Amount	
2023	\$ (476,1	74)
2024	(476,1	.74)
2025	(476,1	.74)
2026	(476,1	.74)
2027	(476,1	.74)
Thereafter	(2,380,8	75)
Total	\$ (4,761,7	45)

#### **Component Unit - Washington County Library System**

General Information about the OPEB Plan.

Plan description. State law mandates that all state, public education, library, junior and community college and retiring employees be offered health and life benefit coverage through the State and School Employees' Life and Health Insurance Plan (the Plan). The Plan was established by Section 25-15-3 et seq., Mississippi Code Ann. (1972), which may be amended only by the State Legislature. The State and School Employees' Health Insurance Management Board (the Board) administers the Plan. The Board has the sole legal authority to promulgate rules and regulations governing the operations of the Plan within the confines of the law governing the Plan. The Plan is self-insured and is financed through premiums collected from employers, employees, retirees, and COBRA participants. The Plan provides for Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as a multiple employer defined benefit OPEB plan. The plan issues a publicly available financial report that can be obtained at http://knowyourbenefits.dfa.ms.gov/.

Benefits provided. The Plan was formed by the State Legislature to provide group health and life benefits to full-time active and retired employees of the State, agencies, universities, community/junior colleges, public school districts and public libraries. In addition, the spouse and/or children of covered employees and retirees, as well as surviving spouses and COBRA participants, may be eligible for health insurance coverage under the Plan. Benefits of the OPEB Plan consist of an implicit rate subsidy, which is essentially the difference between the average cost of providing health care benefits to retirees under age 65 and the average cost of providing health care benefits to all participants when premiums paid by retirees are not age adjusted. Employees' premiums are funded primarily by their employers. Retirees must pay their own premiums, as do active employees for spouse and dependent medical coverage. The Board has the sole authority for setting life and health insurance premiums for the Plan. Per Section 12-15-15 (10) Mississippi Code Ann. (1972), a retired employee electing to purchase retiree life and health insurance must pay the full cost of such insurance premium. If the Board determined actuarially that the premium paid by the participating retirees adversely affects the overall cost of the Plan to the State, then the Board may impose a premium surcharge, not to exceed 15%, upon such participating retired employees who are under the age for Medicare eligibility and who are initially employed before January 1, 2006. For participating retired employees who are under the age for Medicare eligibility and who are initially employed on or after January 1, 2006, the Board may impose a premium surcharge in an amount the Board determined actuarially to cover the full cost of insurance. The Plan offers a Base option and a Select option for health benefits for non-Medicare participants. The Plan includes a separate coverage level for Medicare eligible retirees, Medicare Eligible surviving spouses, and Medicare eligible dependents of retirees and surviving spouses.

Contributions. The Board has the sole authority for setting life and health insurance premiums for the Plan. The required premiums vary based on the plan selected and the type of participant. Employers pay no premiums for retirees while employees' premiums are funded primarily by their employer. Contributions to the OPEB plan from the Library were \$2,422 for the year ended June 30, 2022.

### OPEB Liabilities, OPEB Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources related to OPEB.

At September 30, 2022, the System reported a liability of \$59,428 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2022, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The basis for the System's proportion is determined by comparing the employer's average monthly employees

participating in the Plan with the total average employees participating in the Plan in the fiscal year of all employers. The allocation was utilized because the level of premiums contributed by each employer is the same for any employee regardless of plan participation elections made by the employee. At the measurement date of June 30, 2022, the System's proportion was 0.01206209 percent. This was an increase of 0.00016827 percent from the proportionate share as of the measurement date of June 30, 2021.

For the year ended September 30, 2022, the System recognized OPEB expense of \$(8,506). At September 30, 2022, the Library reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB from the following sources:

	Deferre	ed Outflows	Defer	red Inflows
	of R	esources	of I	Resources
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	49	\$	25,747
Net difference between projected and actual earnings				
on OPEB plan investments		4		
Changes of assumptions		9,269		5,502
Changes in the proportion and differences between actual				
contributions and proportionate share contributions		4,969		3,797
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		747		
	\$	15,038	\$	35,046

The \$747 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to OPEB resulting from System contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net OPEB liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to OPEB will be recognized in OPEB expense as follows:

Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflow Aging:	
Year ending September 30:	Amount
2023	\$ (5,825)
2024	(4,703)
2025	(4,729)
2026	(2,855)
2027	(1,889)
Thereafter	 (754)
Total	\$ (20,755)

Actuarial assumptions. The total OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2022, using the following key actuarial assumptions and other inputs:

2.40 percent
2.65-17.90 percent, including wage inflation
3.37%
2.13%
2022
2021

Single Equivalent Interest Rate (SEIR), net of OPEB plan investment expense, including inflation

Measurement Date 3.37% Prior Measurement Date 2.13%

**Health Care Cost Trends** 

Medicare Supplement Claims

Pre-Medicare 7.00 percent for 2023 decreasing to an ultimate

rate of 4.50% by 2029

Mortality rates for service retirees were based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments: For males, 95% of male rates up to age 60, 110% for ages 61 to 75 and 101% for ages above 77. For females, 84% of female rates up to age 72 and 100% for ages above 76. Mortality rates for disability retirees were based on the PubG.H-2010 Disabled Table adjusted 134% for males and 121% for females. Mortality rates for Contingent Annuitants were based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Contingent Annuitant Table, adjusted 97% for males and 110% for females. Mortality rates will be projected generationally using the MP-2020 projection scale to account for future improvements in life expectancy.

The demographic actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2022, valuation was based on the results of the last actuarial experience study dated April 20, 2021.

The remaining actuarial assumptions (e.g., initial per capita costs, health care cost trends, rate of plan participation, rates of plan election, etc.) used in the June 30, 2022, valuation were based on a review of recent plan experience done concurrently with the June 30, 2022, valuation.

The long-term expected rate of return on OPEB plan investments is 4.50%.

Discount rate (SEIR). The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability at June 30, 2021, was 3.37 percent. Since the Prior Measurement Date, the Discount Rate has changed from 2.19% to 2.13%.

The trust was established on June 28, 2018, with an initial contribution of \$1,000,000. As of June 30, 2022, the trust has \$1,049,208. The fiduciary net position is projected to be depleted immediately; therefore, the Municipal Bond Index Rate is used in the determination of the discount rate for both the June 30, 2021, and the June 30, 2022 TOLs. The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability at June 30, 2022, was based on a monthly average of the Bond Buyers General Obligation 20-year Municipal Bond Index Rate.

Sensitivity of the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, as well as what the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (2.37 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (4.37 percent) than the current discount rate:

		1% Decrease	Current Discount Rate	1% Increase
	_	2.37%	3.37%	4.37%
Net OPEB liability	\$	65,467	59,428	54,240

Sensitivity of the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability to changes in the healthcare cost trend rates. The following presents the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability, as well as

what the System's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

		Healthcare Cost Trend Rates				
	_	1% Decrease	Current	1% Increase		
Net OPEB liability	\$	55,293	59,428	64,081		

*OPEB plan fiduciary net position*. Detailed information about the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position for June 30, 2022, is available in a separately issued report that can be found at:

http://knowyourbenefits.dfa.ms.gov/.

#### **NOTE 12 - DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN.**

General Information about the Pension Plan

<u>Plan Description.</u> Washington County, Mississippi contributes to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan. PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Plan provisions and the Board of Trustees' authority to determine contribution rates are established by Miss. Code Ann. Section 25-11-1 et seq., (1972, as amended) and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. PERS issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information. That information may be obtained by writing to Public Employees' Retirement System, PERS Building, 429 Mississippi Street, Jackson, MS 39201-1005 or by calling 1-800-444-PERS.

Benefit Provided. Membership in PERS is a condition of employment granted upon hiring for qualified employees and officials of the State of Mississippi, state universities, community and junior colleges, and teachers and employees of the public-school districts. For those persons employed by political subdivisions and instrumentalities of the State of Mississippi, membership is contingent upon approval of the entity's participation in PERS by the PERS' Board of Trustees. If approved, membership for the entity's employees is a condition of employment and eligibility is granted to those who qualify upon hiring. Participating members who are vested and retire at or after age of 60 or those who retire regardless of age with at least 30 years of creditable service (25 years of creditable service for employees who became members of PERS before July 1, 2011) are entitled, upon application, to an annual retirement allowance payable monthly for life in an amount equal to 2.0 percent of the average compensation for each year of creditable service up to and including 30 years (25 years for those became members of PERS before July 1, 2011), plus 2.5 percent for each additional year of creditable service with an actuarial reduction in the benefit for each year of creditable service below 30 years or the number of years in age that the member is below 65, whichever is less. Average compensation is the average of the employee's earnings during the four highest compensated years of creditable service. Benefits vest upon completion of eight years of membership service (four years of membership service for those who became members of PERS before July 1, 2007). PERS also provides certain death and disability benefits. A Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) payment is made to eligible retirees and beneficiaries. The COLA is equal to 3.0 percent of the annual retirement allowance for each full fiscal year of retirement up to the year in which the retired member reaches age 60 (55 for those who became members before July 1, 2011), with 3.0 percent compounded for each fiscal year thereafter. Plan provisions are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature.

<u>Contributions.</u> At September 30, 2022, PERS members were required to contribute 9% of their annual covered salary, and the County was required to contribute an actuarially determined rate. The employer's rate at September 30, 2022, was 17.40% of annual covered payroll. The contribution requirements of PERS members and employers are established and may be amended only by the State of Mississippi Legislature. The County's contributions (employer share only) to PERS for the years ending September 30, 2022, 2021, and 2020 were \$2,121,162, \$2,055,039, and \$2,089,408, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2022, the County reported a liability of \$35,815,526 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. The net pension liability was measured at June 30, 2022, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The County's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the County's long-term share of contribution to the pension plan relative to projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The County's proportionate share used to calculate the September 30, 2022, net pension liability was 0.174 percent, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2022. This was a decrease of .005 percent from its proportionate share percentage used to calculate the September 30, 2021, net pension liability, which was based on a measurement date of June 30, 2021.

For the year ended September 30, 2022, the County recognized pension expense of \$3,216,838. At September 30, 2022, the County reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pension from the following sources:

	Defe	rred Outflows	Defe	rred Inflows
	of Resources		of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	513,537	\$	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings				
on pension plan investments		1,711,874		
Changes of assumptions		1,274,317		
Changes in the proportion and differences between the County's				
contributions and proportionate share of contributions				570,098
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		568,370		
	\$	4,068,098	\$	570,098

The \$568,370 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflows Aging:	
Year ending September 30:	Amount
2023	\$ 896,214
2024	593,556
2025	(503,587)
2026	 1,943,447
Total	\$ 2,929,630

<u>Actuarial Assumptions.</u> The total pension liability as of June 30, 2022 was determined by an actuarial valuation prepared as of June 30, 2021, and by the investment experience for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2022. The following actuarial assumptions are applied to all periods in the measurement:

Inflation percentage	2.40%
Salary increases, including inflation	2.65% - 17.90%
Investment rate of return	
net of pension plan investment expense, including inflation	7.55%

Mortality rates were based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments: For males, 95% of male rates up to age 60, 110% for ages 61 to 75 and 101% for ages above 77. For females, 84% of female rates up to age 72 and 100% for ages above 76. Mortality rates for disability retirees were based on the PubG.H-2010 Disabled Table adjusted 134% for males and 121% for females. Mortality rates for Contingent Annuitants were based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Contingent Annuitant Table, adjusted 97% for males and 110% for females. Mortality rates will be projected generationally using the MP-2020 projection scale to account for future improvements in life expectancy.

The actuarial assumptions used for the purposes of determining the total pension liability were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the four-year period from July 1, 2016, to June 30, 2020. The experience report is dated April 20, 2021.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected nominal returns, net of pension plan investment expense and the assumed rate of inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These ranges are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation.

The most recent target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

	Target	Long-Term Expected
Asset Class	Allocation	Real Rate of Return
Domestic Equity	25.00%	4.60%
International Equity	20.00%	4.50%
Global Equity	12.00%	4.85%
Fixed Income	18.00%	1.40%
Real Estate	10.00%	3.65%
Private Equity	10.00%	6.00%
Private Infrastructure	2.00%	4.00%
Private Credit	2.00%	4.00%
Cash Equivalents	1.00%	-0.10%
	100.00%	

<u>Discount Rate.</u> The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.55 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rate of (9.00%) and that employer contributions will be made at the current employer contribution rate (17.40%). Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's

fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity to the County's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate. The following presents the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 7.55 percent, as well as what the County's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.55 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.55 percent) than the current rate:

	_			
	_	1% Decrease	Current	1% Increase
		6.55%	7.55%	8.55%
County's proportionate share of the	_			
net pension liability	\$	46,742,927	35,815,526	26,806,450

<u>Pension Plan Fiduciary Net Position.</u> Detailed information about the pension plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued PERS financial report.

#### **DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN - COMPONENT UNITS**

#### **Greenville Port Commission**

<u>Plan Description</u>. The Greenville Port Commission contributes to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan.

<u>Contributions</u>. The Port Commission contributions (employer share only) to PERS for the years ending September 30, 2022, 2021 and 2020 were \$92,035, \$87,264, and \$88,006, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2022, the Port Commission reported a liability of \$1,594,615 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2022, the Port's proportion was 0.007747 percent, which was an increase of 0.00032 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2021.

For the year ended September 30, 2022, the Port Commission recognized pension expense of \$170,073. At September 30, 2022, the Commission reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	ed Outflows Resources	 Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$ 22,197	\$ -	
Net difference between projected and actual earnings			
on pension plan investments	94,853		
Changes of assumptions	52,858		
Changes in the proportion and differences between actual			
contributions and proportionate share contributions	46,191	8,979	
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date	 22,863		
	\$ 238,962	\$ 8,979	

The \$22,863 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflow Aging:		
Year ending September 30:	Amount	
2023	\$ 71,	,649
2024	48,	,413
2025		532
2026	86,	,526
Total	\$ 207,	,120

Sensitivity to the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

	_	1% Decrease	Current	1% Increase
	_	6.55%	7.55%	8.55%
Proportionate share of the		_		
net pension liability	\$	2,081,135	1,594,615	1,193,503

#### **Washington County Library System**

<u>Plan Description</u>. The Washington County Library System contributes to the Public Employees' Retirement System of Mississippi (PERS), a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plan.

<u>Contributions</u>. The System's contributions (employer share only) to PERS for the years ending September 30, 2022, 2021 and 2020 were \$71,867, \$68,071, and \$64,464, respectively, equal to the required contributions for each year.

Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, and Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At September 30, 2022, the System reported a liability of \$1,202,908 for its proportionate share of the net pension liability. At June 30, 2022, the System's proportion was 0.0058 percent, which was a decrease of 0.0001 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2021.

For the year ended September 30, 2022, the System recognized pension expense of \$85,354. At September 30, 2022, the System reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	16,862	\$	-
Net difference between projected and actual earnings				
on pension plan investments		58,533		
Changes of assumptions		42,002		
Changes in the proportion and differences between actual				
contributions and proportionate share contributions		56,336		38,558
Contributions subsequent to the measurement date		17,870		
	\$	191,603	\$	38,558

The \$17,870 reported as deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction to the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Deferred Outflows/Deferred Inflow Aging:	
Year ending September 30:	Amount
2023	\$ 32,066
2024	50,045
2025	(12,209)
2026	 65,273
Total	\$ 135,175

Sensitivity to the Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

	_	1% Decrease	Current	1% Increase
		6.55%	7.55%	8.55%
Proportionate share of the		<u> </u>		
net pension liability	\$	1,569,918	1,202,908	900,327

#### **Delta Health System**

The Health System contributes to the Delta Health System Pension Plan (the "Plan"), a single employer defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all employees.

<u>Plan Description.</u> The Plan is administered by a board of trustees appointed by the Director of the Health System's Department of Human Resources. Benefit provisions are contained in the Plan Document and were established and can be amended by action of the Health System's Board of Trustees. The Plan benefits were effectively frozen by amendment to the plan on February 1, 2009. The Plan does not issue a separate report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the Plan.

<u>Benefits Provided.</u> The Plan provides retirement and death benefits to plan members and their beneficiaries who are vested and retire at or after age 65 or those who retire at age 55 with at least 5 years of creditable service are entitled, upon application, to an annual retirement allowance payable monthly for life in an amount equal to 1.10 percent of their average monthly earnings. Average compensation is the average of the employee's earnings for the highest 60 consecutive calendar months preceding retirement or termination, limited as required by internal revenue code section 401(a)(17). A

member may elect a reduced retirement benefit at age 55 with at least 5 years of consecutive service. Benefits vest upon completion of 5 years of continuous service.

<u>Summary of Participant Data</u>

Employees Covered by the Plan at June 30, 2022	Number of
Active Employees	196
Inactive employees entitled to but not yet receiving benefits	439
Inactive employees or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits	386
Total	1,021

<u>Contributions.</u> The Health System's Board of Trustees has the authority to establish and amend the contribution requirements of the Health System and active employees. The Board of Trustees establishes rates based on an actuarially determined rate recommended by an independent actuary. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The Health System is required to contribute amounts necessary to fund the Plan at an actuarially determined rate. For the years ended September 30, 2022, and 2021, the Health System contributed \$1,800,000 and \$1,308,000, respectively, to the Plan.

#### **Net Pension Liability**

The Health System's net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2022, for the year ended September 30, 2022, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by actuarial valuations as of July 1, 2022. The July 1, 2022, valuation was rolled forward to June 30, 2022.

The total pension liability in the July 1, 2022, actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions:

Inflation3.00%Salary increases5.00%Ad hoc cost-of-living adjustmentsNone

Investment rate of return 6.50%, net of interest expense

For 2022, mortality rates were based on Pub-2010 General Mortality tables for employees, healthy retirees, contingent annuitants, and disabled retirees with MP 2021 Project Scale (generational, converging to 0.75% in 2036).

The Health System has not had a formal actuarial experience study performed.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was based primarily on historical returns on plan assets, adjusted for changes in target portfolio allocations and recent changes in long-term interest rates based on publicly available information.

The target allocation and best estimates of rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

		Long-term
	Target	Expected Real
Asset Class	Allocation	Rate of Return
US Cash	7.87%	0.18%
US Core Fixed Income	30.89%	1.72%
US Large Caps	46.55%	3.89%
Global Equity	13.50%	4.71%
US REITs	1.19%	3.82%
	100.00%	

<u>Discount Rate.</u> The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.50 percent for the year ended September 30, 2022. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that the Health System's contributions will be made at rates equal to actuarially determined contribution rates. Based on those assumptions, the pension plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current active and inactive employees. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Changes in the total pension liability, plan fiduciary net position and the net pension liability for the year ended September 30, 2022, were as follows:

	Total Pension Liability (a)	Plan Fiduciary Net Position (b)	Net Pension Liability (a) - (b)
Balance as of July 1, 2021	\$ 30,552,409	\$ 24,770,619	\$ 5,781,790
Changes for the year:			
Service Cost	-	-	-
Interest	1,927,178	-	1,927,178
Differences between expected			
and actual experience	(301,492)	-	(301,492)
Change in assumptions	122,211		122,211
Benefit payments	(1,835,943)	(1,835,943)	-
Employer contributions	-	1,800,000	(1,800,000)
Net investment income		(2,050,288)	2,050,288
Net changes	(88,046)	(2,086,231)	1,998,185
Balance as of June 30, 2022	\$ 30,464,363	\$ 22,684,388	\$ 7,779,975

<u>Sensitivity Analysis.</u> The following presents the net pension liability of the Health System as of September 30, 2022, calculated using the discount rate of 6.50 percent, as well as what the Health System's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage point lower (5.50 percent) or 1 percentage point higher (7.50%) than the current rate.

1	% Decrease	Current		1	% Increase
	5.50%		6.50%		7.50%
\$	11,318,416	\$	7,779,975	\$	4,828,042

<u>Pension Expense and Deferred Outflows/Inflows of Resources.</u> For the year ended September 31, 2022, the Health System recognized pension expense of \$988,563. At September 30, 2022, the Health System reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the Plan from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources		Deferred Inflows of Resources	
Differences between expected and actual experience	\$	-	\$	100,497
Changes of assumptions		40,738		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings				
on pension plan investments		1,305,552		
Contributions made subsequent to measurement date		600,000		
Total	\$	1,946,290	\$	100,497

At September 30, 2022, the Health System reported \$600,000 as deferred outflows of resources related to the pension resulting from the Health System's contributions subsequent to the measurement date and prior to year-end that will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability at September 30, 2023.

Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related at September 30, 2022, related to pensions will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Amount
2023	\$ 110,549
2024	243,334
2025	160,065
2026	731,845
Total	\$ 1,245,793

#### **NOTE 13 - CONTINGENCIES.**

<u>Federal Grants</u> - The County has received federal grants for specific purposes that are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Entitlements to these resources are generally conditional upon compliance with the terms and conditions of grant agreements and applicable federal regulations, including the expenditure of resources for allowable purposes. Any disallowance resulting from a grantor audit may become a liability of the County. No provision for any liability that may result has been recognized in the County's financial statements.

<u>Litigation</u> - The County is party to legal proceedings, many of which occur in the normal course of governmental operations. It is not possible at the present time to estimate ultimate outcome or liability, if any, of the County with respect to the various proceedings. However, the County's legal counsel believes that ultimate liability resulting from these lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

<u>Hospital Revenue and Limited Obligation Debt Contingencies</u> – On July 31, 2019, the County issued Hospital Revenue and Limited Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2019 (Revenue Bonds) in the amount of \$14,710,000, bearing interest at 4.25%, to provide partial funds for the advance refunding of the outstanding FHA-insured Mortgage Revenue Bonds, Series 2007 with an outstanding amount of

approximately \$26,615,000. Revenue bonds are reported as a liability of the hospital because such debt is payable primarily from the hospital's pledged revenues. However, the County remains contingently liable for the retirement of these bonds because the full faith, credit and taxing power of the County is secondarily pledged in case of default by the hospital. The principal amount of Hospital Revenue and Limited Obligation debt outstanding at September 30, 2022, is as follows:

Description	Amount
Hospital Revenue and Limited Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series 2021	\$ 12,825,000

#### NOTE 14 - NO COMMITMENT DEBT (NOT INCLUDED IN FINANCIAL STATEMENTS).

No commitment debt is repaid only by the entities for which that debt was issued and includes debt that either bears the County's name or for which a moral responsibility may exist that is not an enforceable promise to pay. No commitment debt explicitly states that the absence of obligation of the County other than possibly an agreement to assist creditors in exercising their rights in the event of default. Because a default may adversely affect the County's own ability to borrow, the principal amount of such debt outstanding at year end is disclosed as follows:

	Balance
Description	Sept. 30, 2022
Urban renewal notes	\$ 4,770,000
Total	\$ 4,770,000

#### NOTE 15 - EFFECT OF DEFERRED AMOUNTS ON NET POSITION.

#### **Washington County**

The governmental activities' unrestricted net position deficit amount of \$(32,551,762) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to pensions. A portion of the deferred outflow of resources related to pension in the amount of \$483,115 resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. The \$2,974,769 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to pensions at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in pension expense over the next 4 years. The \$484,583 balance of the deferred inflow of resources related to pension at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in pension expense over the next 3 years.

The governmental activities' unrestricted net position deficit amount of \$(32,551,762) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits (OPEB). The \$443,663 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to OPEB at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in OPEB expense over the next 10 years. The \$4,491,147 balance of the deferred inflow of resources related to OPEB at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in OPEB expense over the next 10 years.

The business-type activities' net investment in capital assets amount of \$1,310,678 includes the effect of deferring the recognition of expenses resulting from an advance refunding of County debt. \$83,899 of the \$720,135 balance of deferred outflows of resources at September 30, 2022, will be recognized as an expense and will decrease the unrestricted net position over the next 9 years.

The business-type activities' unrestricted net position deficit amount of \$(11,064,968) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to pensions. A portion of the deferred outflow of

resources related to pension in the amount of \$85,255 resulting from County contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. The \$524,959 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to pensions at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in pension expense over the next 4 years. The \$85,515 balance of the deferred inflow of resources related to pension at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in pension expense over the next 3 years.

The business-type activities' unrestricted net position deficit amount of \$(11,064,968) includes the effect of deferred inflows/outflows of resources related to other post-employment benefits (OPEB). The \$78,294 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to OPEB at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in OPEB expense over the next 10 years. The \$792,555 balance of the deferred inflow of resources related to OPEB at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in OPEB expense over the next 10 years.

#### **COMPONENT UNITS**

#### **Delta Health System**

The unrestricted net position deficit amount of \$(2,369,688) includes the effect of deferred outflows of resources related to the acquisition of physician clinics. The \$1,693,644 balance of the deferred outflow of resources related to the acquisition of physician clinics at September 30, 2022, will be recognized in expense over the next 3 years.

#### **Greenville Port Commission**

The unrestricted net position amount of \$893,418 includes the effect of deferring the recognition of revenue resulting from a deferred inflow from leases. The \$261,032 balance of deferred inflow of resources related to leases at September 30, 2022 will be recognized as revenue and will increase the unrestricted net position over the next 7 years.

#### **Washington County Library System**

The unrestricted net position amount of \$(582,132) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of expenses resulting from a deferred outflow from OPEB. A portion of the deferred outflow of resources related to OPEB in the amount of \$747 resulting from the System's contribution subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the year ended September 30, 2023. The \$14,291 balance of deferred outflow of resources related to OPEB, at September 30, 2022 will be recognized as an expense and will decrease the unrestricted net position over the next 5 years.

The unrestricted net position amount of \$(582,132) includes the effect of deferring the recognition of revenue resulting from a deferred inflow from OPEB. The \$35,046 balance of deferred inflow of resources at September 30, 2022 will be recognized as a revenue and will increase the unrestricted net position over the next 5 years.

#### **NOTE 16 -JOINTLY GOVERNED ORGANIZATIONS.**

The County participates in the following jointly governed organizations:

<u>Mississippi Delta Community College</u> operates in a district composed of the Counties of Bolivar, Coahoma, Humphreys, Issaquena, Leflore, Sharkey, Sunflower, and Washington. The Washington County Board of

Supervisors appoints two of the 20 members of the College Board of Trustees. The County levied 4.0 mils for the college for maintenance, improvement, and enlargement in the fiscal year 2022.

The South Delta Planning and Development District operates in a district composed of the Counties of Bolivar, Humphreys, Issaquena, Sharkey, Sunflower, and Washington. The Washington County Board of Supervisors appoints five of the 22 members of the board of trustees. The County appropriated \$25,569 to the organization in the fiscal year 2022.

#### **NOTE 17 - TAX ABATEMENT**

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement 77, Tax Abatement Disclosures, requires governmental entities to disclose the reduction in tax revenues resulting from an agreement between one or more governments and an individual or entity in which (a) one or more governments promise to forgo tax revenues to which they are otherwise entitled and (b) the individual or entity promises to take a specific action after the agreement has been entered into that contributes to economic development or otherwise benefits the governments or the citizens of those governments.

The Washington County Board of Supervisors negotiates property tax abatements on an individual or entity basis with varying abatement periods. These abatements contribute to the economic development and citizenry of the County. The County had tax abatement agreements with ten entities as of September 30, 2022.

The County had abatements under the following statute, which does not provide for the abatement of school or state tax levies: 27-31-105, Miss. Code (Ann.) 1972. All allowable property tax levies:

	Fiscal Y	Year 2022				
	% of Taxes	Ad V	aloremTaxes			
Category	Abated		Abated			
Warehouses or manufacturing/operating facilities-real property	100.00%	\$	153,892			
Additions, expansions or equipment replacment-personal property	100.00%		630,341			
Total ad valorem tax abated		\$	784,233			

The companies were not required to comply with any special provisions in order to receive the abatements and the County made no commitments as part of the agreements other than to reduce taxes.

#### **NOTE 18 - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS.**

Events that occur after the Statement of Net Position date but before the financial statements are available to be issued must be evaluated for recognition or disclosure. The effects of subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that existed at the Statement of Net Position date are recognized in the accompanying financial statements. Subsequent events which provide evidence about conditions that existed after the Statement of Net Position date require disclosure in the accompanying notes. Management of Washington County evaluated the activity of the County through June 4, 2023 (the date the financial statements were available to be issued) and determined that no subsequent events have occurred requiring disclosure in the notes to the financial statements.

#### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

# WASHINGTON COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BASIS) GENERAL FUND

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

	Original Budget	-		Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative)
REVENUES				
Property taxes	\$ 16,667,465	17,031,551	17,031,551	\$ -
Licenses, commissions and other revenue	1,060,950	757,263	757,263	-
Fines and forfeitures	205,000	186,251	186,251	-
Charges for services	100,000	152,024	152,024	-
Intergovernmental revenues	3,421,208	3,649,720	3,649,720	-
Interest income	165,000	171,201	171,201	-
Miscellaneous revenues	1,592,267	684,597	684,597	
Total Revenues	23,211,890	22,632,607	22,632,607	
EXPENDITURES Current:				
General government	14,713,340	13,917,322	13,917,322	-
Public safety	6,553,467	5,881,612	5,881,612	-
Public works	-	266,788	266,788	-
Health and welfare	704,356	640,591	640,591	-
Culture and recreation	548,678	518,908	518,908	-
Conservation of natural resources	133,700	125,839	125,839	-
Economic development and assistance	594,556	523,706	523,706	-
Debt Service	171,300	134,310	134,310	-
Total Expenditures	23,419,397	22,009,076	22,009,076	
Excess of Revenues over (under) Expenditures	(207,507)	623,531	623,531	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)				
Transfers in	25,000	76,497	76,497	_
Transfers out	-	(1,483,832)	(1,483,832)	-
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses	25,000	(1,407,335)	(1,407,335)	
Net Change in Fund Balance	(182,507)	(783,804)	(783,804)	_
Fund Balance - Beginning	(2,321,732)	17,174,299	17,174,299	-
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ (2,504,239)	16,390,495	16,390,495	\$ -

## WASHINGTON COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BASIS) HIGHWAY MAINTENANCE FUND

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

	Original Budget		Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Fina Po	ance with I Budget ositive egative)
REVENUES						
Property taxes	\$	1,175,198	2,383,355	2,383,355	\$	-
Intergovernmental revenues		624,000	633,023	633,023		-
Miscellaneous revenues		520,000	534,544	534,544		-
Total Revenues		2,319,198	3,550,922	3,550,922		
EXPENDITURES						
Public works		2,964,385	3,775,365	3,775,365		_
Debt service		240,000	250,327	250,327		-
Total Expenditures		3,204,385	4,025,692	4,025,692		
Excess of Revenues over						
(under) Expenditures		(885,187)	(474,770)	(474,770)		-
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES) Transfers in		_	_			
Transfers out		-	-	-		-
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses			-	-		
Net Change in Fund Balance		(885,187)	(474,770)	(474,770)		-
Fund Balance - Beginning		(6,069,192)	1,014,506	1,014,506		
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	(6,954,379)	539,736	539,736	\$	-

## WASHINGTON COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BASIS) BRIDGE & CULVERT FUND

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

REVENUES Property taxes Total Revenues	Original Budget \$ 3,051,980 3,051,980	Final Budget 4,542,740 4,542,740	Actual (Budgetary Basis) 4,542,740 4,542,740	Variance with Final Budget Positive (Negative) \$ -
EXPENDITURES				
Public works	4,443,836	4,483,121	4,483,121	
Total Expenditures	4,443,836	4,483,121	4,483,121	
Excess of Revenues over				
(under) Expenditures	(1,391,856)	59,619	59,619	
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		440.267	440.267	
Transfers in Transfers out	-	448,367	448,367	-
Transfers out		(355,414)	(355,414)	
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses		92,953	92,953	
Net Change in Fund Balance	(1,391,856)	152,572	152,572	-
Fund Balance - Beginning	(4,878,236)	379,437	379,437	
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ (6,270,092)	532,009	532,009	\$ -

# WASHINGTON COUNTY BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE- BUDGET AND ACTUAL (NON-GAAP BASIS) AMERICAN RESCUE PLAN ACT FUND

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

REVENUES		iginal ıdget	Final Budget	Actual (Budgetary Basis)	Final Po:	nce with Budget sitive gative)
Intergovernmenta revenues	\$	-	11,663	11,663	\$	
Interest income		-	36,384	36,384		-
Total Revenues	<u> </u>		48,047	48,047		
EXPENDITURES						
General government		-	11,663	11,663		-
Total Expenditures			11,663	11,663		
Excess of Revenues over						
(under) Expenditures			36,384	36,384		
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)						
Transfers in Transfers out		<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		- -
Total Other Financing Sources and Uses						
Net Change in Fund Balance		-	36,384	36,384		-
Fund Balance - Beginning			12,607	12,607		<u>-</u>
Fund Balance - Ending	\$	-	48,991	48,991	\$	-

# WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET OPEB LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS\* September 30, 2022

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Total OPEB Liability					
Changes for the Year:					
Service Cost	\$ 2,138,185	1,694,641	1,634,492	1,576,478	1,520,523
Interest	988,636	873,618	793,004	715,682	642,851
Differences between expected and actual experience	-	626,349			
Changes of assumptions	-	(6,340,443)			
Benefit payments/refunds	(311,201)	(297,033)	(249,051)	(240,211)	(231,685)
Net Change in total OPEB liability	2,815,620	(3,442,868)	2,178,445	2,051,949	1,931,689
Total OPEB liability - beginning	18,899,146	22,342,014	20,163,569	18,111,620	16,179,931
Total OPEB liability - ending (a)	\$ 21,714,766	18,899,146	22,342,014	20,163,569	18,111,620
Plan Fiduciary Net Position					
Contributions - employer	\$ 311,201	297,033	249,051	240,211	231,685
Benefit payments/refunds	(311,201)	(297,033)	(249,051)	(240,211)	(231,685)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	-	-	-	-	-
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - beginning					
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - ending (b)		-			
Net OPEB Liability - ending (a) - (b)	\$ 21,714,766	18,899,146	22,342,014	20,163,569	18,111,620
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a percentage of the Total OPEB Liability	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Covered-employee payroll	\$ 12,215,215	11,859,432	10,778,719	10,464,776	10,159,977
Net OPEB Liability as a percentage of covered-employee payroll	177.77%	159.36%	207.28%	192.68%	178.26%

<sup>\*</sup>The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the measurement date of September 30 for the fiscal year presented. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 75 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018 and until a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

# WASHINGTON COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM - A COMPONENT UNIT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF THE LIBRARY'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET OPEB LIABILITY LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS\* September 30, 2022

		2022		2021		2020		2019		2018
Library's proportion of the net OPEB liability	0.0	1206209%	0.0	01189382%	0.0	01118091%	0.0	)1165146%	0.0	)1204799%
Library's proportionate share of the OPEB liability	\$	59,428	\$	76,558	\$	87,011	\$	98,867	\$	93,197
Library's covered-employee payroll	\$	402,780	\$	391,967	\$	355,276	\$	396,203	\$	394,651
Library's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered-employee payroll		14.75%		19.53%		24.49%		24.95%		23.62%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability		0.21%		0.16%		0.13%		0.12%		0.13%

<sup>\*</sup> The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the twelve months ended at the measurement date of June 30 of the fiscal years presented. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 75 was implemented for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2018, and, until a full 10 year trend is compiled, the Library has only presented information for the years in which information is available.

# WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF THE COUNTY'S AND COMPONENT UNIT OPEB CONTRIBUTIONS LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS\* September 30, 2022

WASHINGTON COUNTY	_	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Actuarially determined contribution  Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	\$	3,939,894 311,201	3,564,417 297,033	3,188,148 249,051	2,922,968 240,211	2,684,587 231,685
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$_	3,628,693	3,267,384	2,939,097	2,682,757	2,452,902
Covered employee payroll	\$	12,215,215	11,859,432	10,778,719	10,464,776	10,159,977
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll		2.55%	2.50%	2.31%	2.30%	2.28%
COMPONENT UNIT - WASHINGTON COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM						
Actuarially determined contribution  Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution	\$ _	2,422 2,422	3,076 3,076	3,470 3,470	3,963 3,963	4,030 4,030
Contribution deficiency (excess)	\$_					
Covered employee payroll	\$	402,780	391,214	364,734	396,203	394,651
Contributions as a percentage of covered employee payroll		0.60%	0.79%	0.95%	1.00%	1.02%

<sup>\*</sup>This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 75 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018 for the County and June 30, 2018 for the Library and until a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County and Library have only presented information for the years in which information is available. Prior year information is based on historical amounts reported in prior year audit report(s).

## WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF THE COUNTY'S AND COMPONENT UNIT'S PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS\*

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
WASHINGTON COUNTY									
County's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)	0.174%	0.179%	0.179%	0.179%	0.172%	0.165%	0.167%	0.172%	0.172%
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 35,815,526	\$ 26,456,974	\$ 34,652,315	\$ 31,489,626	\$ 28,608,709	\$ 27,428,604	\$ 29,830,369	\$ 26,587,808	\$ 20,877,651
County's covered payroll	\$ 13,249,773	\$ 13,161,678	\$ 13,166,823	\$ 11,657,108	\$ 10,965,025	\$ 10,603,668	\$ 10,675,319	\$ 10,716,121	\$ 10,254,113
County's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	270.31%	201.02%	263.18%	270.13%	260.91%	258.67%	279.43%	248.11%	203.60%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	59.93%	70.44%	58.97%	61.59%	62.54%	61.49%	57.47%	61.70%	67.21%
COMPONENT UNIT - GREENVILLE PORT COMMISSION									
Commission's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)	0.007747%	0.007425%	0.007506%	0.007148%	0.007159%	0.00747%	0.0070%	0.0080%	
Commission's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 1,594,615	\$ 1,097,447	\$ 1,453,074	\$ 1,257,474	\$ 1,190,755	\$ 1,241,768	\$ 1,250,376	\$ 1,236,642	
Commission's covered payroll	\$ 501,517	\$ 493,680	\$ 499,831	\$ 465,512	\$ 464,673	\$ 479,486	\$ 460,990	\$ 498,616	
Commission's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	317.96%	222.30%	290.71%	270.13%	256.26%	258.98%	271.24%	248.01%	
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	59.93%	70.44%	58.97%	61.59%	62.54%	61.49%	57.47%	61.70%	
COMPONENT UNIT - WASHINGTON COUNTY LIBRARY									
Library's proportion of the net pension liability (asset)	0.0058%	0.0059%	0.0053%	0.0063%	0.0063%	0.0066%	0.0066%	0.0071%	0.0074%
Library's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset)	\$ 1,202,908	\$ 872,046	\$ 1,026,022	\$ 1,108,296	\$ 1,047,878	\$ 1,097,145	\$ 1,178,924	\$ 1,097,520	\$ 898,225
Library's covered payroll	\$ 402,780	\$ 391,967	\$ 355,276	\$ 408,914	\$ 403,997	\$ 422,662	\$ 424,174	\$ 442,174	\$ 460,685
Library's proportionate share of the net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of its covered payroll	298.65%	222.48%	288.80%	271.03%	259.38%	259.58%	277.93%	248.21%	194.98%
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	59.93%	70.44%	58.97%	61.59%	62.54%	61.49%	57.47%	61.70%	67.21%

<sup>\*</sup> The amounts presented for each fiscal year were determined as of the twelve months ended at the measurement date of June 30 of the fiscal years presented. This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County and Component Units have only presented information for the years in which information is available.

# DELTA HEALTH SYSTEM – A COMPONENT UNIT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
Total Pension Liability								
Service cost	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 42,046	\$ 56,525	\$ 441,695	490,706
Interest on total pension liability	1,927,178	1,830,401	1,810,992	1,777,663	1,789,547	1,751,794	1,598,830	1,547,795
Changes in assumptions	122,211	1,178,573	-	-	-	-	-	0
Differences between expected & actual experience	(301,492)	248,322	154,091	303,214	(572,890)	1,782,929	(194,015)	(95,165)
Benefit payments	(1,835,943)	(1,702,992)	(1,631,113)	(1,507,059)	(1,295,266)	(1,133,330)	(1,038,177)	(983,322)
Net change in total pension liability	(88,046)	1,554,304	333,970	573,818	(36,563)	2,457,918	808,333	960,014
Total pension liability, beginning	30,552,409	28,998,105	28,664,135	28,090,317	28,126,880	25,668,962	24,860,629	23,900,615
Total pension liability, ending (a)	30,464,363	30,552,409	28,998,105	28,664,135	28,090,317	28,126,880	25,668,962	24,860,629
Plan Fiduciary Net Position								
Employer contributions	1,800,000	1,382,000	1,232,000	1,344,000	1,002,664	1,083,329	1,338,128	838,590
Net investment income	(2,050,288)	4,206,182	896,136	1,592,274	992,263	1,428,854	752,788	429,704
Benefit payments	(1,835,943)	(1,702,992)	(1,631,113)	(1,507,059)	(1,295,266)	(1,133,330)	(1,038,177)	(983,322)
Administrative expenses							(27,241)	(28,627)
Net change in fiduciary net position	(2,086,231)	3,885,190	497,023	1,429,215	699,661	1,378,853	1,025,498	256,345
Fiduciary net position, beginning	24,770,619	20,885,429	20,388,406	18,959,191	18,259,530	16,880,677	15,855,179	15,598,834
Fiduciary net position, ending (b)	22,684,388	24,770,619	20,885,429	20,388,406	18,959,191	18,259,530	16,880,677	15,855,179
Net pension liability, ending (a) - (b)	\$ 7,779,975	\$ 5,781,790	\$ 8,112,676	\$ 8,275,729	\$ 9,131,126	\$ 9,867,350	\$ 8,788,285	\$ 9,005,450
Fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total								
pension liability	74.46%	81.08%	72.02%	71.13%	67.49%	64.92%	65.76%	63.78%
Covered payroll	\$ 13,952,000	\$ 15,860,000	\$ 15,329,000	\$ 15,597,000	\$ 15,873,000	\$ 18,481,000	\$ 20,365,000	\$ 22,586,000
Net pension liability as a percentage of covered payroll	55.76%	36.46%	52.92%	53.06%	57.53%	53.39%	43.15%	39.87%

Note to Schedule: This schedule is intended to show a 10-year trend and is presented on the measurement date of the net pension liability. Additional years will be reported as they become available.

### WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF THE COUNTY'S AND COMPONENT UNIT'S CONTRIBUTIONS LAST 10 FISCAL YEARS\*

### For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015
WASHINGTON COUNTY								
Contractually required contribution	\$ 2,121,162	\$ 2,055,039	\$ 2,089,408	\$ 1,924,580	\$ 1,719,796	\$ 1,692,615	\$ 1,708,550	\$ 1,694,754
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	2,121,162	2,055,039	2,089,408	1,924,580	1,719,796	1,692,615	1,708,550	1,694,754
Contribution deficiency (excess)								
County's covered payroll	\$ 12,190,587	\$ 11,810,574	\$ 12,008,091	\$ 11,897,387	\$ 10,919,320	\$ 10,746,762	\$ 10,847,934	\$ 10,760,346
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	17.40%	17.40%	17.40%	16.18%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%
COMPONENT UNIT - GREENVILLE PORT COMMISSION								
Contractually required contribution	\$ 92,035	\$ 87,264	\$ 88,006	\$ 76,812	\$ 71,557	\$ 73,186	\$ 74,814	\$ 79,665
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	92,035	87,264	88,006	76,812	71,557	73,186	74,814	79,665
Contribution deficiency (excess)								
Commission's covered payroll	\$ 528,937	\$ 501,517	\$ 505,778	\$ 475,800	\$ 454,330	\$ 464,673	\$ 475,010	\$ 505,810
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	17.40%	17.40%	17.40%	16.14%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%
COMPONENT UNIT - WASHINGTON COUNTY LIBRARY								
Contractually required contribution	\$ 71,867	\$ 68,071	\$ 64,464	\$ 63,771	\$ 62,157	\$ 66,811	\$ 66,047	\$ 68,685
Contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	71,867	68,071	64,464	63,771	62,157	66,811	66,047	68,685
Contribution deficiency (excess)								
Library's covered payroll	\$ 413,026	\$ 391,214	\$ 370,483	\$ 396,203	\$ 394,651	\$ 424,198	\$ 419,347	\$ 436,096
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	17.40%	17.40%	17.40%	16.10%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%	15.75%

<sup>\*</sup> This schedule is presented to illustrate the requirement to show information for 10 years. However, GASB Statement No. 68 was implemented for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2015, and, until, a full 10 year trend is compiled, the County and the Component Units have only presented information for the years in which information is available.

The accompanying notes to the Required Supplementary Information are an integral part of this schedule.

# DELTA HEALTH SYSTEM – A COMPONENT UNIT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF THE HEALTH SYSTEM'S CONTRIBUTIONS Years Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

<sup>\*</sup>Certain of the contribution information in this schedule has been revised to reflect presentation of the information on a fiscal year basis as required by GASB 68.

#### **Budgetary Comparison Schedule**

#### A. Budgetary Information.

Statutory requirements dictate how and when the County's budget is to be prepared. Generally, in the month of August, prior to the ensuing fiscal year beginning each October 1, the Board of Supervisors of the County, using historical and anticipated fiscal data and proposed budgets submitted by the Sheriff, Tax Assessor and Tax Collector for his or her respective department, prepares an original budget for each of the Governmental Funds for said fiscal year. The completed budget for the fiscal year includes for each fund every source of revenue, each general item of expenditure, and the unencumbered cash and investment balances. When during the fiscal year it appears to the Board of Supervisors that budgetary estimates will not be met, it may make revisions to the budget.

The County's budget is prepared principally on the cash basis of accounting. All appropriations lapse at year end, and there are no encumbrances to budget because state law does not require that funds be available when goods or services are ordered, only when payment is made.

#### **B.** Basis of Presentation.

The Budgetary Comparison Schedule - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) presents the original legally adopted budget, the final legally adopted budget, actual amounts on a budgetary (non-GAAP Basis) and variances between the final budget and the actual amounts. The schedule is presented for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund. The Budget Comparison Schedule - Budget and Actual (Non-GAAP Basis) is a part of required supplementary information.

#### C. Budget/GAAP Reconciliation.

The major differences between the budgetary basis and the GAAP basis are:

- 1. Revenues are recorded when received in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).
- 2. Expenditures are recorded when paid in cash (budgetary) as opposed to when susceptible to accrual (GAAP).

The following schedule reconciles the budgetary basis schedules to the GAAP basis financial statements for the General Fund and each major Special Revenue Fund:

	General Fund	Highway Maintenance Fund	Bridge & Culvert Fund	American cue Plan Act Fund
Budget (Cash Basis)	\$ (783,804)	(474,770)	\$ 152,572	\$ 36,384
Increase (Decrease)  Net adjustments for revenue accruals  Net adjustments for expenditure accruals	430,087 (214,240)	520,510 (344,578)	(419,349) 195,425	- -
GAAP Basis	\$ (567,957)	(298,838)	\$ (71,352)	\$ 36,384

#### <u>OPEB Schedules – Washington County</u>

#### A. Changes of assumptions.

The following changes were made to the actuarial assumptions and methods effective September 30, 2021.

- 1. The discount rate is 4.77% based on the S&P Municipal Bond 20 Year High Grade Rate Index as of September 30, 2022, compared to the prior discount rate of 3.68%.
- 2. The mortality tables were updated to the Pub-2010 General Headcount-heighted mortality tables with improvement scale MP-2021, compared to the RP-2014 tables with improvement scale MP-2019.
- 3. The medical trend was updated to follow the Getzen model after a two-year transition period starting at 6.00% and decreasing uniformly to 5.50%.

#### B. Changes in benefit provisions.

#### 2022

None

#### C. Methods and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions.

The Actuarially Determined Contributions rates, as a percentage of payroll, used to determine the Actuarially Determined Contribution amounts in the Schedule of Employer Contributions are calculated as of the most recent Valuation Date. The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine contribution rates reported in that schedule for the year ending September 30, 2021:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization period	20 years (closed) beginning July 1, 2017
Discount rate	4.77 percent per annum
Salary increases	3.00 percent per annum
Health care cost trend rate:	
Medical	6.00 percent graded uniformly to 5.50
	percent over 2 years afterwards, trend is set
	to follow the Getzen Model
Stop loss	5.00 percent per annum
Administrative expenses	5.00 percent per annum

#### **OPEB Schedules – Library System**

A. Changes of assumptions.
2018
The discount rate was changed from 3.56% for the prior Measurement Date to 3.89% for the current Measurement Date.
2019
The SEIR was changed from 3.89% for the prior Measurement Date to 3.50% for the current Measurement Date.
2020
The SEIR was changed from $3.50\%$ for the prior Measurement Date to $2.19\%$ for the current Measurement Date.
2021
The SEIR was changed from 2.19% for the prior Measurement Date to 2.13% for the current Measurement Date.
2022
The SEIR was changed from $2.13\%$ for the prior Measurement Date to $3.37\%$ for the current Measurement Date.
B. Changes in benefit provisions.
2018
None
2019
None
2020

The schedule of monthly retiree contributions was increased as of January 1, 2021. In addition, the deductibles and coinsurance maximums were increased for the Select coverage and the coinsurance maximums were increased for the Base Coverage beginning January 1, 2021.

#### 2021

The schedule of monthly retiree contributions was increased as of January 1, 2022. In addition, the innetwork medical deductible was increased for the Select coverage beginning January 1, 2022.

#### 2022

The schedule of monthly retiree contributions was increased as of January 1, 2023. In addition, the in-network medical deductible was increased for the Select coverage beginning January 1, 2023.

#### C. Methods and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions.

The Actuarially Determined Contributions rates, as a percentage of payroll, used to determine the Actuarially Determined Contribution amounts in the Schedule of Employer Contributions are calculated as of the most recent Valuation Date. The following actuarial methods and assumptions (from June 30, 2021, actuarial valuation) were used to determine contribution rates reported in that schedule for the year ending June 30, 2022:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	30 years, open
Asset valuation method	Market Value of Assets
Price Inflation	2.75 percent
Salary increase, including inflation	3.00 percent to 18.25 percent
Initial health care cost trend rates	
Medicare Supplement Claims – Pre Medicare	6.50 percent
Ultimate health care cost trend rates	
Medicare Supplement Claims – Pre Medicare	4.75 percent
Year of ultimate trend rates	
Medicare Supplement Claims – Pre Medicare	2030
Long-term investment rate of return, net of OPEB	
plan investment expense, including price inflation	2.13 percent

#### **Pension Schedules**

#### A. Changes of assumptions.

#### County, Port Commission, and Library System

#### 2021

The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments:

For males, 95% of male rates up to age 60, 110% for ages 61 to 75, and 101% for ages above 77. For females, 84% of the female rates up to age 72, 100% for ages above 76.

Projection scale MP-2020 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to PubG.H-2010 Disabled Table for disabled retirees with the following adjustments:

For males, 134% of male rates at all ages.

For females, 121% of female rates at all ages.

Projection scale MP-2020 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

The expectation of contingent annuitant mortality was based on the PubS.H-2010(B) Contingent Annuitant Table with the following adjustments:

For males, 97% of male rates at all ages.

For females, 110% of female rates at all ages.

Projection scale MP-2020 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

The price inflation assumption was reduced from 2.75% to 2.40%.

The wage inflation assumption was reduced from 3.00% to 2.65%.

The investment rate of return assumption was changed from 7.75% to 7.55%.

The assumed load for administrative expenses was increased from 0.25% to 0.28% of payroll.

Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates, and service retirement rates were also adjusted to reflect actual experience more closely.

The percentage of active member disabilities assumed to be in the line of duty was increased from 9% to 12%.

The percentage of active member deaths assumed to be in the line of duty was decreased from 6% to 4%.

#### 2019

The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the PubS.H-2010(B) Retiree Table with the following adjustments:

For males, 112% of male rates from ages 18 to 75 scaled down to 105% for ages 80 to 119.

For females, 85% of the female rates from ages 18 to 65 scaled up to 102% for ages 75 to 119.

Projection scale MP-2018 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to PubT.H-2010 Disabled Retiree Table for disabled retirees with the following adjustments:

For males, 137% of male rates at all ages.

For females, 115% of female rates at all ages.

Projection scale MP-2018 will be used to project future improvements in life expectancy generationally.

The price inflation assumption was reduced from 3.00% to 2.75%.

The wage inflation assumption was reduced from 3.25% to 3.00%.

Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, and service retirement rates were also adjusted to reflect actual experience more closely.

The percentage of active member disabilities assumed to be in the line of duty was increased from 7% to 9%.

#### 2017

The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2022. Small adjustments were also made to the Mortality Table for disabled lives.

The wage inflation assumption was reduced from 3.75% to 3.25%.

Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates and service retirement rates were also adjusted to reflect actual experience more closely.

The percentage of active member disabilities assumed to be in the line of duty was increased from 6.00% to 7.00%.

#### 2016

The assumed rate of interest credited to employee contributions was changed from 3.50% to 2.00%.

#### 2015

The expectation of retired life mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Healthy Annuitant Blue Collar Table projected to 2016 using Scale BB rather than the RP-2000 Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.

The expectation of disabled mortality was changed to the RP-2014 Disabled Retiree Table, rather than the RP-2000 Disabled Mortality Table, which was used prior to 2015.

Withdrawal rates, pre-retirement mortality rates, disability rates and service retirement rates were also adjusted to reflect actual experience more closely.

Assumed rates of salary increase were adjusted to reflect actual and anticipated experience more closely.

The price inflation and investment rate of return assumptions were changed from 3.50% to 3.00% and 8.00% to 7.75%, respectively.

#### B. Changes in benefit provisions.

County, Port Commission, and Library System.

#### 2016

Effective July 1, 2016, the interest rate on employee contributions shall be calculated based on the money market rate as published by the Wall Street Journal on December 31 of each preceding year with a minimum rate of one percent and a maximum rate of five percent.

#### C. Methods and assumptions used in calculations of actuarially determined contributions.

#### County, Port Commission, and Library System.

The actuarially determined contribution rates in the schedule of employer contributions are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported (June 30, 2020, valuation for the June 30, 2022, fiscal year end). The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine the most recent contribution rate reported in that schedule:

Actuarial cost method	Entry age			
Amortization method	Level percentage of payroll, open			
Remaining amortization period	27.7 years			
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market			
Price Inflation	2.75 percent			
Salary increase	3.00 percent to 18.25 percent, including inflation			
Investment rate of return	7.75 percent, net of pension plan investment expense, including			
	inflation			

#### **Delta Health System**

The following actuarial methods and assumptions were used to determine the Health System's most recent contribution rates:

Valuation date	July 1, 2022				
Measurement date	June 30, 2022				
Methods and assumptions used to determine contribution rates:					
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal				
Amortization method	Level percentage, closed periods				
Amortization period	13 years				
Amortization growth rate	5.00 percent				
Asset valuation method:					
Smoothing record	3 years				
Recognition method	Non-asymptotic				
Corridor	80.00 to 120.00 percent				
Inflation	3.00 percent				
Salary increases, including inflation	5.00 percent				
Investment rate of return	6.50 percent				
Retirement age	65				
Mortality	Pub-2010 General Mortality Tables for employees, healthy				
	retirees, Contingent annuitants, and disabled retirees with				
	MP-2021 Projection Scale (generational, converging to 0.75%				
	in 2036)				

#### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** 

## WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/ Program Title or Cluster	Federal Assistance Listing Number	Federal Grantor/ Pass-through Entity Identifying Number	-	Federal penditures
U S. Department of Housing and Urban Development -				
Office of Community Planning and Development / Passed-				
through Mississippi Development Authority				
Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.228	1136-20-076-PF-01	\$	266,788
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				266,788
U.S. Department of Justice				
Passed-through Mississippi Department of Public Safety				
Crime victim assistance	16.575	SG-1272		71,570
Violence against women formula grant	16.588	SG-2351-1		40,915
Edward Byrne memorial justice assistance grant	16.738	N/A		37,419
Total Department of Justice				149,904
U.S. Department of the Treasury				
Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds (Alternative				
Compliance Examination)-Cluster				
COVID-19 Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds				
(Alternative Compliance Examination)	21.027	N/A		11,663
Total U.S. Department of the Treasury				11,663
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program				
Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program	93.137	N/A	*	489,638
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services				489,638
U.S. Department of Homeland Security				
Passed-through Mississippi Emergency Management Agency				
Disaster grant - public assistance	97.036	N/A		11,572
Emergency management performance grants	97.042	N/A		37,852
Total United States Department of Homeland Security				49,424
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$	967,417

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes Major Program

## WASHINGTON COUNTY NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

#### A. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal award activity of Washington County under programs of the federal government for the year ended September 30, 2022. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the County.

#### B. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts (if any) shown on the Schedule represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

#### C. Indirect Cost Rate

The County has elected not to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

#### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**OTHER INFORMATION** 

# WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF SURETY BONDS FOR COUNTY OFFICIALS For the Year Ended September 30, 2022 UNAUDITED

Name	Position	Company	Amount
Lee Gordon	Supervisor District 1	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Tommy L. Benson, III	Supervisor District 2	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Carl McGee	Supervisor District 3	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Mala U. Brooks	Supervisor District 4	Western Surety	100,000
Jerry Redmond, Sr.	Supervisor District 5	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Chelesa Carter	County Administrator	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Marilyn Hansell	Chancery Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Barbara Esters-Parker	, Circuit Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Milton M. Gaston, Sr.	Sheriff	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Patricia Ann Lee	Tax Collector	Western Surety	100,000
Patricia D. Hays	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Marketa D. Nash	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Monique T. Ford	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Katrina M. Voss	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Frederick Guidry	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Keevia Flakes	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Bennie McCain	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Qadriyyah L. Franklin	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Gloria J. Phillips	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Jacqueline Miles	Deputy Tax Collector	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Raven Brown	Deputy Tax Collector	Western Surety	50,000
Chelesa Carter	Purchase Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	100,000
Shikaria Davis	Receiving Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	75,000
Roderick Montgomery	Inventory Control Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	75,000
Arthur Perry	Road Manager	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Mark K. Seard	Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Monica Williams	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Chontina Smith	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Angela Bell-Alexander	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Lidia Haley	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Brittany McNair	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Alicia Hawkins	Deputy Tax Assessor	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Laverne Holmes	Justice Court Judge	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Cedric C. Bush	Justice Court Judge	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Laverne Simpson	Justice Court Judge	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Eloise H. Brooks	Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Sharon D. Taliaferro	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Paulette Rhymes	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Anglyn Robinson	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Babette Larry	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Willie Ann Pilgrim	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Valerie Hadley	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Sheila Williams	Deputy Justice Court Clerk	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Nathaniel Watkins, Jr.	Constable	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Lester Walker	Constable	Travelers Casualty & Surety	50,000
Lester warker	CONSTRAINC	marchers castaint, a samet,	/

#### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

**SPECIAL REPORTS** 



DAVID I. BRIDGERS, JR., CPA
L. KARL GOODMAN, CPA, MBA
WILLIAM F. BAIRD, CPA
EUGENE S. CLARKE, IV, CPA
DAVID E. CLARKE, CPA

#### 3528 MANOR DRIVE VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

PHONE: (601) 636-1416 FAX: (601) 636-1417 MEMBERS OF
MISSISSIPPI SOCIETY OF CPAS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Members of the Board of Supervisors Washington County, Mississippi

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*), the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of Washington County, Mississippi, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated June 4, 2023. We audited the financial statements of the Washington County Library System, a component unit, but our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of Delta Health System and the Greenville Port Commission, component units, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters of the Library System or the other auditor's testing of internal control over financial reporting compliance and other matters that are reported separately by those audits.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Washington County, Mississippi's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weakness or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

#### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Washington County, Mississippi's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain matters that we reported to the management of Washington County, Mississippi, in the Limited Internal Control and Compliance Review Management Report dated June 4, 2023, included within this document.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Certified Public Accountants Vicksburg, Mississippi

June 4, 2023



DAVID I. BRIDGERS, JR., CPA
L. KARL GOODMAN, CPA, MBA
WILLIAM F. BAIRD, CPA
EUGENE S. CLARKE, IV, CPA
DAVID E. CLARKE, CPA

#### 3528 MANOR DRIVE VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

PHONE: (601) 636-1416 FAX: (601) 636-1417 MEMBERS OF
MISSISSIPPI SOCIETY OF CPAS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

### INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Members of the Board of Supervisors Washington County, Mississippi

#### **Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program**

#### **Opinion on the Major Federal Program**

We have audited Washington County, Mississippi's compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on Washington County, Mississippi's major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2022. Washington County, Mississippi's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

In our opinion, Washington County, Mississippi complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on its major federal program for the year ended September 30, 2022.

#### Basis for Opinion on the Major Federal Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of Washington County, Mississippi and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Washington County, Mississippi's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

#### Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to Washington County, Mississippi's federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on Washington County, Mississippi's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about Washington County, Mississippi's compliance with the requirements of the major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding Washington County, Mississippi's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of Washington County, Mississippi's internal control over compliance
  relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances
  and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform
  Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Washington
  County, Mississippi's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a

federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC Certified Public Accountants Vicksburg, Mississippi June 4, 2023



DAVID I. BRIDGERS, JR., CPA
L. KARL GOODMAN, CPA, MBA
WILLIAM F. BAIRD, CPA
EUGENE S. CLARKE, IV, CPA
DAVID E. CLARKE, CPA

#### 3528 MANOR DRIVE VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

PHONE: (601) 636-1416 FAX: (601) 636-1417 MEMBERS OF
MISSISSIPPI SOCIETY OF CPAS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

## INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON CENTRAL PURCHASING SYSTEM, INVENTORY CONTROL SYSTEM AND PURCHASE CLERK SCHEDULES (REQUIRED BY SECTION 31-7-115, MISS. CODE ANN. (1972)

Members of the Board of Supervisors Washington County, Mississippi

We have examined Washington County, Mississippi's (the County) compliance with establishing and maintaining a central purchasing system and inventory control system in accordance with Section 31-7-101 through 31-7-127, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) and compliance with the purchasing requirements in accordance with the bid requirements of Section 31-7-13, Miss. Code Ann. (1972) during the year ended September 30, 2022. The Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Mississippi is responsible for the County's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our examination does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with specified requirements. The Board of Supervisors of Washington County, Mississippi, has established centralized purchasing for all funds of the County and has established an inventory control system. The objective of the central purchasing system is to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that purchases are executed in accordance with state law.

Because of inherent limitations in any central purchasing system and inventory control system, errors or irregularities may occur and not be detected. Also, projection of any current evaluation of the system to future periods is subject to the risk that procedures may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Washington County, Mississippi, complied, in all material respects, with state laws governing central purchasing, inventory and bid requirements for the year ended September 30, 2022.

The accompanying schedules of (1) Purchases Not Made from the Lowest Bidder, (2) Emergency Purchases and (3) Purchases Made Noncompetitively from a Sole Source are presented in accordance with Section 31-7-115, Miss. Code Ann. (1972). The information contained on these schedules has been subjected to procedures performed in connection with our aforementioned examination and, in our opinion, is fairly presented when considered in relation to that examination.

This report is intended for use in evaluating Washington County, Mississippi's compliance with the aforementioned requirements, and is not intended to be and should not be relied upon for any other purpose. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC Certified Public Accountants Vicksburg, Mississippi June 4, 2023

### WASHINGTON COUNTY Schedule of Burchases Not Made From the Lowest Bidder

### Schedule of Purchases Not Made From the Lowest Bidder For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

Date	Item Purchased	Bid Accepted	Vendor	Lowest Bid	Reason for Accepting other than Lowest Bid
11/15/2021	ARPA Administive Consultant, Professional Services	Two percent of total ARPA amount	Andrew Smith	\$ -	No reason given

#### Schedule 2

## WASHINGTON COUNTY Schedule of Emergency Purchases For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

Date	Item Purchased	Amou	ınt Paid	Vendor	Reason for Emergency Purchase
12/6/2021	4 ton trane gas/electric package HVAC unit	\$	7,399	Bowlin Heating & Air	Gas and electrical system outage at the Juvenile Detention Center. Juveniles haad no heat.
4/18/2022	Emergency property appraisal services	\$	42,000	Southern Appraisal & Consulting, Inc.	Former vendor told the tax assessor that they were no longer performing property appraisals and didn't give enough notice to Washington county and the tax rolls were approaching the deadline. The tax assessor tried to find more quotes, but was unsuccessful.

### WASHINGTON COUNTY Schedule of Purchases Made Noncompetitively From a Sole Source For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

Date	Item Purchased	Amount Paid		Vendor	
				Accredited Security source letter was	Sole
5/2/2022	Used/refurbished tasers	\$	29,729	provided.	



DAVID I. BRIDGERS, JR., CPA
L. KARL GOODMAN, CPA, MBA
WILLIAM F. BAIRD, CPA
EUGENE S. CLARKE, IV, CPA
DAVID E. CLARKE, CPA

3528 MANOR DRIVE VICKSBURG, MISSISSIPPI 39180

> PHONE: (601) 636-1416 FAX: (601) 636-1417

MEMBERS OF
MISSISSIPPI SOCIETY OF CPAS
AMERICAN INSTITUTE OF CPAS
GOVERNMENT AUDIT QUALITY CENTER
PRIVATE COMPANIES PRACTICE SECTION

#### LIMITED INTERNAL CONTROL AND COMPLIANCE REVIEW MANAGEMENT REPORT

Members of the Board of Supervisors Washington County, Mississippi

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of Washington County, Mississippi for the year ended September 30, 2022, we considered Washington County, Mississippi's internal control to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on internal control.

In addition, for areas not considered material to Washington County, Mississippi's financial reporting, we have performed some additional limited internal control and state legal compliance review procedures as identified in the state legal compliance audit program issued by the Office of the State Auditor. Our procedures were substantially less in scope than an audit, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion on the County's compliance with these requirements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. This report does not affect our report dated June 4, 2023, on the financial statements of Washington County, Mississippi.

Due to the reduced scope, these review procedures and compliance tests cannot and do not provide absolute assurance that all state legal requirements have been complied with. Also, our consideration of internal control would not necessarily disclose all matters within the internal control that might be weaknesses. In accordance with Section 7-7-211, Miss. Code Ann. (1972), the Office of the State Auditor, when deemed necessary, may conduct additional procedures and tests of transactions for this or other fiscal years to ensure compliance with legal requirements.

The results of our review procedures and compliance tests identified certain immaterial instances of noncompliance with state laws and regulations that are opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiencies. Our findings, recommendations, and your responses are disclosed below:

#### **Board of Supervisors**

1. All Supervisors must Inspect the Roads and Bridges and Attest to the Clerk of the

Board for having done so.

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2020-2.; 2021-1.

Criteria Section 65-7-117, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states that, "Each member

of the board of supervisors shall inspect every road, bridge and ferry in each district at least annually, at times to be fixed by the board, and shall file with the clerk of the board a report, under oath, of the condition of the several roads, bridges and ferries inspected by him, with such recommendations as are needful, which reports shall be presented to the board of supervisors and kept on file for

three (3) years."

**Condition** During the course of our testing we noted that the Board of Supervisors had

inspected the roads and bridges but no certifications on the minutes or affidavits from the supervisors were filed to document that they had examined the roads

and bridges annually.

Cause Annual inspections of the roads and bridges in the County have not been

completed and/or attested to having been done.

**Effect** Failure to complete annual inspections of roads and bridges and to file an affidavit

of said inspection can lead to failure to identify and to complete necessary

improvements in a timely manner.

**Recommendation** The Board of Supervisors should ensure that they complete the requisite

inspections of the roads and bridges in their jurisdiction and file an affidavit with

the Clerk of the Board for inclusion in the minutes.

**Response** We will comply.

2. Public Officials Should Ensure that all Sixteenth Section Leases for Central

Maintenance Facilities are for a Term of no less than twenty-five (25) years.

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2020-3.; 2021-2.

Criteria Section 65-7-91, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states that, "The board of

supervisors may, for the benefit of the county, purchase, or lease real property upon which to establish facilities for the working of the public roads, and may erect on the real property barns, sheds, and other necessary buildings for the working of the public roads. However, only real property belonging to or under the control of the state or some other governmental entity may be leased at no more than fair market value by the county for such purposes and any such lease

shall be for a term of not less than twenty-five (25) years."

**Condition** During the course of our audit, we noted that the sixteenth section lease with

Western Line School District was for a term of five (5) years.

Cause The sixteenth section lease with the Western Line School District for use to place

the County Maintenance Headquarters was executed for a term of five (5) years.

**Effect** Failure to execute a sixteenth section lease for a period of less than twenty-five

years could result in noncompliance with the applicable statutes.

**Recommendation** The Board of Supervisors should ensure that all sixteenth section leases are for

the term prescribed by law.

**Response** We will comply.

3. <u>Public officials should ensure compliance with state law over entering into an</u>

inter-local agreement with a local taxing entity for the collection of ad valorem

taxes.

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2021-8.

Criteria §17-13-7(4), Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), provides that, "Any two (2) or

more local governmental units may enter into written contractual agreements with one another for joint or cooperative action to provide services and facilities..." §17-13-7(4) supports §27-41-2, which allows inter-local agreements

for collection by county of ad valorem taxes due to the municipality.

**Condition** During our audit, we noted the County's inter-local agreements have not been

updated to reflect current collection arrangements.

Cause An inter-local agreement has not been re-executed by the County and the local

government entity(ies) for the collection of ad valorem taxes.

Effect Failure to enter into adequate and appropriate contractual agreements could

result in the loss or misappropriation of public funds.

**Recommendation** The Board of Supervisors should enter into an inter-local agreement with the local

government entity(ies) for the collection of ad valorem taxes that reflect current

collection arrangements.

**Response** We will comply.

4. Public Officials and Employees should ensure compliance with state law over

surety bonding requirements.

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2019-2., 2020-5.; 2021-4.

Criteria Section 25-1-15, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states, "A new bond in an

amount not less than that required by law shall be secured upon employment and coverage shall continue by the securing of a new bond every four (4) years concurrent with the normal election cycle of the Governor or with the normal

election cycle of the local government applicable to the employee."

Condition During the course of our testing we noted the following instances of non-

compliance:

• Three (3) deputy circuit clerks were not bonded at all

• Three (3) deputy circuit clerks were not bonded for the entire period

- The Tax Collector was not bonded for the entire period
- One (1) deputy tax collector was not bonded for the entire period
- The Receiving Clerk and two (2) assistant receiving clerks were not bonded for the entire period and one assistant receiving clerk had the incorrect title listed on the bond
- The Purchase Clerk's bond was not increased to the correct amount following the change in the law that took effect on 7/1/2021
- One (1) deputy tax assessor was not bonded for the entire period and two (2) deputy tax assessors had the incorrect title listed on the bond
- Two (2) deputy justice court clerks were not bonded for the entire period
- One (1) deputy justice court clerk began working prior to securing the required bond and one (1) deputy justice court clerk was not bonded for the entire period

Cause Public Officials and the Board of Supervisors have insufficient control over the

requirements for bonding officials and employees.

**Effect** Failure to have a bond in place for a specific term could limit the amount available

for recovery if a loss occurred over multiple terms, as well as the current terms.

**Recommendation** We recommend the Board of Supervisors implement procedures to ensure that

County officials' and employees' bonds meet the requirements of State Laws.

**Response** We will comply.

5. <u>Public officials should strengthen internal controls over the Inmate Welfare Fund</u>

Account

**Repeat Finding** Yes; 2019-4.; 2020-7.; 2021-6.

Criteria An effective system of internal controls requires bank reconciliations to be

prepared accurately and timely and any identified unreconciled differences be

resolved in a timely manner.

**Condition** During the course of our test work we found that bank reconciliations had not

been prepared for the Inmate Welfare Fund Account.

**Cause** Employees were not following proper protocols for reconciling the account.

**Effect** Not completing an accurate bank reconciliation result in a weakness in the system

of internal controls over cash.

**Recommendation** The management of the Washington County Regional Correction Facility should

establish and maintain an effective internal control system over cash and ensure that all bank reconciliations are prepared correctly monthly and maintain records

of such.

**Response** We will comply.

#### Board of Supervisors, Sheriff, Circuit Clerk, Chancery Clerk, and Tax Collector

**6.** Public Officials Should Ensure Compliance with State Law over Depositories

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2021-7.

Criteria Section 27-105-371, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states, "All county

officials who receive funds under the authority of their office shall deposit such

funds into a county depository...."

**Condition** During the course of our audit we noted that the County approved Guaranty Bank

and Trust as the county depository, but we also noted that the following accounts

were held at banks other than the approved county depository:

- Trustmark National Bank
  - Metcalfe District Account
  - Washington County Account
- Planters Bank & Trust Company
  - Sheriff's Department Account
  - Sheriff's Department Triad Account
  - Sheriff's Department Special Account
  - Circuit Clerk Criminal Account
  - Circuit Clerk Civil Account
  - Circuit Clerk Clearing Account
  - WCRCF-Inmate Trust Fund Account
  - o Three unidentified accounts
- CB&S Bank
  - Chancery Clerk Clearing Account
  - Chancery Clerk Fee Account
  - Washington County Chancery Court Account
  - Washington County Tax Collector-Operating Account
  - Washington County Tax Collector-Internet Account

Cause Public officials are maintaining public depositor accounts at institutions other

than that approved by the Board as the county depository.

Effect Maintaining bank accounts at financial institutions other than the depository

approved by the Board of Supervisors could result in the loss of public funds.

**Recommendation** We recommend that either the Board of Supervisors approves multiple

institutions as the county's depositories or that public officials maintain all

accounts at the depository approved by the Board.

**Response** Board of Supervisors reply: We will comply.

<u>Tax Collector reply</u>: I, Patricia Lee, Washington County Tax Collector have served the County of Washington for the last past 20 years. I have always maintained Washington County Tax Collector Office bank account with CB&S Bank. Therefore, I am requesting that Washington County Board of Supervisors include CB&S Bank as one of Washington County's Depository Bank.

#### **Payroll Clerk**

7.

<u>Public Officials Should Ensure Compliance with State Law over Rehiring PERS Retirees.</u>

#### **Repeat Finding**

Yes, 2020-9.; 2021-10.

#### Criteria

Section 25-11-127, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states, "No person who is being paid a retirement allowance or a pension after retirement under this article shall be employed or paid for any service by the State of Mississippi, including services as an employee, contract worker, contractual employee or independent contractor, until the retired person has been retired for not less than ninety (90) consecutive days from his or her effective date of retirement. After the person has been retired for not less than ninety (90) consecutive days from his or her effective date of retirement or such later date as established by the board, he or she may be reemployed while being paid a retirement allowance under the terms and conditions provided in this section....

- 4) The provisions of this section shall not be construed to prohibit any retiree, regardless of age, from being employed and drawing a retirement allowance either:
- (a) For a period of time not to exceed one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the normal working days for the position in any fiscal year during which the retiree will receive no more than one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the salary in effect for the position at the time of employment, or
- (b) For a period of time in any fiscal year sufficient in length to permit a retiree to earn not in excess of twenty-five percent (25%) of retiree's average compensation.

To determine the normal working days for a position under paragraph (a) of this subsection, the employer shall determine the required number of working days for the position on a full-time basis and the equivalent number of hours representing the full-time position. The retiree then may work up to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the required number of working days or up to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the equivalent number of hours and receive up to one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the salary for the position. In the case of employment with multiple employers, the limitation shall equal one-half ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) of the number of days or hours for a single full-time position.

Notice shall be given in writing to the executive director, setting forth the facts upon which the employment is being made, and the notice shall be given within five (5) days from the date of employment and also from the date of termination of the employment. [Note: This notice is provided on PERS Form 4B and must be executed annually and sent to PERS]

(6) (a) A member may retire and continue in municipal or county elective office provided that the member has reached the age and/or service requirement that

will not result in a prohibited in-service distribution as defined by the Internal Revenue Service, or a retiree may be elected to a municipal or county office, provided that the person:

- (i) Files annually, in writing, in the office of the employer and the office of the executive director of the system before the person takes office or as soon as possible after retirement, a waiver of all salary or compensation and elects to receive in lieu of that salary or compensation a retirement allowance as provided in this section, in which event no salary or compensation shall thereafter be due or payable for those services; however, any such officer or employee may receive, in addition to the retirement allowance, office expense allowance, mileage or travel expense authorized by any statute of the State of Mississippi; or
- (ii) Elects to receive compensation for that elective office in an amount not to exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the retiree's average compensation. In order to receive compensation as allowed in this subparagraph, the retiree shall file annually, in writing, in the office of the employer and the office of the executive director of the system, an election to receive, in addition to a retirement allowance, compensation as allowed in this subparagraph. [Note: This notice is provided on PERS Form 9C and must executed annually and sent to PERS]
- (b) The municipality or county in which the retired person holds elective office shall pay to the board the amount of the employer's contributions on the full amount of the regular compensation for the elective office that the retired person holds.

#### Condition

During the course of our audit, we noted the following:

- Four (4) retired elected officials completed the wrong PERS form
- Two (2) rehired elected officials earned over the amount allowed by PERS

#### Cause

The County did not comply with *Section 25-11-127, Mississippi Code Annotated* (1972) in that it failed to ensure proper notice to PERS, proper execution of required documents, and proper monitoring of wages to ensure the PERS cap was not exceeded.

**Effect** 

The failure to comply with the reemployment conditions as reported on PERS Form 4B and 9C could jeopardize the provisions for reemployment.

Recommendation

The County should timely and accurately file PERS Form 4Bs and 9Cs for all rehired retirees and ensure that the statutory conditions for reemployment are met.

Response

We will comply.

Sheriff

8.

The Sheriff Should Ensure Compliance with State Laws over the Purchase of Evidence/Information.

**Repeat Finding** 

Yes, 2021-12.

#### Criteria

Section 7-7-211, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states that "The department [of Audit] shall have the power and it shall be its duty... (b) To provide best practices, for all public offices of regional and local subdivisions of the state, systems of accounting, budgeting and reporting financial facts relating to said offices in conformity with legal requirements and with generally accepted accounting principles or other accounting principles as promulgated by nationally recognized professional organizations; to assist such subdivisions in need of assistance in the installation of such systems; to revise such systems when deemed necessary, and to report to the Legislature at periodic times the extent to which each office is maintaining such systems, along with such recommendations to the Legislature for improvement as seem desirable."

#### Condition

During the course of our test work, we noted that the prescribed voucher for the purchase of information and purchase of evidence was not completed entirely by omitting certain information.

#### Cause

The vouchers prescribed by the Office of the State Auditor were not being completed for the purchase of evidence and/or information.

#### **Effect**

Failure to completely fill out the evidence/information vouchers results in noncompliance with *Section 7-7-211* and could result in fraud or misappropriation of County funds.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the Sheriff's Office ensure that the vouchers are accurately and correctly completed.

#### Response

We acknowledged and accepted the findings of the auditor in the 2021 report. We immediately corrected the issue. We have been compliant with the orders since 2021.

#### **Chancery Clerk**

9.

<u>Public officials Should Ensure that Land Redemption Settlements are made Timely.</u>

#### **Repeat Finding**

Yes, 2020-12.; 2021-14.

#### Criteria

Section 27-45-1, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), states that "the clerk shall make redemption settlements within twenty (20) days after the end of each month and shall make a complete report thereof to the board of supervisors. For a failure to report or to pay over the sums to the parties entitled thereto as herein required, he shall be liable on his official bond to a penalty of one percent (1%) per month on the amount withheld. The chancery clerk shall also note each redemption on the public record of delinquent tax lands, on the day payment of taxes is made, with the date, name and the amount of redemption money paid."

#### Condition

During the course of our audit, we noted that redemption settlements were made after the 20<sup>th</sup> of the following month for all months chosen for testing.

Cause The Chancery Clerk did not make redemption settlements by the 20<sup>th</sup> of the

following month.

**Effect** Failure to properly settle redemptions could result in the misappropriation of

public funds and a violation of State Law.

**Recommendation** The Chancery Clerk should ensure that redemption settlements are made by the

20<sup>th</sup> of the month following collection.

**Response** No response received.

**10.** Public Officials Should Strengthen Controls over Bank Reconciliations of the Land

Redemption Account.

Repeat Finding No.

**Criteria** An effective system of internal control requires bank reconciliations be prepared

accurately and timely and any identified unreconciled differences be resolved in

a timely manner.

**Condition** During the course of our cash count, we noted that the bank reconciliation for

the land redemption account had not been prepared in a timely manner. We were unable to obtain current bank reconciliations and other requested

documents in order to properly complete the testing.

Cause The system of internal control failed to ensure that a timely bank reconciliation

was performed for the land redemption accounts.

**Effect** Failure to complete an accurate and timely bank reconciliation of each individual

bank account results in a weakness in the system of internal control over cash.

**Recommendation** The Clerk should establish and maintain an effective internal control system over

cash and ensure that all bank reconciliations are prepared timely and accurately

for the land redemption bank accounts.

**Response** No response received.

**Circuit Clerk** 

11. <u>Public Officials should ensure proper completion of the Annual Financial Report.</u>

Repeat Finding No.

Criteria Section 9-1-45 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that "(1) Each

chancery and circuit clerk shall file, not later than April 15<sup>th</sup> of each year, with the State Auditor of Public Accounts a true and accurate annual report on a form to be designed and supplied to each clerk by the State Auditor of Public Accounts immediately after January 1 of each year. The form shall include the following information: (a) revenues subject to the salary cap, including fees; (b) revenues not subject to the salary cap; and (c) expenses of office, including any salary paid to a clerk's spouse or children. Each chancery and circuit clerk shall provide any additional information requested by the Public Employees' Retirement System

for the purpose of retirement calculations.

#### Condition

During the course of our audit, we noted that the following issues related to the Annual Financial Report:

- Certain revenues that were subject to the salary cap were shown as revenues not subject to the salary cap
- Certain expenses that should have been applied against revenues subject to the salary cap were applied against revenues not subject to the salary cap
- The Clerk did not properly utilize the form prescribed by the Office of the State Auditor by improperly combining accounts and not presenting them in the appropriate format
- The amounts were improperly calculated due to mathematical errors

Cause

The Clerk has not implemented a system to ensure that the Annual Financial Report is properly completed with the various revenues and expenses appropriately shown and categorized according to the application of the salary cap. The Clerk has also not implemented procedures to ensure the mathematical accuracy of the calculations.

**Effect** 

Failure to properly complete the Annual Financial Report results in noncompliance with State law, and it could lead to improper calculation of earnings subject to the salary cap and retirement calculations.

#### Recommendation

The Clerk should establish and maintain a fee journal that shows the appropriate detail for the categorization of the various revenues and expenses for the year. The Clerk should also implement a system to ensure that the Annual Financial Report is sufficiently presented to show the various categories of revenues and expenses as well as to ensure mathematical accuracy.

Further, the Clerk should amend and refile the report to show the proper classifications of revenues and expenses as well as to correct calculation errors. Depending upon the outcome of these corrections, the Clerk should also ensure that any revenues earned over the salary limitation for the year are properly paid into the County's general fund.

Response

No response received.

12.

The Circuit Clerk Should Ensure Compliance with State Laws over Statutorily Imposed Limits on the Salaries Received for Various Duties.

**Repeat Finding** 

No

Criteria

Various sections of the *Mississippi Code Annotated (1972)* state for the various duties of the Circuit Clerk, the following amounts shall be paid:

 County Registrar: § 23-15-225(1)(c) states, "For counties with a total population of more than fifty thousand (50,000) and not more than one hundred thousand (100,000), an amount not to exceed Twentyfour Thousand One Hundred Fifty Dollars (\$24,150.00), but not less than Nine Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Dollars (\$9,660.00)."

- Election Allowance: § 23-15-225(5) states, "The circuit clerk shall, in addition to any other compensation provided for by law, be entitled to receive as compensation from the board of supervisors the amount of Two Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$2,500.00) per year. This payment shall be for the performance of his or her duties in regard to the conduct of elections and the performance of his or her other duties."
- State Fail Cases: § 25-7-13(4) states, "The clerk's fees in state cases where the state fails in the prosecution, or in cases of felony where the defendant is convicted and the cost cannot be made out of his estate, in an amount not to exceed Four Hundred Dollars (\$400.00) in one (1) year, shall be paid out of the county treasury on approval of the circuit court, and the allowance thereof by the board of supervisors of the county. In counties having two (2) judicial districts, such allowance shall be made in each judicial district; however, the maximum thereof shall not exceed Eight Hundred Dollars (\$800.00)."
- Attending Court: § 25-7-13(2)(m) states that, "For each day's attendance upon the circuit court term, for himself and necessary deputies allowed by the court, each to be paid by the county \$ 75.00."
- Getting Up Records for Court: § 25-7-13(3) states that, "On order of the court, clerks and deputies may be allowed five (5) extra days for attendance upon the court to get up records."

#### Condition

During the course of our test work, we noted that the Circuit Clerk billed for and received the following amounts in excess of the statutorily allowed amounts:

County Registrar: \$528.36
Election Allowance: \$15,000
State Fail Cases: \$8,433.33
Attending Court: \$3,600

 Note: It appears that the Clerk accidentally billed for the same days in court for the regular and vacation days for one term

• Getting Up Records for Court: \$11,250

 Note: It appears that the Clerk accidentally billed for all days needed to get up records for each term of court instead of the maximum allowed of five (5) days per term.

The total paid in excess is equal to \$38,811.69.

Cause

The Circuit Clerk did not properly determine compensation according to law.

Effect The Circuit Clerk was paid in excess of the statutorily allowed amounts for services

rendered.

**Recommendation** The Circuit Clerk should ensure that the amounts paid are in compliance with the

applicable statutes.

Further, it is recommended that the Circuit Clerk repay the County the \$38,811.69

paid in excess and amend the Annual Financial Report as needed.

**Response** No response received.

**Tax Collector** 

13. The Tax Collector is not Making Timely Settlements.

**Repeat Finding** Yes, 2021-15.

Criteria Section 27-29-11, Mississippi Code Annotated (1972), requires that the tax

collector make report of and turn over any and all taxes collected during the

preceding month on or before the twentieth (20<sup>th</sup>) day of the month.

**Condition** During the course of our test work, we noted six (6) instances where the tax

collector settled the prior month's collected taxes after the 20<sup>th</sup> of the month.

Cause The Tax Collector has not established a system of internal control to ensure

settlements are made timely.

**Effect** Inadequate controls surrounding the settlement of revenue collections could

result in improper revenue recognition.

**Recommendation** The Tax Collector should implement an effective internal control system to

ensure the timeliness of settlements.

**Response** I, Patricia Lee, Tax Collector of Washington County states that I have established

a system of internal control to ensure settlements are made timely. Moving forward, settlements will be distributed on time and before the 20<sup>th</sup> of the month.

To ward, settlements will be distributed on time and before the 20° of the month.

14. <u>Public Officials should ensure compliance with statutorily established</u>

compensation requirements.

**Repeat Finding** No.

**Criteria** Section 25-3-3 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that "(2) The salary

of assessors and collectors of the various counties is fixed as full compensation for their services as county assessors or tax collectors, or both if the office of assessor has been combined with the office of tax collector. The annual salary of each assessor or tax collector, or both if the offices have been combined, shall be

based upon the total assessed valuation of his respective county for the preceding taxable year in the following categories and for the following amounts:

(e) For counties having a total assessed valuation of at least Two Hundred Fifty Million Dollars (\$250,000,000.00) but less than Five Hundred Million Dollars (\$500,000,000.00), a salary of Fifty-eight Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$58,800.00) ...."

Section 27-1-51 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) further states that, "(6)(a) When any tax collector or deputy tax collector holds a valid certificate of educational recognition from the Education and Certification Board as established by Section 27-1-67 by attaining certification as a Collector of Revenue I (CR 1), he shall receive an additional Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) annually beginning the next fiscal year after completion.

(b) When any tax collector or deputy tax collector holds a valid certificate of educational recognition from the Education and Certification Board as established by Section 27-1-67 by attaining certification as a Collector of Revenue II (CR 2), he shall receive an additional Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) annually beginning the next fiscal year after completion...."

Section 25-3-3 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that "(6) In addition to all other compensation paid to assessors and tax collectors, the board of supervisors of a county shall allow for such assessor or tax collector, or both, to be paid additional compensation when there is a contract between the county and one or more municipalities providing that the assessor or tax collector, or both, shall assess or collect taxes, or both, for the municipality or municipalities; and such assessor or tax collector, or both, shall be authorized to receive such additional compensation from the county and/or the municipality or municipalities in any amount allowed by the county and/or the municipality or municipalities for performing those services."

Condition

During the course of our audit, we were unable to properly reconcile the compensation paid to the Tax Collector.

It appears that the Tax Collector was paid in excess of statutory limits by \$3,990.

Cause

The Tax Collector has not ensured that the compensation is determined according to statutory guidance.

**Effect** 

Failure to properly calculate statutorily determined compensation could result in an elected official being improperly paid.

Recommendation

The Tax Collector should determine the proper calculation of the compensation and ensure that it is in compliance with state statutes.

Response

I, Patricia Lee, Tax Collector of Washington County, states that I was not aware that I should determine compensation of salary. The County Board and or the County Administrator, determined pay increase and approve compensation of incentives to be paid to The Tax Collector. In 2020, we were being paid \$15,000. The City of Hollandale wanted us to collect their taxes. The Board met and it was determined by the County Board and Attorney Frank Power that they will pay The Tax Assessor, The tax Collector, and the Chancery Clerk an extra \$2,000.00 for

collection of the City of Hollandale taxes. Which made the total amount at that time \$17,000. I remember an amount of \$22,000 for collection of all municipalities but do not have written agreement due to new election of Board members and Attorney Frank Power and his firm was let go and Attorney Griffin was hired as Board Attorney. Attorney Power was in the process of drawing up a contract of inter-local Agreements at that time but never completed it. The municipalities that we collect for are City of Leland, City of Greenville, Town of Arcola, Town of Metcalfe, and the City of Hollandale.

#### **Tax Assessor**

**15.** 

<u>Public Officials should ensure compliance with statutorily established compensation requirements.</u>

#### **Repeat Finding**

No.

Criteria

Section 25-3-3 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that "(2) The salary of assessors and collectors of the various counties is fixed as full compensation for their services as county assessors or tax collectors, or both if the office of assessor has been combined with the office of tax collector. The annual salary of each assessor or tax collector, or both if the offices have been combined, shall be based upon the total assessed valuation of his respective county for the preceding taxable year in the following categories and for the following amounts:

(e) For counties having a total assessed valuation of at least Two Hundred Fifty Million Dollars (\$250,000,000.00) but less than Five Hundred Million Dollars (\$500,000,000.00), a salary of Fifty-eight Thousand Eight Hundred Dollars (\$58,800.00) ...."

Section 27-3-52 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) further states that, (5) When any tax assessor and/or his deputies or assistants attend and successfully complete all qualifications pursuant to the Mississippi Education and Certification Program and receive the certification level of Track II, Evaluator I, they shall receive an additional Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) annually beginning the next fiscal year after completion.

(6) When any tax assessor and/or his deputies or assistants attend and successfully complete all qualifications pursuant to the Mississippi Education and Certification Program and receive the certification level of Track II, Evaluator II, they shall receive an additional Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) annually beginning the next fiscal year after completion."

Section 25-3-3 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that, "(7) When any tax assessor holds a valid certificate of educational recognition from the Mississippi Cooperative Extension Service or is a licensed appraiser under Section 73-34-1 et seq., he shall receive an additional One Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$1,500.00) annually beginning the next fiscal year after completion."

Section 25-3-3 of the Mississippi Code Annotated (1972) states that "(6) In addition to all other compensation paid to assessors and tax collectors, the board of supervisors of a county shall allow for such assessor or tax collector, or both, to be paid additional compensation when there is a contract between the county and one or more municipalities providing that the assessor or tax collector, or

both, shall assess or collect taxes, or both, for the municipality or municipalities; and such assessor or tax collector, or both, shall be authorized to receive such additional compensation from the county and/or the municipality or municipalities in any amount allowed by the county and/or the municipality or municipalities for performing those services."

Condition

During the course of our audit, we were unable to properly reconcile the compensation paid to the Tax Assessor.

It appears that the Tax Assessor was paid in excess of statutory limits by \$6,065.04.

Cause

The Tax Assessor has not ensured that the compensation is determined according to statutory guidance.

Effect

Failure to properly calculate statutorily determined compensation could result in an elected official being improperly paid.

Recommendation

The Tax Assessor should determine the proper calculation of the compensation and ensure that it is in compliance with state statutes.

Response

While this issue continues to have me puzzled as to how this happened, I certainly will re-pay the \$6,065.04 in an effort to remain compliant with any state statute surrounding my pay as recommended for Tax Assessor. I have thoroughly gone over my salary chart in an effort to find the error and have found that the only thing arbitrary is the inter-local agreement amount of pay. I do believe that this question of pay can be attributed to the "Inter-Local Agreements" that are in place that don't give clear indication as to the amount that the Tax Assessor, Tax Collector, or the Chancery Clerk should be paid.

Further, I would ask that the Inter-local agreements with the City of Greenville, City of Leland, City of Hollandale, Town of Arcola, and the Town of Metcalfe be finalized and any questions regarding the amount payable to the Tax Assessor, Tax Collector, and Chancery Clerk be expressed in writing so that there is no further confusion as to the amount payable, specifically for me, but for the others involved as well.

Finally, I believe it to be incumbent upon me to express that this error was of no intent on my part! Again, I am totally unaware of how this all came about.

Washington County's responses to the findings included in this report were not audited and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the Board of Supervisors, and others within the entity and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these parties. However, this report is a matter of public record, and its distribution is not limited.

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC

Bridgers, Goodman, Baird & Clarke, PLLC Certified Public Accountants Vicksburg, Mississippi June 4, 2023

#### **WASHINGTON COUNTY**

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COST

### WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COST For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

#### **Section 1: Summary of Auditor's Results**

#### **Financial Statements:**

rmur	iciai statements:			
1.	Type of auditor's report issued on the financial statements: Governmental activities Business-type activities Aggregate discretely presented component units General Fund Each major fund Washington County Regional Correctional Facility Fund Aggregate remaining fund information	Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified Unmodified		
2.	Internal control over financial reporting:			
	a. Material weakness identified?	No		
	b. Significant deficiency identified?	None Reported		
3.	Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?	No		
Federal Awards:				
4.	Internal control over major federal programs:			
	a. Material weaknesses identified?	No		
	b. Significant deficiency identified?	None Reported		
5.	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs:	Unmodified		
6.	Any audit finding disclosed that is required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?	No		
7.	Identification of major federal programs			
	Assistance Listing Number 93.137, Community Programs to Improve Minority Health Grant Program			
8.	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750,000		
9.	Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	No		
10.	Prior fiscal year audit findings and questioned costs relative to federal awards which would require the auditee to prepare a summary schedule of prior audit findings in accordance with 2 CFR 200.511(b)?	No		

### WASHINGTON COUNTY SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COST For the Year Ended September 30, 2022

#### Section 2: Financial Statement Findings

The results of our tests did not disclose any findings related to the financial statements that are required to be reported by *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Section 3: Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

The results of our tests did not disclose any findings and questioned costs related to federal awards.